

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 10, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Margin Calculations
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Product Characteristics and Control Numbers for NVR
 - Comment 2: NVR's Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset Claim
 - Comment 3: Whether To Apply Smoothing for NVR's Material Costs
 - Comment 4: Universe of Sales for NVR
 - Comment 5: Other NVR Adjustments
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-00761 Filed 1-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-812]

Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From Belgium: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that the producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), November 14, 2016 through April 30, 2018.

DATES: Applicable January 17, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brittany Bauer or Alex Wood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3860 or (202) 482-1959, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers eight producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two companies, Industeel Belgium S.A. (Industeel) and NLMK Clabecq S.A./NLMK Plate Sales S.A./NLMK Sales Europe S.A./NLMK Manage Steel Center S.A./NLMK La Louviere S.A. (collectively, NLMK Belgium), for individual examination. The producers and/or exporters not selected for individual examination are listed in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

On July 17, 2019, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ For a description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² On October 23, 2019, we postponed the final results by 57 days, until January 10, 2020.³

¹ See *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Belgium: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2018*, 84 FR 34129 (July 17, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2016-2018 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Belgium," dated concurrently with these results (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

³ See Memorandum, "Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Belgium: Extension of

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain carbon and alloy steel cut-to-length plate from Belgium. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule on the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 7208.40.3030, 7208.40.3060, 7208.51.0030, 7208.51.0045, 7208.51.0060, 7208.52.0000, 7211.13.0000, 7211.14.0030, 7211.14.0045, 7225.40.1110, 7225.40.1180, 7225.40.3005, 7225.40.3050, 7226.20.0000, and 7226.91.5000. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum which accompanies this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all interested parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margins for Industeel and NLMK Belgium, and for those companies not selected for individual review.⁴

Deadline for Final Results of 2016-2018 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated October 23, 2019.

⁴ See accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period November 14, 2016 through April 30, 2018:

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margins (percent)
Industeel Belgium S.A	4.75
NLMK Clabecq S.A./NLMK Plate Sales S.A./NLMK Sales Europe S.A./NLMK Manage Steel Center S.A./NLMK La Louviere S.A	16.14
Hengelhoefer Concrete Joints NV *	13.53
Sarens NV *	13.53
Thyssenkrupp Materials Belgium N.V. *	13.53
Universal Eisen und Stahl GmbH *	13.53
Valvan Baling Systems *	13.53
Voestalpine Belgium NV. *	13.53

* Review-Specific Average Rate⁵

Disclosure of Calculations

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where Industeel and NLMK Belgium reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondents did not report entered value, we calculated the entered value in order to calculate the assessment rate. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to

⁵ This rate is based on the rates for the respondents that were selected for individual review, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. See section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. We further will instruct CBP to take into account the "provisional measures deposit cap," in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(d). The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review.⁶

Commerce's "reseller policy" will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the average of the cash deposit rates calculated for Industeel and NLMK Belgium.⁷ The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁸

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin that is established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific cash deposit rate published for the most recently completed segment of this

proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.40 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

⁹ See *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate from Austria, Belgium, France, the Federal Republic of Germany, Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for France, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 FR 24096, 24098 (May 25, 2017).

⁶ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

⁷ This rate was calculated as discussed in footnote 5.

⁸ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

Dated: January 10, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Margin Calculations
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comments Pertaining to Industeel*
 - Comment 1: Accounting for Industeel's Rebate Costs
 - Comment 2: Write-down of Industeel's Inventory Reserves
 - Comments Pertaining to NLMK Belgium*
 - Comment 3: Use of Adverse Facts Available
 - Comment 4: Use of Partial Adverse Facts Available
 - Comment 5: Difference-in-Merchandise Adjustment
 - Comment 6: Level of Trade
 - Comment 7: Alternative Calculation of Indirect Selling Expenses
 - Comment 8: Calculating Home Market Short-term Borrowing
 - Comment 9: Calculating U.S. Short-term Borrowing
 - Comment 10: Adjustments to International Freight Expense
 - Comment 11: U.S. Billing Adjustment
 - Comment 12: Adjustments to U.S. Freight Revenue
 - Comment 13: Home Market Inland Freight and Warehouse Expense Adjustments
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020-00760 Filed 1-16-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-819]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part; 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers/exporters of steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) received net countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1 through December 31, 2017. Commerce preliminarily determines that the mandatory respondents, Icdas Celik Ernji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (Icdas), and Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S. and Kaptan Metal Dis Ticaret Ve Nakliyat A.S. (collectively, Kaptan), each received *de*

minimis net countervailable subsidies during the POR. This review also includes 15 companies not individually examined, which Commerce preliminarily determines received net countervailable subsidies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable January 17, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Decker, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0196.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 6, 2019, Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on rebar from Turkey.¹ On July 25, 2019, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results to January 9, 2020.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 2159, 2168 (February 6, 2019). A clarification regarding the initiation was also published. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 9297, 9307 n.11 (March 14, 2019).

² See Memorandum, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results in 2017 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated July 25, 2019.

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of and the Preliminary Intent to Rescind, in Part: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey; 2017," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order⁴

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) imported in either straight length or coil form regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade. For a complete description of the scope, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each subsidy program found countervailable, we preliminarily find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁵ For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part

Agir Haddecilik A.S. (Agir), Asil Celik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Asil), Ege Celik Endustrisi Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Ege), Ekinciler Demir ve Celik Sanayi Anonim Sirketi (Ekinciler), and Kocaer Haddecilik Sanayi ve Ticar (Kocaer) timely filed no-shipments certifications.⁶ U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) did not provide Commerce with any contrary information. Because there is no evidence on the record to indicate that

⁴ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Countervailing Duty Order*, 79 FR 65926 (November 6, 2014) (*Order*).

⁵ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁶ See Agir's letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey (C-489-819): Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (1/1/17-12/31/17)," dated February 22, 2019; Asil's letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey (C-489-819): Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (1/1/17-12/31/17)," dated February 22, 2019; Ege's letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey (C-489-819): Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (1/1/17-12/31/17), Certification Of No Sales," dated March 5 2019; Ekinciler's letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey (C-489-819): Countervailing Duty Administrative Review (1/1/17-12/31/17)," dated February 21, 2019; and Kocaer's letter, "2017 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review Involving Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Notice of No Sales," dated February 21, 2019.