

31, 2019.¹ On June 27, 2019, Commerce received a timely request for review from Zhangjiagang Huacheng Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Huacheng).² On July 1, 2019, the petitioners³ filed a timely request for review with respect to 24 companies.⁴ Additionally, on July 1, 2019, Commerce received a timely request for review from Howmet Corp Logistics Services, a unit of Arconic Inc. (Howmet) (a U.S. importer of subject merchandise) for review of merchandise produced by Wuxi P&C Machinery Co., Ltd. (Wuxi) and exported by Benteler Distribution Ltd (Benteler).⁵ Based on these requests, on July 29, 2019, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review covering the period November 22, 2017 through May 31, 2019.⁶

On July 31, 2019, Howmet submitted a timely request to withdraw its request for administrative review.⁷ On October 8, 2019, the petitioners submitted a timely request to withdraw their request for administrative review with respect to all entities for which they had requested a review.⁸ On October 10, 2019, Huacheng submitted a timely request to withdraw its request for administrative review.⁹

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 25521 (June 3, 2019).

² See Huacheng's Letter, "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from the People's Republic of China; Request for Administrative Review," dated June 27, 2019.

³ The petitioners are ArcelorMittal Tubular Products LLC, Michigan Seamless Tube, LLC, PTC Alliance Corp., and Webco Industries, Inc.

⁴ See Petitioners' Letter, "Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing from the People's Republic of China—Domestic Industry's Request for First Administrative Review," dated July 1, 2019.

⁵ See Howmet's Letters, "Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review" and "Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from the People's Republic of China: Amendment of the Request for Administrative Review," both dated July 1, 2019.

⁶ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 36572 (July 29, 2019).

⁷ See Howmet's Letter, "Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from the People's Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated July 31, 2019.

⁸ See Petitioners' Letter, "Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing from the People's—Domestic Industry's Withdrawal of Request for First Administrative Review," dated October 8, 2019.

⁹ See Huacheng's Letter, "Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing from the People's Republic of China: Huacheng's Withdrawal of Request for First Administrative Review," dated October 10, 2019.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested the review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, the petitioners, Howmet, and Huacheng fully withdrew their respective review requests by the 90-day deadline. As such, Commerce is in receipt of timely requests for withdrawal of the instant administrative review with respect to all companies listed in the *Initiation Notice*.

Accordingly, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on cold-drawn mechanical tubing from China for the period November 22, 2017 through May 31, 2019, in its entirety.

Assessment

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of cold-drawn mechanical tubing from China at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial

protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 31, 2019.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2020–00048 Filed 1–7–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–896]

Magnesium Metal From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the People's Republic of China (China), covering the period April 1, 2018 through March 31, 2019. Commerce preliminarily determines that Tianjin Magnesium International, Co., Ltd. (TMI) and Tianjin Magnesium Metal, Co., Ltd. (TMM) did not have reviewable entries during the period of review (POR). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable January 8, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyle Clahane, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5449.

Background

On April 1, 2019, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from China for the POR.¹ On June 13, 2019, in response to a timely request from US Magnesium LLC (the

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 12207 (April 1, 2019).

petitioner),² and in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from China with respect to TMI and TMM.³

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is magnesium metal from China, which includes primary and secondary alloy magnesium metal, regardless of chemistry, raw material source, form, shape, or size. Magnesium is a metal or alloy containing by weight primarily the element magnesium. Primary magnesium is produced by decomposing raw materials into magnesium metal. Secondary magnesium is produced by recycling magnesium-based scrap into magnesium metal. The magnesium covered by this order includes blends of primary and secondary magnesium.

The subject merchandise includes the following alloy magnesium metal products made from primary and/or secondary magnesium including, without limitation, magnesium cast into ingots, slabs, rounds, billets, and other shapes; magnesium ground, chipped, crushed, or machined into rasping, granules, turnings, chips, powder, briquettes, and other shapes; and products that contain 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent, magnesium, by weight, and that have been entered into the United States as conforming to an “ASTM Specification for Magnesium Alloy”⁴ and are thus outside the scope of the existing antidumping orders on magnesium from China (generally referred to as “alloy” magnesium).

The scope of this order excludes: (1) All forms of pure magnesium, including chemical combinations of magnesium and other material(s) in which the pure magnesium content is 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent, by weight, that do not conform to an “ASTM Specification for Magnesium Alloy”;⁵ (2) magnesium that is in liquid

or molten form; and (3) mixtures containing 90 percent or less magnesium in granular or powder form by weight and one or more of certain non-magnesium granular materials to make magnesium-based reagent mixtures, including lime, calcium metal, calcium silicon, calcium carbide, calcium carbonate, carbon, slag coagulants, fluorspar, nepheline syenite, feldspar, alumina (Al₂O₃), calcium aluminate, soda ash, hydrocarbons, graphite, coke, silicon, rare earth metals/mischmetal, cryolite, silica/fly ash, magnesium oxide, periclase, ferroalloys, dolomite lime, and colemanite.⁶ The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under items 8104.19.00, and 8104.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS items are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

We received timely submissions from TMI and TMM certifying that they did not have sales, shipments, or exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁷ On December 16, 2019, we requested the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data file of entries of subject merchandise imported into the United States during the POR, and exported by TMM and/or TMI. This query returned no entries during the POR.⁸ Additionally, we sent an inquiry

Ukraine; Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Pure Magnesium from the Russian Federation, 60 FR 25691 (May 12, 1995); and *Antidumping Duty Order: Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 57936 (November 19, 2001).

⁶ This third exclusion for magnesium-based reagent mixtures is based on the exclusion for reagent mixtures in the 2000–2001 investigations of magnesium from China, Israel, and Russia. See *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium in Granular Form from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 49345 (September 27, 2001); see also *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium from Israel*, 66 FR 49349 (September 27, 2001); and *Final Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value: Pure Magnesium From the Russian Federation*, 66 FR 49347 (September 27, 2001). These mixtures are not magnesium alloys, because they are not combined in liquid form and cast into the same ingot.

⁷ See TMI's Letter, “Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China; A–570–896; Certification of No Sales by Tianjin Magnesium International, Ltd.,” dated July 12, 2019, at 1; see also TMM's Letter, “Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China; A–570–896; Certification of No Sales by Tianjin Magnesium Metal, Co., Ltd.,” dated July 12, 2019, at 1.

⁸ See Memorandum, “2017–2018 Administrative Review of Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China, U.S. Customs and Border

to CBP requesting that any CBP officer alert Commerce if he/she had information contrary to TMM's and TMI's no-shipments claims.⁹ We received no such information in response.¹⁰

Accordingly, and consistent with our practice, we preliminarily determine that TMI and TMM had no shipments and, therefore, no reviewable entries during the POR. In addition, we find it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to these companies, but rather to complete the review with respect to TMI and TMM and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review, consistent with our practice in non-market economy (NME) cases.¹¹

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.¹² Rebuttals to case briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹³ Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument: (a) A statement of the issue, (b) a brief summary of the argument, and (c) a table of authorities.¹⁴ Parties submitting briefs should do so via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS).¹⁵ ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be

Protection Data” dated December 26, 2019, at Attachment 1.

⁹ *Id.* at Attachment 2.

¹⁰ *Id.* at Attachment 3.

¹¹ See *Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review 2014–2015*, 81 FR 72567 (October 20, 2016), and the “Assessment Rates” section, below.

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1)–(2).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2), (d)(2).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

² See Petitioner's Letter, “Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review,” dated April 30, 2019.

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 27587 (June 13, 2019).

⁴ The meaning of this term is the same as that used by the American Society for Testing and Materials in its Annual Book for ASTM Standards: Volume 01.02 Aluminum and Magnesium Alloys.

⁵ The material is already covered by existing antidumping orders. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation and*

limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date of the hearing, which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Unless extended, we intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including our analysis of all issues raised in any written brief, within 120 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹⁶ We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. Pursuant to Commerce's practice in NME cases, if we continue to determine in the final results that TMI and TMM had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR from these companies will be liquidated at the China-wide rate.¹⁷

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For TMI, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to TMI in the most recently completed review of the company; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters who are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate (including TMM, which claimed no shipments, but has not been found to be separate from China-wide entity), the cash deposit rate will be China-wide rate of 141.49 percent; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject

merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to Chinese exporter(s) that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice is issued in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: December 30, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2020-00052 Filed 1-7-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-062, C-570-063]

Cast Iron Soil Pipe Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Commerce finds that Wor-Biz Industrial Product Co., Ltd. (Anhui) (Wor-Biz Industrial) is the successor-in-interest to Wor-Biz Trading Co., Ltd. (Anhui) (Wor-Biz Trading), and therefore is entitled to Wor-Biz Trading's antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) cash deposit rates with respect to entries of subject merchandise.

DATES: Applicable January 8, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Bowen at (202) 482-0768 (AD) or Dennis McClure at (202) 482-5973 (CVD), Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 31, 2018, Commerce published the AD and CVD orders on imports of cast iron soil pipe fittings from China.¹ On November 21, 2019, Commerce initiated changed circumstances reviews (CCRs) and made preliminary findings that Wor-Biz Industrial is the successor-in-interest to Wor-Biz Trading and is entitled to Wor-Biz Trading's AD and CVD cash deposit rates with respect to entries of subject merchandise.² We provided interested parties the opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. No interested parties submitted case briefs or written comments.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by the scope of these orders is cast iron soil pipe fittings, finished and unfinished, regardless of industry or proprietary specifications, and regardless of size. Cast iron soil pipe fittings are nonmalleable iron castings of various designs and sizes, including, but not limited to, bends, tees, wyes, traps, drains (other than drain bodies), and other common or special fittings, with or without side inlets.

Cast iron soil pipe fittings are classified into two major types—hubless and hub and spigot. Hubless cast iron soil pipe fittings are manufactured without a hub, generally in compliance with Cast Iron Soil Pipe Institute (CISPI) specification 301 and/or American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specification A888. Hub and spigot pipe fittings have hubs into which the spigot (plain end) of the pipe or fitting is inserted. Cast iron soil pipe fittings are generally distinguished from other types of nonmalleable cast iron fittings by the manner in which they are connected to cast iron soil pipe and other fittings.

Excluded from the scope are all drain bodies. Drain bodies are normally classified in subheading 7326.90.86.88 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).

The cast iron soil pipe fittings subject to the scope of these orders are normally classified in subheading 7307.11.0045 of the HTSUS: Cast fittings of nonmalleable cast iron for cast iron soil

¹ See *Cast Iron Soil Pipe Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 83 FR 44570 and *Cast Iron Soil Pipe Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 44566, both dated August 31, 2018 (collectively, the *Orders*).

² See *Cast Iron Soil Pipe Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews*, 84 FR 64263 (November 21, 2019) (*Preliminary Results*).

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

¹⁷ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).