

## APPENDIX A TO PART 11—FEE SCHEDULE FOR FY 2020—Continued

State	County	Fee/acre/yr
	Campbell .....	10.53
	Carbon .....	10.23
	Converse .....	6.87
	Crook .....	16.15
	Fremont .....	15.83
	Goshen .....	14.14
	Hot Springs .....	12.71
	Johnson .....	11.05
	Laramie .....	13.08
	Lincoln .....	32.75
	Natrona .....	11.35
	Niobrara .....	9.76
	Park .....	25.49
	Platte .....	13.08
	Sheridan .....	14.99
	Sublette .....	24.47
	Sweetwater .....	3.68
	Teton .....	57.88
	Uinta .....	13.37
	Washakie .....	16.15
	Weston .....	8.51

[FR Doc. 2020–01455 Filed 2–5–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## Internal Revenue Service

## 26 CFR Part 25

[TD 9884]

RIN 1545–B072

## Estate and Gift Taxes; Difference in the Basic Exclusion Amount

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to Treasury Decision 9884, which was published in the **Federal Register** for Tuesday, November 26, 2019. Treasury Decision 9884 contained final regulations addressing the effect of recent legislative changes to the basic exclusion amount allowable in computing Federal gift and estate taxes. The final regulations affect donors of gifts made after 2017 and the estates of decedents dying after 2017.

**DATES:**

**Effective Date:** These final regulations are effective on and after February 6, 2020.

**Applicability Date:** For date of applicability, see § 20.2010–1(f)(2).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John D. MacEachen, (202) 317–6859 (not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

The final regulation (TD 9884) that is the subject of this correction is under section 2010 of the Internal Revenue Code.

**Need for Correction**

As published November 26, 2019 (84 FR 64995), the final regulation (TD 9884; FR Doc. 2019–25601) contained an omission that may prove misleading and therefore should be corrected.

**List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 25**

Gift taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 25 is corrected by making the following corrective amendment:

**PART 25—GIFT TAX; GIFTS MADE AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1954**

■ **Par. 1.** The authority citation for part 25 continues to read in part as follows:

**Authority:** 26 U.S.C. 7805.

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 25.2505–2 [Amended]**

■ **Par. 2.** Section 25.2505–2 is amended by removing “§ 20.2010–1(d)(5)” wherever it appears and adding in its place “§ 20.2010–1(e)(5)”.

**Martin V. Franks,**

*Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).*

[FR Doc. 2020–01392 Filed 2–5–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## Office of the Secretary

## 32 CFR Part 1288

[Docket ID: DOD–2018–OS–0066]

RIN 0790–AK15

## Registration of Privately Owned Motor Vehicles

**AGENCY:** Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), DoD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This part was established to prescribe the Department of Defense (DoD) policy and procedures for the registration, inspection, and marking of privately owned vehicles (POV) on Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) activities. Since the requirement to register, inspect, and mark privately-owned vehicles on DLA activities was rescinded in 2012, the need for this part no longer exists, and it should be removed from the CFR.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on February 6, 2020.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bruce A. Thomas, Deputy Staff Director, Security & Emergency Services, DLA Installation Management, 571–767–1279.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on removing obsolete DoD policies and procedures.

DLA activities historically registered and marked vehicles accessing DLA

activities as a force protection measure to ensure only authorized vehicles were granted access. DLA ceased registering vehicles accessing DLA activities in November 2011 following policy direction from the Department of Defense. DoD Directive-Type Memorandum (DTM) 09-012, "Interim Policy Guidance for DoD Physical Access Control" (available at <http://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dtm/DTM-09-012.pdf?ver=2018-08-23-074619-957>), was published in September 2009 and defined new minimum standards for controlling access to DoD installations. Access control shifted from vehicle identification to personnel identification and validation of personnel identification credentials. DLA formally rescinded its policy, DLA Instruction 4309, "Vehicle Registration," on August 2, 2012.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review." Therefore, E.O. 13771, "Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs," does not apply.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 1288

Motor vehicles.

#### PART 1288—[REMOVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 1288 is removed.

Dated: January 27, 2020.

Aaron T. Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2020-01686 Filed 2-5-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Parts 3, 100, and 165

[Docket Number USCG-2019-0943]

#### Coast Guard Sector Virginia; Sector Name Conforming Amendment

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule makes non-substantive amendments to Coast Guard regulations in association with a change in the Coast Guard's internal organization. The amendment describes the name change of "Sector Hampton Roads Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone" to "Sector Virginia Marine Inspection Zone and

Captain of the Port Zone." This rule will have no substantive effect on the regulated public.

**DATES:** This rule is effective February 6, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2019-0943 in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email Commander Eric Matthies, Sector Logistics Division Chief, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 757-483-8515, email [Eric.J.Matthies@uscg.mil](mailto:Eric.J.Matthies@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### II. Background Information and Regulatory History

Sector Hampton Roads leadership made the strategic decision to submit an Organization Modification Request to change the existing unit name from "Sector Hampton Roads Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone" (Sector Hampton Roads) to "Sector Virginia Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone" (Sector Virginia). The action was necessary to more accurately reflect the Sector's geographic operational responsibility and jurisdiction as well as to eliminate confusion and bolster community relations. From a strategic communications and community relations perspective, keeping the name "Sector Hampton Roads" created a unique challenge when working and coordinating efforts with communities, the media, and government officials outside of the Hampton Roads region. The name Sector Hampton Roads created confusion with outlying communities such as those in the Upper Middle Peninsulas of Virginia as well as the Eastern Shore of Virginia. By renaming the unit to "Sector Virginia," it more appropriately identifies the unit to our federal, state, and local government agency partners, who cohesively service the state of Virginia, with the exception of the Maryland-National Capital Region. Also, the name change to "Sector Virginia" enhances communications and formal Coast Guard name recognition with our port partners who attend statewide meetings

in support of the Area Maritime Security Committees, Area Committees and Harbor Safety Committees as well as coordinating incident response during natural disasters and National Special Security Events. The name change to "Sector Virginia" has no effect on the area of operation boundary lines or existing organizational structure.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to align the text of Coast Guard regulations, with a change in the Coast Guard's internal organization. Specifically, this rule amends 33 CFR 3.25-10, to reflect the changed sector name from "Sector Hampton Roads Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone" to "Sector Virginia Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone", and makes corresponding changes to 33 CFR 100.501, 165.501, 165.503, 165.504, 165.506, 165.518, and 165.550.

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under both 5 U.S.C. 553(b) (A) and (B), the Coast Guard finds that this rule is exempt from notice and comment rulemaking requirements because these changes involve rules of agency organization, and good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM because the changes made are all non-substantive. This rule consists only of organizational amendments. These changes will have no substantive effect on the public; therefore, it is unnecessary to publish an NPRM. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that, for the same reasons, good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The rule makes non-substantive amendments to Coast Guard regulations, in order to align with a change in the Coast Guard's internal organization. The amendment describes the name change from Sector Hampton Roads to Sector Virginia. This rule will have no substantive effect on the regulated public.

##### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 14 U.S.C. 504(a)(2), as delegated, to establish, change the location of, maintain, and operate Coast Guard shore establishments. The rule is needed to reflect a change in the Coast Guard's internal organization.

##### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and