These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).


Jeffrey I. Kessler,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Margin Calculations
V. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Product Characteristics and Control Numbers for NVR
Comment 2: NVR’s Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset Claim
Comment 3: Whether To Apply Smoothing for NVR’s Material Costs
Comment 4: Universe of Sales for NVR
Comment 5: Other NVR Adjustments
VI. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–423–812]

Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From Belgium: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that the producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), November 14, 2016 through April 30, 2018.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brittany Bauer or Alex Wood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3860 or (202) 482–1959, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers eight producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two companies, Industeel Belgium S.A. (Industeel) and NLMK Clabeq S.A./NLMK Plate Sales S.A./NLMK Sales Europe S.A./NLMK Manage Steel Center S.A./NLMK La Louviere S.A. (collectively, NLMK Belgium), for individual examination. The producers and/or exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in the “Final Results of the Review” section of this notice.

On July 17, 2019, Commerce published the Preliminary Results. For a description of the events that occurred since the Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum. On October 23, 2019, we postponed the final results by 57 days, until January 10, 2020.

2 See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2016–2018 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Belgium,” dated concurrently with these results (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.
3 See Memorandum, “Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Belgium: Extension of
Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period November 14, 2016 through April 30, 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producers/exporters</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margins (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industeel Belgium S.A. ...............</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLMK Clabecq S.A./NLMK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate Sales S.A./NLMK Sales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe S.A./NLMK Manage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Center S.A./NLMK La</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louviere S.A</td>
<td>16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hengelhoef Concrete Joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV *</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarense NV *</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThyssenKrupp Materials Belgium N.V.</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Eisen und Stahl</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GmbH *</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvan Baling Systems *</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voestalpine Belgium NV. *</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Review-Specific Average Rate

Disclosure of Calculations

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where Industeel and NLMK Belgium reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondents did not report entered value, we calculated the entered value in order to calculate the assessment rate. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. We further will instruct CBP to take into account the “provisional measures deposit cap.” in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(d). The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review.

Commerce’s “reseller policy” will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company/ies involved in the transaction.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the average of the cash deposit rates calculated for Industeel and NLMK Belgium. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.40 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruiction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

---

5 See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

6 See Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Austria, Belgium, France, the Federal Republic of Germany, Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations and Duty Orders, 82 FR 24096, 24098 (May 25, 2017).

7 See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Margin Calculations
V. Discussion of the Issues

Comments Pertaining to Indussteel
Comment 1: Accounting for Indussteel’s Rebate Costs
Comment 2: Write-down of Indussteel’s Inventory Reserves
Comments Pertaining to NLMK Belgium
Comment 3: Use of Adverse Facts Available
Comment 4: Use of Partial Adverse Facts Available
Comment 5: Difference-in-Merchandise Adjustment
Comment 6: Level of Trade
Comment 7: Alternative Calculation of Indirect Selling Expenses
Comment 8: Calculating Home Market Short-term Borrowing
Comment 9: Calculating U.S. Short-term Borrowing
Comment 10: Adjustments to International Freight Expense
Comment 11: U.S. Billing Adjustment
Comment 12: Adjustments to U.S. Freight Revenue
Comment 13: Home Market Inland Freight and Warehouse Expense Adjustments
VI. Recommendation
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[ C–489–819 ]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part; 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminary determines that producers/exporters of steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) received net countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1 through December 31, 2017. Commerce preliminarily determines that the mandatory respondents, Icdas Celik Enerji Tersanesi ve Ulasm Sanayi A.S. (Icdas), and Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Ege), and Ege Demir ve Celik Sanayi Anonim Sirketi (Ekiniler), and Kocaer Haddedcilik Sanayi ve Ticar (Kocaer) timely filed no-shipments certifications. U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) did not provide Commerce with any contrary information. Because there is no evidence on the record to indicate that

Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) imported in either straight length or coil form regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade. For a complete description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each subsidy program found countervailable, we preliminarily find that there is a subsidy, i.e., a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part

Agir Haddedcilik A.S. (Agir), Asil Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Asil), Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Ege), Ekiniler Demir ve Celik Sanayi Anonim Sirketi (Ekiniler), and Kocaer Haddedcilik Sanayi ve Ticar (Kocaer) timely filed no-shipments certifications. U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) did not provide Commerce with any contrary information. Because there is no evidence on the record to indicate that

Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Countervailing Duty Order, 79 FR 65926 (November 6, 2014) (Order).

See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.