

CFR part 63, subpart VVVV) apply to both existing and new boat manufacturing facilities that are a major source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions. This regulation covers resin and gel coat operations at fiberglass boat manufacturers, paint and coating operations at aluminum boat manufacturers, and carpet and fabric adhesive operations at all boat manufacturers. Air toxics are released during application and curing from the resins, gel coats, adhesives, coating, and solvents used in boat manufacturing. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction after the date of proposal. The EPA proposed revised standards to the NESHAP for Boat Manufacturing on May 17, 2019 (84 FR 22642); however, the proposed amendments have not been finalized; and therefore, the 'Burden' reflected in this ICR does not consider 'burden' from the proposed amendments, but is based on the existing standards.

This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 63, subpart VVVV. In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to NESHAP.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Boat manufacturing facilities.

Respondent's Obligation To Respond: Mandatory (40 CFR part 63, subpart VVVV).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 93 (total).

Frequency of Response: Initially, occasionally and semiannually.

Total Estimated Burden: 21,100 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total Estimated Cost: \$2,450,000 (per year), which includes \$0 for annualized capital and/or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: The decrease in burden from the most-recently approved ICR is due to a decrease in the number of respondents subject to these standards. This decrease is not due to any program changes. This ICR, by in large, reflects the on-going burden and costs for existing facilities. Additionally, this ICR removes operation and maintenance costs which

were included in the most-recently approved ICR for one source using add-on controls. Based on a recent inventory, at this time all facilities are estimated to comply with the rule using compliant materials and no facilities operate add-on controls.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-25760 Filed 11-26-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0041; FRL-10002-20-OMS]

Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; RadNet (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has submitted an information collection request (ICR), RadNet (EPA ICR Number 0877.14, OMB Control Number 2060-0015) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through January 31, 2020. Public comments were previously requested via the **Federal Register** on May 13, 2019 during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A fuller description of the ICR is given below, including its estimated burden and cost to the public. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before December 27, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0041, to (1) EPA online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), *a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov*, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB via email to *oira_submission@omb.eop.gov*. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any

personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Griggs, OAR/ORIA/NAREL, 540 South Morris Ave., Montgomery, AL 36115; telephone number: (334) 270-3400; fax number: (334) 270-3454; email address: Griggs.john@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents, which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Abstract: RadNet is a national network of stations collecting sampling media that include air, precipitation, and drinking water. Samples are sent to EPA's National Analytical Radiation Environmental Lab (NAREL) in Montgomery, Alabama, where they are analyzed for radioactivity. RadNet provides emergency response/homeland security and ambient monitoring information on levels of environmental radiation across the nation. All stations, usually operated by state and local personnel, participate in RadNet voluntarily. Station operators complete information forms that accompany the samples. The forms request information pertaining to sample type, sample location, start and stop date and times for sampling, length of sampling period, and volume represented. Data from RadNet are made available regularly on the Agency website—<https://www.epa.gov/radnet>.

Form Numbers: 5900-23, 5900-24, 5900-27, 5900-29.

Respondents/affected entities: Primarily State and Local Officials.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Voluntary.

Estimated number of respondents: 237 (total).

Frequency of response: Biweekly, monthly, quarterly.

Total estimated burden: 3,722 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$2,510,235 (per year), includes \$2,363,476 annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is a decrease of 5 hours in the total

estimated respondent burden compared with the ICR currently approved by OMB. This decrease shows the program is in maturity and is expected to operate with minimal change.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-25763 Filed 11-26-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2019-0634; FRL-10002-58-OAR]

Proposed Baseline Approval of the Remote-Handled Transuranic Waste Characterization Program Implemented at the Department of Energy's Sandia National Laboratories

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability; opening of a 45-day public comment period.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve SNL's remote-handled transuranic waste characterization program based on the inspections conducted on June 24–25, 2014, and the offsite document review conducted April–July 2019. Until the Agency finalizes its baseline approval decision, the Carlsbad Field Office may not recertify SNL's waste characterization program and the site may not ship transuranic waste to the WIPP for disposal.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 13, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2019-0634, to the *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not electronically submit any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the

primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit: <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward Felcorn (202-343-9422) or Jerry Ellis (202-564-2766), Radiation Protection Agency, Center for Waste Management and Regulations, Mail Code 6608T, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC 20460; fax number: 202-343-2305; email addresses: felcorn.ed@epa.gov or ellis.jerry@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to the EPA through www.regulations.gov or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to the EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

Tips for Preparing Your Comments. When submitting comments, remember to:

- Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- Follow directions: The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at

your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

II. Background

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) is announcing the availability of, and soliciting public comment on, this proposed action. Initially, the EPA approved the Sandia National Laboratories' (SNL) remote-handled transuranic waste characterization program in November 2011 for a limited duration, allowing the site to ship waste to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) for disposal (EPA Docket No. A-98-49; II-A4-155). In May 2014, the Department of Energy's Carlsbad Field Office informed the EPA that SNL had identified additional remote-handled transuranic debris waste requiring a new baseline inspection in accordance with 40 CFR 194.8. The EPA conducted this second baseline inspection in two phases. During the first phase, the EPA observed waste characterization activities while onsite at SNL in Albuquerque, New Mexico, and concurrently interviewed cognizant personnel offsite in Denver, Colorado, on June 24–25, 2014. In the second phase of the baseline inspection, the Agency reviewed waste characterization records offsite from April–July 2019 in Washington, DC. The EPA's report documenting the inspection results and proposed baseline approval is available for review in the public dockets listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

The DOE operates the WIPP facility near Carlsbad in southeastern New Mexico as a deep geologic repository for disposal of defense-related transuranic (TRU) radioactive waste. TRU waste contains more than 100 nanocuries of alpha-emitting TRU isotopes, with half-lives greater than twenty years, per gram of waste. Much of the existing TRU waste, which may also be contaminated with hazardous chemicals, consists of items contaminated during the production of nuclear weapons, such as debris waste (rags, equipment, tools) and solid waste (sludges, soil).