Pursuant to the 2015 Act and 17 CFR 201.1001, the adjusted penalty amounts in this Notice (and all penalty adjustments performed pursuant to the 2015 Act) apply to penalties imposed after the date the adjustment is effective for violations that occurred after November 2, 2015, the 2015 Act’s enactment date. These penalty amounts supersede the amounts in the 2018 Adjustment.\(^1\) For violations that occurred on or before November 2, 2015, the penalty amounts in Table I to 17 CFR 201.1001 continue to apply.\(^2\)

By the Commission.


Vanessa A. Countryman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–00306 Filed 1–10–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule Regarding the Floor Broker Prepayment Program


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)\(^3\) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^4\) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\(^5\) notice is hereby given that on January 2, 2020, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

---

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule (“Fee Schedule”) regarding the Floor Broker Prepayment Program. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective January 2, 2020. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

---


A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to modify and extend the prepayment incentive program for Floor Broker organizations (each a “Floor Broker”) which allows Floor Brokers to prepay certain annual costs in exchange for volume rebates, as set forth in the Fee Schedule (the “FB Prepay Program” or “Program”).

Pursuant to the current FB Prepay Program, the Exchange offers Floor Brokers a 10% discount on their “Eligible Fixed Costs” if such costs are prepaid in advance of the year (the “10% Discount”) and an opportunity to qualify for the Percentage Growth Incentive (the “Growth Incentive”), which is designed to encourage Floor Brokers to increase their average daily volume (“ADV”) in billable manual contract sides by certain percentages (correlated with Tiers) as measured against (the greater of) one of two benchmarks.

The Exchange proposes to make several changes to this Program, including to make it renewable annually, to remove the 10% Discount, and to offer an alternative annual fixed rebate amount if a participant qualifies for the Growth Incentive. Currently, if a Floor Broker qualifies for the Growth Incentive, it would be eligible for specified percentage reductions of its pre-paid annual fixed costs. The Exchange proposes to offer an alternative to receive a specified annual fixed rebate if a Floor Broker qualifies for the Growth Incentive. Participants that qualify would receive the greater of the two rebates. The Exchange also proposes to adjust the qualifying baseline volumes and benchmarks.

The Exchange proposes to implement the fee change effective January 2, 2020.

2. Background

The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”

There are currently 16 registered options exchanges competing for order flow. Based on publicly-available information, and excluding index-based options, no single exchange has more than 16% of the market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades. Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of multiply-listed equity & ETF options order flow. More specifically, in the third quarter of 2019, the Exchange had less than 10% market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity & ETF options order flow. The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to fee changes. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain options exchange transaction fees. To respond to this competitive marketplace, the Exchange has established incentives for Floor Brokers, as such participants serve an important function in facilitating the execution of orders via open outcry, which promotes price discovery on the public markets. To the extent that these incentives succeed, the increased liquidity on the Exchange would result in enhanced market quality for all participants.

3. Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to modify the Floor Broker Prepayment Program in several ways. First, the Exchange proposes to remove reference to specific years and to add rule text making clear that the Program is renewable on an annual basis. The Exchange also proposes to remove language regarding the 10% Discount, as that would no longer be included in the Program. In addition, the Exchange proposes to expand the Growth Incentive to provide an annual fixed-rebate option.

Currently, to qualify for the Growth Incentive, the minimum threshold that a participant needs to exceed is the greater of: 11,000 contract sides in billable manual ADV, or 110% of the Floor Broker’s total billable manual ADV in contract sides during the second half of 2017—i.e., July through December 2017.

The Exchange proposes to revise the minimum thresholds that a participant needs to exceed to qualify for the Growth Incentive as follows: 20,000 contract sides (up from 11,000) in billable manual ADV; or 105% of the Floor Broker’s total billable manual ADV in contract sides (down from 110%) during the second half of 2017—i.e., July through December 2017. The Exchange believes that 20,000 ADV is a reasonable minimum threshold above which a participating Floor Broker would need to increase volume in order to qualify for the Growth Incentive given the increased options volume executed by Floor Brokers in the past year. The Exchange also notes that Floor Brokers that are new to the Exchange would be able to qualify for the Growth Incentive based on the minimum threshold of 20,000 contract sides. In addition, because Floor Broker volume has increased, the Exchange believes that Floor Brokers that previously participated in the Program would be able to achieve this proposed minimum

5 See id. (providing that Eligible Fixed Costs include: OTP Trading Participant Rights—Floor Broker; Floor Broker Order Capture Device—Market Data Fees; Floor Booths; Options Floor Access Fee; and Wire Services).
6 The Percentage Growth Incentive excludes Customer volume, Firm Facilitation and Broker Dealer facilitating a Customer trades, and QCCs. Any volume calculated to achieve the Firm and Broker Dealer Monthly Fee Cap and the Limit of Fees on Options Strategy Executions, are likewise excluded from the Percentage Growth Incentive because fees on such volume is already capped and therefore does not increase billable manual volume. See id.
9 Based on OCC data, see id., the Exchange’s market share in equity-based options declined from 9.57% for the month of January to 9.52% for the month of September.
10 See proposed Fee Schedule, FLOOR BROKER FIXED COST PREPAYMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM (the “FB Prepay Program”) (including removing reference to specific years and adding references to Floor Brokers prepaying for the “the following calendar year” after committing thereto by “the last business day of December in the current year”); See id.; Sec. 201.16(d)(1) of the Exchange's Circular Letter No. 61 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) (S7–10–04) (“Reg NMS Adopting Release”).
11 See proposed Fee Schedule, FLOOR BROKER FIXED COST PREPAYMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM (the “FB Prepay Program”). For consistency, the Exchange would also remove reference to “larger discounts” as it related to the smaller 10% Discount and replace this reference with the word “rebates.” See id.
12 See Fee Schedule, FLOOR BROKER FIXED COST PREPAYMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM (the “FB Prepay Program”).
13 See proposed Fee Schedule, FLOOR BROKER FIXED COST PREPAYMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM (the “FB Prepay Program”).
threshold. The Exchange likewise believes it is appropriate to reduce the requisite percentage to meet the 2017 benchmark because it would make this alternative more achievable for Floor Brokers that do not meet the billable manual ADV threshold. The Exchange notes that the changes to the Program are designed to encourage those Floor Brokers that have previously enrolled in the Program to reenroll for the upcoming year as well as to attract Floor Brokers that have not yet participated.

Regardless of which benchmark a Floor Broker’s growth is measured against, all Floor Brokers that aim to qualify for the Growth Incentive would be required to increase volume executed on the Exchange. The total annual rebate available for achieving each Tier would be the same regardless of whether the Floor Broker relied on the minimum (proposed) 20,000 ADV contract sides as the benchmark or 105% of the second half of 2017 volume.

The Exchange also proposes to add an option for a Floor broker to receive a fixed rebate instead of a percentage reduction of pre-paid annual fixed costs if it qualifies for the Growth Incentive. To reflect this new option, the Exchange proposes to add rule text providing that “[e]ligible Floor Broker organizations are entitled to an annual rebate that is the greater of the ‘Total Percentage Reduction of pre-paid annual Eligible Fixed Costs’ or the ‘Alternative Rebate’ based upon the Percentage Growth Incentive Tier achieved, as set forth in the table below”.

As in prior years, the Exchange is proposing rebates based on the growth in ADV in contract sides, but proposes to modify (and make more achievable) the requisite Percentage Growth requirements to as low as 5% to achieve an annual rebate of 25% of prepaid Eligible Fixed Costs or $4,000/month, whichever is greater, to Growth Incentive as high as 150% to achieve an annual rebate of 100% Eligible Fixed Costs or $18,000/month (under new Tier 5), whichever is greater. Just as the total percentage reduction increases as the Percentage Growth increases, the Exchange proposes that the annual Alternative Rebate, with fixed dollar amounts tied to each Tier, would also increase as the Percentage Growth increases. Participants that qualify for one of the Tiers would receive only the higher of the two potential rebates, paid annually.

The following table reflects the proposed changes (with deletions in brackets and new text italicized): 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>Percentage growth incentive</th>
<th>Total percentage reduction of pre-paid annual eligible fixed costs [for 2019]</th>
<th>Alternative rebate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>[65]25</td>
<td>[75]50</td>
<td>$6,000/month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>[100]50</td>
<td>[100]*75</td>
<td>$8,000/month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$14,000/month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$18,000/month.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, as proposed, a participating Floor Broker would qualify for the proposed Growth Incentive by executing ADV growth in manual billable contract sides that is 5%, 25%, 50%, 100% or 150%, over the greater of (i) 20,000 contract sides ADV; or (ii) 105% of their ADV during the second half of 2017 (i.e., July through December). Participants that qualify for Tiers 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 would be eligible for 25%, 50%, 75%, 80% or 100% of their pre-paid annual Eligible Fixed costs, respectively. However, if the amount of the annual Alternative Rebate works out to be greater than the rebate available under the Growth Incentive program, the Floor Broker would be entitled to that amount.

Although this program relates to fixed costs, the Exchange believes the Program (as modified) would continue to incent Floor Brokers to increase their billable volume executed in open outcry on the Exchange, which would benefit all market participants by expanding liquidity and providing more trading opportunities, even to those market participants that have not committed to the Program. Regardless of which benchmark a participating Floor Broker’s growth is measured against, all Floor Broker’s that opt to participate would be required to increase volume executed on the Exchange in order to receive the enhanced discount. The Exchange cannot predict with certainty whether any Floor Brokers would avail themselves of this proposed fee change. However, all Floor brokers are eligible for this Program.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,16 in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,17 in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

The Proposed Rule Change Is Reasonable

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its

14 See id. The Exchange notes that new Tier 4 effectively replacing current Tier 3 in terms of growth requirement and potential rebate, as the Exchange has lowered (and made more achievable) proposed Tier 3. See id.

15 Given that the annual Alternative Rebate will be available for all Tiers (and not just Tier 3 as is currently the case), the Exchange also proposes to delete the following language from the Fee Schedule as obsolete: “*Participants in the FB

Prepay Program that qualify for Tier 3 will be rebated the greater of 100% of their pre-paid annual Eligible Fixed Costs, or $10,000/month.” See id.


17 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).
broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”

There are currently 16 registered options exchanges competing for order flow. Based on publicly-available information, and excluding index-based options, no single exchange has more than 16% of the market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity and ETF options trades. Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of multiply-listed equity & ETF options order flow. More specifically, in the third quarter of 2019, the Exchange had less than 10% market share of executed volume of multiply-listed equity & ETF options trades.

The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow, or discontinue or reduce use of certain categories of products, in response to fee changes. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain exchange transaction fees. Stated otherwise, changes to exchange transaction fees can have a direct effect on the ability of an exchange to compete for order flow.

The Exchange believes the FB Prepay Program, as modified, is reasonable because the Program is optional and Floor Brokers can elect to participate or not. In addition, the Exchange is continuing to offer two alternative means to achieve the same enhanced rebate to ensure that Floor Brokers that are new to the Exchange (or Floor Brokers that did not execute more than 20,000 ADV in contract sides) could also participate in the Program. The Exchange believes that increasing one of the alternate requirements to 20,000 ADV is a reasonable minimum threshold above which a participating Floor Broker would need to increase volume in order to realize the proposed Growth Incentive because numerous Floor brokers exceeded this volume requirement in 2019, even though it was not required. Because Floor Brokers are already performing at this level, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to adjust the eligibility requirement for the Growth Incentive to match current performance levels. Having demonstrated an ability to meet this higher volume threshold, the Exchange is seeking to encourage Floor Brokers to sustain this volume threshold throughout the year. The Exchange also believes it is reasonable to use each Floor Broker’s historical volume in the second half of 2017 as a benchmark against which to measure future growth to achieve the proposed Growth Incentive, and to lower from 110% to 105% the requisite increase over the Floor Broker’s 2017 volume, because it makes the Growth Incentive more achievable and provides an opportunity for more Floor Brokers to qualify for the Growth Incentive Program.

The Exchange further believes that the proposed changes to add more tiers to the Growth Incentive is reasonable because it will provide greater opportunities to Floor Brokers to be eligible for one of the two rebates by providing lower thresholds to qualify. Overall, the proposed changes to the Growth Incentive program are designed to make the existing Tiers more achievable while adding new Tiers 4 and 5 to encourage increased executions by Floor Brokers on the Exchange, which activity (even with lower volume thresholds) would benefit all market participants.

The Exchange also believes it is reasonable to provide an annual alternative fixed rebate because it provides an option for Floor brokers to earn the higher of the percentage reduction rebate, or the fixed-rebate amount.

Moreover, the FB Prepay Program provides Floor Brokers the opportunity to receive rebates on its Eligible Fixed Costs that they otherwise would not receive, based on trading activity. Such rebates may encourage Floor Brokers to increase their billable volume executed in open outcry on the Exchange, which would benefit all market participants by expanding liquidity and providing more trading opportunities, even to non-Floor Broker market participants (including participating Floor Brokers who do not hit the volume thresholds).

Finally, to the extent the proposed change continues to attract greater volume and liquidity to the Exchange (including to the Floor), the Exchange believes the proposed change would improve the Exchange’s overall competitiveness and strengthen its market quality for all market participants. In the backdrop of the competitive environment in which the Exchange operates, the proposed rule change is a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase the depth of its market and improve its market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange cannot predict with certainty which mechanism the Floor Brokers would avail themselves of this proposed fee change. However, all Floor brokers are eligible to participate in the Program.

The Proposed Rule Change Is an Equitable Allocation of Credits and Fees

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is an equitable allocation of its fees and credits. The proposal is based on the amount and type of business transacted on the Exchange and Floor Brokers can opt to avail themselves of the Program or not, and to attempt to trade so as to achieve one of the Tiers, or not. All participating Floor Brokers have the ability to qualify for the same enhanced rebate under two alternatives means offered (i.e., the greater of at least 20,000 contract sides in billable ADV or 105% of the Floor Broker’s total billable manual ADV in the second half of 2017). The Exchange notes that the changes to the Program are designed to encourage those Floor Brokers that have previously enrolled in the Program to reenroll for the upcoming year as well as to attract Floor Brokers that have not yet participated.

Moreover, the proposed change applies to qualifying Floor Brokers equally and because Floor Brokers serve an important function in facilitating the execution of orders via open outcry, which as a price-improvement mechanism, the Exchange wishes to encourage and support.

To the extent that the proposed change continues to attract more participation in the programs of the Exchange, the increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for, among other things, order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and, as a consequence, attract more order flow to the Exchange thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery.

The Proposed Rule Change Is Not Unfairly Discriminatory

The Exchange believes it is not unfairly discriminatory to modify the FB Prepayment program because the proposed modification would be available to all similarly-situated Floor Brokers on an equal and non-discriminatory basis.

The proposed modified Program is not unfairly discriminatory to non-Floor Brokers because Floor Brokers serve an important function in facilitating the execution of orders via open outcry, which as a price-improvement mechanism the Exchange wishes to encourage and support. To the extent that the proposed change continues to
attract more participation in the programs of the Exchange, the increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for, among other things, order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and, as a consequence, attract more order flow to the Exchange thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery. Moreover, the proposal is based on the amount and type of business transacted on the Exchange and Floor Broker organizations are not obligated to participate in the Program and, if they do, they are not obligated to try to achieve any of the Tiers.

To the extent that the proposed change attracts a variety of transactions to the Exchange, this increased order flow would continue to make the Exchange a more competitive venue for order execution. Thus, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would improve market quality for all market participants on the Exchange and, as a consequence, attract more order flow to the Exchange thereby improving market-wide quality and price discovery. The resulting increased volume and liquidity would provide more trading opportunities and tighter spreads to all market participants and thus would promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange’s statement regarding the burden on competition.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would encourage the submission of additional liquidity to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for all market participants. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission’s goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering integrated competition among orders, which promotes “more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small.”

21 Intramarket Competition. The Exchange believes the proposed Program, as modified, should continue to encourage order flow to be directed to the (Floor of the) Exchange, which would enhance the quality of quoting and may increase the volumes of contracts trade on the Exchange. To the extent that there is an additional competitive burden on non-Floor Brokers, the Exchange believes that this is appropriate because Floor Brokers serve an important function in facilitating the execution of orders via open outcry, which as a price-improvement mechanism, the Exchange wishes to encourage and support.

To the extent that this function is achieved, all of the Exchange’s market participants should benefit from the improved market liquidity. Enhanced market quality and increased transaction volume that results from the anticipated increase in order flow directed to the Exchange will benefit all market participants and improve competition on the Exchange.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange believes that the proposed change could promote competition between the Exchange and other execution venues, by encouraging additional orders to be sent to the (Floor of the) Exchange for execution. The proposed adjustments to the Program are designed to make the incentives more achievable and to continue to encourage Floor Brokers to execute orders on the (Floor of the) Exchange, which would increase volume and liquidity, to the benefit of all market participants by providing more trading opportunities and tighter spreads.

Given the robust competition for volume among options markets, many of which offer the same products, implementing programs to attract order flow, such as the proposed modification to the FB Prepayment Program, are designed to make the incentives more achievable and to continue to encourage Floor Brokers to execute orders on the (Floor of the) Exchange, which would increase volume and liquidity, to the benefit of all market participants by providing more trading opportunities and tighter spreads.

Finally, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and credits to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) 22 of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) 24 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEArca–2020–04 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2020–04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/)

rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2020–04, and should be submitted on or before February 3, 2020.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.25

J. Matthew DeLesDernier, Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–00262 Filed 1–10–20; 8:45 am]
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[Investment Company Act Release No. 33742; File No. 812–14940]

Kayne Anderson MLP/Midstream Investment Company, et al.


AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of application for an order under sections 17(d) and 57(i) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the “Act”) and rule 17d–1 under the Act to permit certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by sections 17(d) and 57(i)(4) of the Act and rule 17d–1 under the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order to permit certain business development companies (“BDCs”) and closed-end management investment companies to co-invest in portfolio companies with each other and with certain affiliated investment funds and accounts.


FILING DATES: The application was filed on August 15, 2018, and amended on April 3, 2019, July 1, 2019, September 6, 2019, November 15, 2019, and January 7, 2020.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on February 3, 2020, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

Applicants: 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Third Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura L. Solomon, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6915 or Kaitlin C. Bottock, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6825 (Chief Counsel’s Office, Division of Investment Management).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s website by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Introduction

1. The applicants request an order of the Commission under sections 17(d) and 57(i) and rule 17d–1 thereunder (the “Order”) to permit, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the application (the “Conditions”), a Regulated Fund1 and one or more other Regulated Funds and/or one or more Affiliated Funds2 to enter into Co-

1 “Regulated Fund” means KYN, KMF, KA BDC, KIF, the Future Regulated Funds and the BDC Downstream Funds (defined below). “Future Regulated Fund” means a closed-end management investment company (a) that is registered under the Act or has elected to be regulated as a BDC, (b) whose investment adviser is an Adviser, and (c) intends to participate in the Co-investment Program.

2 “Adviser” means the Existing Advisers, including the Existing Relying Advisers (identified in Schedule B to the application), together with any future investment adviser that (i) controls, is controlled by or is under common control with KA Credit, KAFAIL, KACALP, or KACALP, as applicable, (ii)(a) is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”), or (b) is a relying adviser of an investment adviser that is registered under the Advisers Act and that controls, is controlled by or is under common control with KA Credit, KAFAIL, KACALP or KACALP, as applicable, and (iii) is not a Regulated Fund or a subsidiary of a Regulated Fund.