

4. Pingyi Jinniu Wood Co., Ltd.
 5. SAICG International Trading Co., Ltd.
 6. Shandong Jinhua International Trading Co., Ltd.
 7. Xuzhou Amish Import & Export Co., Ltd.
- [FR Doc. 2020-02469 Filed 2-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-858]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of certain softwood lumber products (softwood lumber) from Canada. The period of review is April 28, 2017 through December 31, 2018. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable February 7, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Zukowski (Canfor), Nicholas Czajkowski (JDIL), Kristen Johnson (Resolute), and George McMahon (West Fraser), AD/CVD Operations, Offices I and III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0189, (202) 482-1395, (202) 482-4793, and (202) 482-1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 3, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a countervailing duty (CVD) order on softwood lumber from Canada.¹ Several interested parties requested that Commerce conduct an administrative review of the *CVD Order*, and, on April 1, 2019, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of the first administrative review of the *CVD Order*.² On May 17, 2019, Commerce selected the following

producers and exporters as the mandatory respondents in the administrative review: Canfor Corporation, Resolute FP Canada Inc., and West Fraser Mills Ltd.³ On July 18, 2019, Commerce selected J.D. Irving, Limited as a voluntary respondent in the administrative review.⁴ On September 6, 2019, Commerce postponed the preliminary results of this review extending the deadline until January 31, 2020.⁵

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is certain softwood lumber products from Canada. For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this CVD administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, Commerce preliminarily determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an “authority” that confers a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁷

For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document that is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. The list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice.

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party or parties that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the review. On June 19, 2019, the Committee Overseeing Action for Lumber International Trade Investigations or Negotiations (COALITION) withdrew its request for several of the companies for which Commerce initiated an administrative review.⁸ On July 1, 2019, Fontaine, Inc. and Mobilier Rustique withdrew their respective requests for administrative review.⁹ All withdrawal of review requests were timely submitted within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation. No other parties requested an administrative review of the order with respect to these entities for which the requests for review were withdrawn.

On January 15, 2020, Commerce issued a memorandum regarding its intent to rescind the administrative review for those companies for which a withdrawal of review request was received and invited interested parties to comment.¹⁰ On January 21, 2020, Brink Forest Products Ltd. and Vanderhoof Specialty Wood Products Ltd. (Brink Forest/Vanderhoof) submitted a comment on the companies’ exclusion from the review and stated that they should remain subject to the review.¹¹ On January 22, 2020, Tolko

³ *See* Memorandum, “Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Respondent Selection,” dated May 17, 2019.

⁴ *See* Memorandum, “Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Selection of JD Irving, Ltd. as a Voluntary Respondent,” dated July 18, 2019.

⁵ *See* Memorandum, “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review—2017–2018,” dated September 6, 2019.

⁶ *See* Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada; 2017–2018,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁷ *See* sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁸ *See* COALITION’s Letters, “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated June 19, 2019; and “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Clarification of Petitioner’s Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated July 26, 2019.

⁹ *See* Fontaine, Inc.’s Letter, “Softwood Lumber from Canada: Withdrawal of Request for Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (4/28/2017–12/31/2018),” dated July 1, 2019; *see also* Mobilier Rustique’s Letter, “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada—Mobilier Rustique Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated July 1, 2019.

¹⁰ *See* Memorandum, “Intent to Rescind the 2017/2018 Administrative Review, in Part,” dated January 15, 2020 (Intent to Rescind Memorandum).

¹¹ *See* Brink Forest Products Ltd. and Vanderhoof Specialty Wood Products Ltd.’s Letter,

“Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty

¹ *See* *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 347 (January 3, 2018) (*CVD Order*).

² *See* *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 12209 (April 1, 2019).

Marketing and Sales Ltd. and Tolko Industries Ltd. (Tolko) submitted a comment requesting Commerce retain Tolko Industries Ltd. in the administrative review.¹² Commerce replied to the comments filed by Brink Forest/Vanderhoof and Tolko.¹³ Brink Forest/Vanderhoof are not included in the administrative review because the petitioner withdrew its request for review of the companies¹⁴ and Brink Forest/Vanderhoof did not request a review of themselves.¹⁵ The review of Tolko Industries Ltd. is not being rescinded. In the investigation,¹⁶ Commerce found Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd. to be cross-owned with Tolko Industries Ltd. and Meadow Lake OSB Limited Partnership and, therefore, all three companies are subject to the administrative review.¹⁷

No other party submitted comments on the Intent to Rescind Memorandum. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is rescinding this administrative review of the *CVD Order* with respect to the entities for which a withdrawal of review request was received.

Additionally, as a result of the final results of the CVD expedited review covering the *CVD Order*, subject merchandise produced and exported by certain companies is excluded from the order.¹⁸ We therefore are also rescinding the administrative review with respect to the excluded companies for which there was a request for review.

For more information on the companies for which a review was rescinded, see the Intent to Rescind Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Selected Companies Under Review

There are 247 companies for which a review was requested and not rescinded, but were not selected as mandatory respondents. The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not directly address the establishment of rates to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination where Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(e)(2) of the Act. However, Commerce normally determines the rates for non-selected companies in reviews in a manner that is consistent with section 705(c)(5) of the Act, which

provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation.

Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act instructs Commerce, as a general rule, to calculate an all-others rate equal to the weighted average of the countervailable subsidy rates established for exporters and/or producers individually examined, excluding any zero, *de minimis*, or rates based entirely on facts available. In this review, none of the rates for the respondents were zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. Therefore, for 2017 and 2018, we are assigning to the non-selected companies an average of the subsidy rates calculated for the companies that were selected as respondents in the administrative review. For further information on the calculation of the non-selected rate, see “Preliminary *Ad Valorem* Rate for Non-Selected Companies under Review” in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that, for 2017 and 2018, the following estimated countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Companies	Subsidy rate 2017 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)	Subsidy rate 2018 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)
Canfor Corporation and its cross-owned affiliates ¹⁹	2.93	2.61
J.D. Irving, Limited and its cross-owned affiliates ²⁰	3.47	2.66
Resolute FP Canada Inc. and its cross-owned affiliates ²¹	15.16	14.66
West Fraser Mills Ltd. and its cross-owned affiliates ²²	7.07	7.51
1074712 BC Ltd	6.71	6.55
5214875 Manitoba Ltd	6.71	6.55
752615 B.C Ltd, Fraserview Remanufacturing Inc, DBA Fraserview Cedar Products	6.71	6.55
9224-5737 Québec inc. (aka, A.G. Bois)	6.71	6.55
A.B. Cedar Shingle Inc	6.71	6.55
Absolute Lumber Products, Ltd	6.71	6.55
AJ Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Alberta Spruce Industries Ltd	6.71	6.55
Aler Forest Products, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Alpa Lumber Mills Inc	6.71	6.55
American Pacific Wood Products	6.71	6.55
Anbrook Industries Ltd	6.71	6.55
Andersen Pacific Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55

Order on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada,” dated January 21, 2020.

¹² See Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd. and Tolko Industries Ltd.’s Letter, “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Comments on Notice of Intent to Rescind Memorandum,” dated January 22, 2020.

¹³ See Memorandum, “Reply to Comments Regarding Notice of Intent to Rescind Review, In Part,” dated concurrently with this notice (Reply to Comments Memorandum).

¹⁴ See COALITION’s Letters, “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated June 19, 2019; and “Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Clarification of Petitioner’s Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated July 26, 2019.

¹⁵ See Reply to Comments Memorandum.

¹⁶ See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty*

Determination, and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 82 FR 51814, 51815–16 (November 8, 2017); see also *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 347, 349 (January 3, 2018).

¹⁷ See Reply to Comments Memorandum.

¹⁸ See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Expedited Review*, 84 FR 32121 (July 5, 2019). The excluded companies are: Les Produits Forestiers D&G Ltee (D&G), Marcel Lauzon Inc. (MLI), North American Forest Products Ltd. (NAFP) (located in Saint-Quentin, New Brunswick), Roland Boulanger & Cie Ltee (Roland), and Scierie Alexandre Lemay & Fils Inc. (Lemay).

¹⁹ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Canfor Corporation: Canadian Forest Products, Ltd., and Canfor Wood Products Marketing, Ltd.

²⁰ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with J.D. Irving, Limited: Miramichi Timber Holdings Limited, The New Brunswick Railway Company, Rothesay Paper Holdings Ltd., and St. George Pulp & Paper Limited.

²¹ Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Resolute: Resolute Growth Canada Inc., Produits Forestiers Maurice S.E.C., Abitibi-Bowater Canada Inc., Bowater Canadian Ltd., and Resolute Forest Products Inc.

²² Commerce preliminarily finds the following companies to be cross-owned with West Fraser: West Fraser Timber Co. Ltd., West Fraser Alberta Holdings, Ltd., Blue Ridge Lumber Inc., Manning Forest Products, Ltd., Sunpine Inc., and Sundre Forest Products Inc.

Companies	Subsidy rate 2017 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)	Subsidy rate 2018 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)
Anglo American Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Anglo-American Cedar Products, LTD	6.71	6.55
Antrim Cedar Corporation	6.71	6.55
Aquila Cedar Products, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Arbec Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
Aspen Planers Ltd	6.71	6.55
B&L Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
B.B. Pallets Inc	6.71	6.55
Babine Forest Products Limited	6.71	6.55
Bakerview Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Bardobec Inc	6.71	6.55
BarretteWood Inc	6.71	6.55
Barrette-Chapais Ltee	6.71	6.55
Benoît & Dionne Produits Forestiers Ltée	6.71	6.55
Best Quality Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Blanchet Multi Concept Inc	6.71	6.55
Blanchette & Blanchette Inc	6.71	6.55
Bois Aisé de Montréal inc	6.71	6.55
Bois Bonsaï inc	6.71	6.55
Bois Daaquam inc	6.71	6.55
Bois D'oeuvre Cedrico Inc. (aka, Cedrico Lumber Inc.)	6.71	6.55
Bois et Solutions Marketing SPEC, Inc	6.71	6.55
Boisaco	6.71	6.55
Boscus Canada Inc	6.71	6.55
BPWood Ltd	6.71	6.55
Bramwood Forest Inc	6.71	6.55
Brunswick Valley Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
Busque & Laflamme Inc	6.71	6.55
C&C Wood Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Caledonia Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Campbell River Shake & Shingle Co., Ltd	6.71	6.55
Canadian American Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Canadian Wood Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Canusa cedar inc	6.71	6.55
Canyon Lumber Company, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Careau Bois inc	6.71	6.55
Carrier & Begin Inc	6.71	6.55
Carrier Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Carrier Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Cedar Valley Holdings Ltd	6.71	6.55
Cedarline Industries, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Central Cedar Ltd	6.71	6.55
Centurion Lumber, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Clair Industrial Development Corp. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Chaleur Sawmills LP	6.71	6.55
Channel-ex Trading Corporation	6.71	6.55
Clermond Hamel Ltée	6.71	6.55
Coast Clear Wood Ltd	6.71	6.55
Coast Mountain Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Commonwealth Plywood Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Comox Valley Shakes Ltd	6.71	6.55
Conifex Fibre Marketing Inc	6.71	6.55
Cowichan Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
CS Manufacturing Inc., dba Cedarshed	6.71	6.55
CWP—Industriel inc	6.71	6.55
CWP—Montréal inc	6.71	6.55
D & D Pallets, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Dakeryn Industries Ltd	6.71	6.55
Decker Lake Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Delco Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Delta Cedar Specialties Ltd	6.71	6.55
Devon Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
DH Manufacturing Inc	6.71	6.55
Direct Cedar Supplies Ltd	6.71	6.55
Doubletree Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Downie Timber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Dunkley Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
EACOM Timber Corporation	6.71	6.55
East Fraser Fiber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Edgewood Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
ER Probyn Export Ltd	6.71	6.55

Companies	Subsidy rate 2017 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)	Subsidy rate 2018 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)
Eric Goguen & Sons Ltd	6.71	6.55
Falcon Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Foothills Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Fornebu Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Fraser Specialty Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Fraserview Cedar Products	6.71	6.55
Furtado Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
G & R Cedar Ltd	6.71	6.55
Galloway Lumber Company Ltd	6.71	6.55
Gilbert Smith Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Glandell Enterprises Inc	6.71	6.55
Goat Lake Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Goldband Shake & Shingle Ltd	6.71	6.55
Golden Ears Shingle Ltd	6.71	6.55
Goldwood Industries Ltd	6.71	6.55
Goodfellow Inc	6.71	6.55
Gorman Bros. Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Groupe Crête Chertsey	6.71	6.55
Groupe Crête division St-Faustin	6.71	6.55
Groupe Label inc	6.71	6.55
Groupe Lignarex inc	6.71	6.55
H.J. Crabbe & Sons Ltd	6.71	6.55
Haida Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Harry Freeman & Son Ltd	6.71	6.55
Hornepayne Lumber LP	6.71	6.55
Imperial Cedar Products, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Imperial Shake Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Independent Building Materials Dist	6.71	6.55
Interfor Corporation	6.71	6.55
Island Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Ivor Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
J&G Log Works Ltd	6.71	6.55
J.H. Huscroft Ltd	6.71	6.55
Jan Woodland (2001) inc	6.71	6.55
Jhajj Lumber Corporation	6.71	6.55
Kalesnikoff Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Kan Wood, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Kebois Ltée/Ltd	6.71	6.55
Keystone Timber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Kootenay Innovative Wood Ltd	6.71	6.55
L'Atelier de Réadaptation au travail de Beauce Inc	6.71	6.55
Lafontaine Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
Langevin Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Lecours Lumber Co. Limited	6.71	6.55
Ledwidge Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Leisure Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Les Bois d'oeuvre Beaudoin Gauthier inc	6.71	6.55
Les Bois Martek Lumber	6.71	6.55
Les Bois Traités M.G. Inc	6.71	6.55
Les Chantiers de Chibougamau Ltd	6.71	6.55
Leslie Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Lignum Forest Products LLP	6.71	6.55
Linwood Homes Ltd	6.71	6.55
Longlac Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
Lulumco inc	6.71	6.55
Magnum Forest Products, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Maibec inc	6.71	6.55
Manitou Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Marwood Ltd	6.71	6.55
Materiaux Blanchet Inc	6.71	6.55
Matsqui Management and Consulting Services Ltd., dba Canadian Cedar Roofing Depot	6.71	6.55
Metrie Canada Ltd	6.71	6.55
Mid Valley Lumber Specialties, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Midway Lumber Mills Ltd	6.71	6.55
Mill & Timber Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Millar Western Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
MP Atlantic Wood Ltd	6.71	6.55
Multicedre Itee	6.71	6.55
Nakina Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
National Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
New Future Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55

Companies	Subsidy rate 2017 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)	Subsidy rate 2018 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)
Nicholson and Cates Ltd	6.71	6.55
Norsask Forest Products Limited Partnership	6.71	6.55
North American Forest Products Ltd. (located in Abbotsford, British Columbia)	6.71	6.55
North Enderby Timber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Olympic Industries, Inc	6.71	6.55
Olympic Industries Inc-Reman Code	6.71	6.55
Olympic Industries ULC	6.71	6.55
Olympic Industries ULC-Reman	6.71	6.55
Olympic Industries ULC-Reman Code	6.71	6.55
Pacific Coast Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Pacific Pallet, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Pacific Western Wood Works Ltd	6.71	6.55
Parallel Wood Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Pat Power Forest Products Corporation	6.71	6.55
Phoenix Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Pine Ideas Ltd	6.71	6.55
Pioneer Pallet & Lumber Ltd	6.71	6.55
Porcupine Wood Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Power Wood Corp	6.71	6.55
Precision Cedar Products Corp	6.71	6.55
Prendville Industries Ltd. (aka, Kenora Forest Products)	6.71	6.55
Produits Forestiers Mauricie	6.71	6.55
Produits Forestiers Petit Paris	6.71	6.55
Produits forestiers Temrex, s.e.c	6.71	6.55
Produits Matra Inc	6.71	6.55
Promobois G.D.S. inc	6.71	6.55
Rayonier A.M. Canada GP	6.71	6.55
Rembos Inc	6.71	6.55
Rene Bernard Inc	6.71	6.55
Richard Lutes Cedar Inc	6.71	6.55
Rielly Industrial Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
S & K Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
S&R Sawmills Ltd	6.71	6.55
S&W Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
San Industries Ltd	6.71	6.55
Sawarne Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Scierie St-Michel inc	6.71	6.55
Scierie West Brome Inc	6.71	6.55
Scotsburn Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Sechoirs de Beauce Inc	6.71	6.55
Serpentine Cedar Ltd	6.71	6.55
Serpentine Cedar Roofing Ltd	6.71	6.55
Sexton Lumber Co. Ltd	6.71	6.55
Sigurdson Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Silvaris Corporation	6.71	6.55
Silver Creek Premium Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Sinclar Group Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Skana Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Skeena Sawmills Ltd	6.71	6.55
Sound Spars Enterprise Ltd	6.71	6.55
South Beach Trading Inc	6.71	6.55
Specialiste du Bardeau de Cedre Inc	6.71	6.55
Spruceland Millworks Inc	6.71	6.55
Surrey Cedar Ltd	6.71	6.55
T.G. Wood Products, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Taan Forest Products	6.71	6.55
Taiga Building Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Tall Tree Lumber Company	6.71	6.55
Teal Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Tembec Inc	6.71	6.55
Terminal Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
The Teal-Jones Group	6.71	6.55
The Wood Source Inc	6.71	6.55
Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd., Tolko Industries Ltd., and Meadow Lake OSB Limited Partnership	6.71	6.55
Trans-Pacific Trading Ltd	6.71	6.55
Triad Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Twin Rivers Paper Co. Inc	6.71	6.55
Tyee Timber Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Universal Lumber Sales Ltd	6.71	6.55
Usine Sartigan Inc	6.71	6.55
Vaagen Fibre Canada, ULC	6.71	6.55

Companies	Subsidy rate 2017 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)	Subsidy rate 2018 <i>ad valorem</i> (percent)
Valley Cedar 2 ULC	6.71	6.55
Vancouver Island Shingle, Ltd	6.71	6.55
Vancouver Specialty Cedar Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Visscher Lumber Inc	6.71	6.55
W.I. Woodtone Industries Inc	6.71	6.55
Waldun Forest Product Sales Ltd	6.71	6.55
Watkins Sawmills Ltd	6.71	6.55
West Bay Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
West Wind Hardwood Inc	6.71	6.55
Western Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Western Lumber Sales Limited	6.71	6.55
Western Wood Preservers Ltd	6.71	6.55
Weston Forest Products Inc	6.71	6.55
Westrend Exteriors Inc	6.71	6.55
Weyerhaeuser Co	6.71	6.55
White River Forest Products L.P	6.71	6.55
Winton Homes Ltd	6.71	6.55
Woodline Forest Products Ltd	6.71	6.55
Woodstock Forest Products	6.71	6.55
Woodtone Specialties Inc	6.71	6.55
Yarrow Wood Ltd	6.71	6.55

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose to the parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching these preliminary results within five days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.²³ Commerce also intends to issue post-preliminary decision memoranda subsequent to the publication of this notice. Commerce will notify the parties to this proceeding of the deadlines for the submission of case and rebuttal briefs after the issuance of the last post-preliminary decision memorandum via a memorandum to the file of the proceeding.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number; the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date and time of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written briefs, within 120 days after the date of publication of this notice.

Assessment Rates and Cash Deposit Requirement

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), Commerce has preliminarily assigned subsidy rates as indicated above. Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amount calculated for the year 2018 from the companies identified above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed companies, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Assessment for Companies With Rescinded Reviews

Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all entries of subject merchandise during the period April 28, 2017 through December 31, 2018, at the rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice.

With regard to D&G, MLI, NAFP (located in Saint-Quentin, New Brunswick), Roland, and Lemay, only subject merchandise that is produced and exported by D&G, MLI, NAFP, Roland, and Lemay are excluded from the *CVD Order*. Subject merchandise from either a producer or exporter identified in the producer/exporter combinations above, where the other entity in the transaction does not match the excluded producer/exporter combinations, remains subject to the *CVD Order*.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

²³ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Dated: January 31, 2020.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Rescission of Review
- IV. Period of Review
- V. Scope of the Order
- VI. Subsidies Valuation
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Preliminary *Ad Valorem* Rate for Non-Selected Companies Under Review
- IX. Programs To Be Addressed After the Preliminary Results
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-02470 Filed 2-6-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that sales of circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (CWP) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) have been made below normal value. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable February 7, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Manuel Rey or Whitley Herndon, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5518 or (202) 482-6274, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the UAE. The notice of initiation of this administrative review was published on March 14, 2019.¹ This review covers 20

producers and exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review is December 1, 2017 through November 30, 2018. On September 9, 2019, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this administrative review until January 31, 2020.²

Commerce selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination: Conares Metal Supply Ltd. (Conares) and Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC (collectively, Universal).³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is welded carbon-quality steel pipes and tube, of circular cross-section, with an outside diameter not more than nominal 16 inches (406.4 mm), regardless of wall thickness, surface finish, end finish, or industry specification, and generally known as standard pipe, fence pipe and tube, sprinkler pipe, or structural pipe (although subject product may also be referred to as mechanical tubing). The products subject to this order are currently classifiable in Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical reporting numbers 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, 7306.19.5150, 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5015,

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 84 FR 12200, 12201 (April 1, 2019).

² See Memorandum, “December Order Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government,” dated August 7, 2019; see also “Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated September 9, 2019.

³ Commerce previously determined that Universal is a collapsed entity consisting of the following three producers/exporters of subject merchandise: Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd., KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC, and Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC (UTP). See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 81 FR 36882 (June 8, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 81 FR 75030 (October 28, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for purposes of this administrative review. Additionally, we previously determined that THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC is the successor-in-interest to Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019) (*CWP from UAE 2016-2017 Final Results*).

7306.30.5020, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, 7306.30.5090, 7306.50.1000, 7306.50.5030, 7306.50.5050, and 7306.50.5070. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁴

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine that, for the period December 1, 2017 through November 30, 2018, the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Conares Metal Supply Ltd	2.49

⁴ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2017-2018 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 9297 (March 14, 2019), as amended in *Initiation of*