

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R01-OAR-2019-0513; FRL-10004-95-Region 1]

**Air Plan Approval; Connecticut; Transport State Implementation Plan for the 2008 Ozone Standard****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Connecticut that address the interstate transport of air pollution requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the Clean Air Act for the 2008 ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) (*i.e.*, ozone transport SIP). The EPA is approving the submission as meeting the requirement that each SIP contain adequate provisions to prohibit emissions that will significantly contribute to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2008 ozone NAAQS in any other state. This action is being taken in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on March 16, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA-R01-OAR-2019-0513. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Region 1 Regional Office, Air and Radiation Division, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, Boston, MA. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding legal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alison C. Simcox, Air Quality Branch,

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Region 1, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, (Mail code 05-2), Boston, MA 02109-3912, tel. (617) 918-1684, email [simcox.alison@epa.gov](mailto:simcox.alison@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Background
- II. Final Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Background**

On December 26, 2019 (84 FR 70913), EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for the State of Connecticut. The NPRM proposed approval of SIP revisions that address the interstate transport of air pollution requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the Clean Air Act for the 2008 ozone national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) (*i.e.*, ozone transport SIP). The formal SIP revision was submitted by Connecticut on June 15, 2015. In this action, we are approving Connecticut's transport SIP for the 2008 ozone NAAQS.

The rationale for EPA's proposed action is given in the NPRM and will not be restated here. No public comments were received on the NPRM.

**II. Final Action**

EPA is approving a transport SIP that was submitted by Connecticut to address interstate transport requirements for CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS as a revision to the Connecticut SIP.

**III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735,

October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- Is not an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866;

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a

copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 14, 2020. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: January 29, 2020.

#### Dennis Deziel,

Regional Administrator, EPA Region 1.

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart H—Connecticut

■ 2. Section 52.370 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(122) to read as follows:

##### § 52.370 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(122) Revisions to the State Implementation Plan submitted by the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection on June 15, 2015.

(i) [Reserved]

(ii) *Additional materials.* (A) The Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection document,

“Demonstration that Connecticut Complies with the Good Neighbor Requirements of Clean Air Act Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2008 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard” Final, June 11, 2015.

(B) [Reserved]

■ 3. Section 52.386 is amended by adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

##### § 52.386 Section 110(a)(2) infrastructure requirements.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) The Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection submitted the following infrastructure SIP on this date: 2008 ozone NAAQS—June 15, 2015 (CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) transport provisions). This infrastructure SIP is approved.

[FR Doc. 2020–02011 Filed 2–13–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R05–OAR–2012–0990; FRL–10005–04–Region 5]

#### Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Prevention of Significant Deterioration Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving, under the Clean Air Act (CAA), a revision to Ohio’s State Implementation Plan (SIP) as requested by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) on March 30, 2011, and amended on August 22, 2019 and December 10, 2019. The revision to Ohio’s SIP modifies Ohio’s Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program to establish emission thresholds for determining when stationary source projects are potentially subject to Ohio’s PSD permitting requirements for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Consistent with Ohio’s requests, EPA is taking no action on paragraphs (B), (C), and (D) of Ohio’s GHG rule.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on March 16, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2012–0990. All documents in the docket are listed on the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose

disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form.

Publicly available docket materials are available either through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Richard Angelbeck, Environmental Scientist, at (312) 886–9698 before visiting the Region 5 office.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Angelbeck, Environmental Scientist, Air Permits Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–9698, [angelbeck.richard@epa.gov](mailto:angelbeck.richard@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

#### I. Background Information

On November 18, 2019, EPA proposed to approve a revision to Ohio’s PSD rules contained in Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745–31 to include Ohio’s 3745–31–34 GHG rule. See 84 FR 63601, November 18, 2019. An explanation of the CAA requirements, a detailed analysis of the proposed revision, and EPA’s reasons for proposing approval were provided in the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), and will not be restated here. The public comment period for this proposed rule ended on December 18, 2019. EPA received four comments on the proposal.

#### II. Response to Comments

During the comment period, EPA received four comments on the November 18, 2019 NPRM. None of the four comments were adverse to the proposed action.

The first comment was anonymous and was in support of the proposed approval of Ohio’s GHG rule, and also asked why the rule was only being implemented in Ohio. The second comment was Ohio’s December 10, 2019 request that EPA not act on the OAC 3745–31–34(B) paragraph in the submittal. The third comment was from the Ohio Chemistry Technology Council, the Ohio Chamber of Commerce, and the Ohio Manufacturers’ Association and was in support of Ohio’s December 10, 2019 request that