

collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before January 24, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to John W. Hounsell, Program Manager, Office of Program Management, National Technical Information Service, Department of Commerce, 5301 Shawnee Road, Alexandria, VA 22312 (or at PRAComments@doc.gov). All comments received are part of the public record. Comments will generally be posted without change. All Personally Identifiable Information (for example, name and address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to John W. Hounsell, at email: jhounsell@ntis.gov or telephone: 703-605-6184.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This notice informs the public that the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) is requesting the renewal of an information collection described in Section II for use in connection with the final rule entitled "Certification Program for Access to the Death Master File." The final rule was published on June 1, 2016 and became effective on November 28, 2016. The information collection described in Section II, was approved and became effective on January 3, 2017.

II. Method of Collection

Title of Information Collection: "Limited Access Death Master File Certification Form" (Certification Form).

Description of the need for the information and the proposed use: NTIS issued a final rule establishing a program through which persons may become eligible to obtain access to Death Master File (DMF) information about an individual within three years of that individual's death (81 FR 34882, June 1, 2016). The final rule was promulgated under Section 203 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013, Public Law 113-67 (Act). The Act prohibits the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) from disclosing DMF information during the three-year period following an individual's death (Limited Access DMF), unless the person requesting the information has been certified to access the Limited Access DMF pursuant to

certain criteria in a program that the Secretary establishes. The Secretary delegated the authority to carry out Section 203 to the Director of NTIS.

The final rule requires that a Person seeking access to the Limited Access Death Master File establish a legitimate fraud prevention interest or legitimate business purpose pursuant to a law, governmental rule, regulation, or fiduciary duty. The Certification Form collects information that NTIS will use to evaluate whether the respondent qualifies to receive the Limited Access Death Master File under the rule.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0692-0013.

Form Number(s): NTIS FM161.

Type of Review: Regular submission. Renewal of currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Members of the public seeking certification or renewal of certification for access to the Limited Access Death Master File under the final rule for the "Certification Program for Access to the Death Master File."

Estimated Number of Respondents: NTIS expects to receive approximately 250 applications and renewals for certification every year.

Estimated Time per Response: 2.5 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 625 (250 × 2.5 hour = 625 hours).

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: NTIS expects to receive approximately 250 applications annually at a fee of \$2,930 per application, for a total cost to the public of \$732,500. In addition, NTIS expects that preparation of the application will require a senior administrative staff person 2.5 hours at a rate of \$100/hour, for a total cost to the public of \$62,500 (625 total burden hours × \$100/hour = \$62,500). NTIS estimates the total annual cost to the public to be \$795,000 (\$732,500 in fees + \$62,500 in staff time = \$795,000). The total annual cost reflects the cost to the Federal Government, which consists of the expenses associated with NTIS personnel reviewing and processing the Certification Application Forms.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be

collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the potential use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2019-25431 Filed 11-22-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Secrecy and License to Export

ACTION: Notice of renewal of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, invites comments on the extension of an existing information collection: 0651-0034 (Secrecy and License to Export).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before January 24, 2020.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* InformationCollection@uspto.gov. Include "0651-0034 comment" in the subject line of the message.
- *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.
- *Mail:* Marcie Lovett, Records and Information Governance Branch, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-145.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Raul Tamayo, Senior Legal Advisor, Office of Patent Legal Administration, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450; by telephone at 571-272-7728; or by email to Raul.Tamayo@uspto.gov with "Paperwork" in the subject line. Additional information about this collection is also available at <http://www.reginfo.gov> under "Information Collection Review."

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

In the interest of national security, patent laws and rules place certain limitations on the disclosure of information contained in patents and patent applications and on the filing of applications for patents in foreign countries.

In particular, whenever the publication or disclosure of an invention by the publication of an application or by the granting of a patent is, in the opinion of the head of an interested Government agency, determined to be detrimental to national security, the Commissioner for Patents at the USPTO must issue a secrecy order and withhold the publication of a patent application and the grant of a patent for such period as the national interest requires. A patent will not be issued on the application, nor will the application be published, as long as the secrecy order is in effect. If a secrecy order is applied to an international application, the application will not be forwarded to the International Bureau as long as the secrecy order is in effect.

The Commissioner for Patents can issue three types of secrecy orders, each of a different scope. The first type, Secrecy Order and Permit for Foreign Filing in Certain Countries, is intended to permit the widest utilization of the technical data in the patent application while still controlling any publication or disclosure that would result in an unlawful exportation. The second type, the Secrecy Order and Permit for Disclosing Classified Information, is to treat classified technical data presented in a patent application in the same manner as any other classified material. The first and second types of secrecy orders involve the Department of Defense. The third type of secrecy order is used where the other types of orders do not apply, including orders issued by direction of agencies other than the Department of Defense.

Under the provision of 35 U.S.C. 181, a secrecy order remains in effect for a period of one year from its date of issuance. A secrecy order may be renewed for additional periods of not

more than one year upon notice by a government agency that the national interest continues to require the order. USPTO notifies the applicant of the renewal.

This information collection covers information gathered in petitions for permits to allow disclosure, modification, or rescission of the secrecy order, or to obtain a general or group permit when the USPTO places a secrecy order on a patent application. In each of these circumstances, the petition is forwarded to the appropriate agency for decision. Also, the Commissioner for Patents at the USPTO may rescind any order upon notification by the heads of the departments and the chief officers of the requesting agencies that the disclosure of the invention is no longer deemed detrimental to the national security.

Unless expressly ordered otherwise, action on the application by the USPTO and prosecution by the applicant will proceed during the time an application is under secrecy order to one of the specific points identified at 37 CFR 5.3. For example, as set forth at 37 CFR 5.3(a), national applications under secrecy order that come to a final rejection must be appealed or otherwise prosecuted to avoid abandonment. Applicants must complete the appeals in such cases, but unless specifically indicated by the Commissioner for Patents at the USPTO, the appeals will not be set for hearing until the secrecy order is removed.

In addition, this collection covers information gathered with respect to foreign filing licenses. The filing of a patent application is considered a request for a foreign filing license. However, in some instances an applicant may need a license for filing a patent application in foreign countries prior to a filing in the USPTO or sooner than the anticipated licensing of a pending patent application.

For such circumstances, this collection covers petitions for a foreign filing license either with or without a corresponding United States application. In addition, this collection covers petitions to change the scope of

a license and petitions for a retroactive license for instances when a patent application is filed through error in a foreign country without the appropriate filing license.

This collection includes the information needed by the USPTO to review the various types of petitions regarding secrecy orders and foreign filing licenses. This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 181–188 and administered through 37 CFR 5.1–5.33.

II. Method of Collection

By mail, facsimile or hand carried to the USPTO.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0651–0034.

Form Number(s): There are no forms associated with this collection.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; Businesses or other for-profits; not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,434 responses per year. The USPTO estimates that approximately 20% (886.80) of these responses will be from small entities.

Estimated Time per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take the public from 30 minutes (0.5 hours) to 4 hours to gather the necessary information, prepare the appropriate documents, and submit the information required for this collection.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 2,797.50 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden: \$1,225,305. The USPTO expects that the information in this collection will be prepared by intellectual property attorneys at an estimated rate of \$438 per hour. The attorney rates are found in the 2017 Report of the Economic Survey of the America Intellectual Property Law Association (AIPLA). Using this hourly rate, the USPTO estimates that the respondent cost burden for this collection will be approximately \$1,225,305 per year.

Number	Item	Estimated time for response (hours)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours	Rate (\$/hr)	Total cost burden
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b)/60 = (c)	(d)	(e) (c × d)
1	Petition for Rescission of Secrecy Order.	3.0	10	30	\$438	\$13,140
2	Petition to Disclose or Modification of Secrecy Order.	2.0	20	40	438	17,520
3	Petition for General and Group Permits	1.0	1	1	438	438

Number	Item	Estimated time for response (hours)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours	Rate (\$/hr)	Total cost burden
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b)/60 = (c)	(d)	(e) (c × d)
4	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (no corresponding application).	0.5	4,000	2,000	438	876,000
5	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (corresponding U.S. application).	0.5	250	125	438	54,750
6	Petition for Changing Scope of License	0.5	3	1.5	438	657
7	Petition for Retroactive License	4.0	150	600	438	262,800
Totals	4,434	2,797.50	1,225,305

Estimated Total Annual (Non-hour) Respondent Cost Burden: \$788,286.60. There are no capital start-up, maintenance, or record keeping costs associated with this information collection. However, this collection does have annual (non-hour) costs in

the form of filing fees for the foreign filing petitions and postage costs. No fees are associated with the secrecy order petitions.

The license petitions all charge the 37 CFR 1.17(g) fee, for which small and micro entity discounts recently have

been introduced. The USPTO estimates that 20% of the responses in this collection will come from small entities and approximately 10% of the small entity respondents will qualify as micro entities.

Number	Item	Responses	Filing fee (\$)	Total non-hour cost burden
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b) (c)
4	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (no corresponding application)	3,200	\$200.00	\$640,000.00
4	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (no corresponding application) (small entity).	720	100.00	72,000.00
4	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (no corresponding application) (micro entity).	80	50.00	4,000.00
5	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (corresponding U.S. application) ..	200	200.00	40,000.00
5	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (corresponding U.S. application) (small entity).	45	100.00	4,500.00
5	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (corresponding U.S. application) (micro entity).	5	50.00	250.00
6	Petition for Changing Scope of License	1	200.00	200.00
6	Petition for Changing Scope of License (small entity)	1	100.00	100.00
6	Petition for Changing Scope of License (micro entity)	1	50.00	50.00
7	Petition for Retroactive License	120	200.00	24,000.00
7	Petition for Retroactive License (small entity)	27	100.00	2,700.00
7	Petition for Retroactive License (micro entity)	3	50.00	150.00
Totals	4,434	787,950.00

The USPTO estimates that 99% of the petitions in this collection are submitted by facsimile or hand carried because of the quick turnaround required. For the 1% of the public that chooses to submit

the petitions to the USPTO by mail through the United States Postal Service, the USPTO estimates that the average USPS Priority Mail postage cost for a legal flat rate envelop is estimated

to be \$7.65, and that 44 submissions will be mailed to the USPTO per year for a total estimated postage cost of \$336.60.

POSTAGE COSTS

IC No.	Item	Estimated mailed responses	Estimated postage rate	Total annual (non-hour) cost burden
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b) = (c)
4	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (no corresponding application)	40	\$7.65	\$306
5	Petition for Expedited Handling of License (corresponding U.S. application) ..	2	7.65	15.30
7	Petition for Retroactive License	2	7.65	15.30
Total	44	336.60

Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the total (non-hour) cost burden for this collection in the form of filing fees and postage costs is estimated to be approximately \$788,286.60.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

USPTO invites public comments on:

- (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (b) Accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, *e.g.*, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Marcie Lovett,

Director, Records and Information Governance Branch, OAS, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. 2019-25510 Filed 11-22-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for F-35A Wing Beddown and MQ-9 Wing Beddown

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The United States Air Force (USAF) is issuing this notice of intent to advise the public of its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate potential environmental consequences associated with two independent proposed actions: (1) The beddown of an F-35A Operational Wing at Tyndall AFB, FL, and (2) The beddown of an MQ-9 Remotely Piloted Aircraft (RPA) Operational Wing at either Tyndall

AFB, Florida (FL) or Vandenberg AFB, California (CA).

DATES: The USAF will host two open-house public scoping meetings: Tuesday, December 10, 2019, from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., at Gulf Coast State College, Student Union East in Panama City, Florida, and Thursday, December 12, 2019, from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., at Allan Hancock College, Lompoc Valley Center in Lompoc, California.

ADDRESSES: Submit scoping comments on the proposed F-35-A and MQ-9 Wing Beddowns on the project website: *F-35WingandMQ-9WingEIS.com*. Scoping comments can also be submitted to: F-35/MQ-9 EIS Program Manager, Cynthia Pettit, AFCEC/CZN, Attn: F-35/MQ-9, 2261 Hughes Avenue, Suite 155, JBSA Lackland, TX 78236-9853; 210-925-3367; Email: *afcec.czn.workflow@us.af.mil*; 210-925-3367 or FedEx & UPS Deliveries: AFCEC/CZN, 3515 S General McMullen Drive, Suite 155, San Antonio, TX 78226-2018. For comments submitted by mail, a comment form is available for download on the project website. Comments will be accepted at any time during the environmental impact analysis process. However, to ensure the USAF has sufficient time to consider public input in the preparation of the Draft EIS, scoping comments should be submitted via the project website or to the address listed above by December 23, 2019.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The F-35A Proposed Action is to beddown an F-35A Wing at Tyndall AFB, FL, consisting of three operational squadrons, each with 24 Primary Aerospace Vehicles Authorized Aircraft (PAA) and two Backup Aircraft Inventory (BAI) aircraft. Beddown of the F-35A Wing would include constructing and retrofitting of physical infrastructure and facilities and adding personnel to manage and perform operations, which include maintenance of the aircraft. F-35A flight operations for proficiency training would occur at the base and use existing airspace and ranges. The F-35A Wing beddown alternatives identified for evaluation in the EIS include beddown of the three-squadron F-35A Wing at Tyndall AFB, FL and an alternative with a fourth squadron of fifth generation fighter aircraft in addition to the three-squadron F-35A Wing.

The proposed MQ-9 Wing action is to beddown the MQ-9 remotely piloted aircraft system employed by the USAF in support of the Department of Defense directive to support initiatives of overseas contingency operations. The beddown of 24 MQ-9 aircraft would include a Wing Headquarters, an

Operations Group, and Maintenance Group; construction and/or renovation of facilities would support staff and house MQ-9 aircraft. The number of base personnel would be increased to fulfill MQ-9 mission requirements. Flight operations for MQ-9 proficiency training would occur at the selected base and in existing airspace and ranges. MQ-9 Wing beddown alternatives identified for evaluation in the EIS include beddown of the MQ-9 Wing at either Tyndall AFB, FL or Vandenberg AFB, CA. Tyndall AFB, FL was identified as the preferred alternative for this mission.

The EIS will address potential environmental consequences resulting from implementation of each alternative for each of the proposed actions, as well as the combination of F-35A and MQ-9 actions at Tyndall AFB, FL. As required by NEPA, a No-Action Alternative, where the beddown of an F-35A Wing would not occur at Tyndall AFB, FL will also be addressed, as will the No-Action Alternative where the beddown of an MQ-9 Wing would not occur at either location. Bay County, Florida and Panama City, Florida are Cooperating Agencies for this EIS.

Scoping and Agency Coordination: To effectively define the full range of issues to be evaluated in the EIS, the USAF will solicit written comments from interested local, state, and federal agencies and elected officials, Native American tribes, interested members of the public, and others. Public scoping meetings will be held in the local communities near the alternative bases. The scheduled dates, times, locations, and addresses for the public scoping meetings are concurrently being published in local media.

Adriane Paris,

Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019-25537 Filed 11-22-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Performance Review Board Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of a Performance Review Board for the Department of the Army.

DATES: The list of members is effective November 13, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Smith, Civilian Senior Leader