collection title, and the OMB control number in any correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Direct requests for additional information regarding the collection listed in this notice, including requests for copies of the proposed collection instrument and supporting documents, should be sent to Karl Field, Director of Medical Clearances at 202–663–1591 or Fieldke@state.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
- Title of Information Collection: Employee Self-Certification and Ability to Perform in Emergencies (ESCAPE) Posts, Pre-Deployment Physical Exam Acknowledgement Form.
- OMB Control Number: 1405–0224.
- Type of Request: Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.
- Originating Office: Bureau of Medical Services; MED/CP/CL.
- Form Number: DS–6570.
- Respondents: Contractors deploying to ESCAPE Diplomatic Missions requesting access to the Department of State Medical Program (currently Iraq, Afghanistan, Yemen, Syria, Libya, Somalia and Peshawar).
- Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,900.
- Estimated Number of Responses: 1,900.
- Average Time per Response: 40 minutes.
- Total Estimated Burden Time: 1,266 hours.
- Frequency: Annually for those deployed to an ESCAPE post.
- Obligation to Respond: Required to Obtain or Retain a Benefit.

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department to:
- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department.
- Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Please note that comments submitted in response to this Notice are public record. Before including any detailed personal information, you should be aware that your comments as submitted, including your personal information, will be available for public review.

Abstract of Proposed Collection
The DS–6570 is completed by an individual and their medical provider to declare that the individual has health concerns that may represent a safety hazard for the individual or others at an ESCAPE Diplomatic Mission. ESCAPE is an acronym used to describe Diplomatic Missions overseas that are in extremely high threat, potentially combat, areas. Current ESCAPE Missions are Iraq, Afghanistan, Somalia, Libya, Yemen, Syria and Peshawar, Pakistan. This program is authorized under the Foreign Service Act of 1980, as implemented by the Department in 13 FAM 301.4–5.

Methodology
The respondent will obtain the DS–6570 from his or her human resources representative, or will download the form from a Department website. The respondent will complete and submit the form offline.

Karl Field,
Director of Medical Clearances.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
[Docket No. EP 752]
Association of American Railroads—Petition for Rulemaking

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Solicitation of information.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board (STB or Board) seeks information on whether and how particular cost-benefit analysis approaches might be more formally integrated into its rulemaking process.

DATES: Comments addressing the information requests described below will be due by January 17, 2020. Replies will be due by March 6, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Comments and replies may be filed with the Board either via e-filing or in writing addressed to: Surface Transportation Board, Attn: Docket No. EP 752, 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. Comments and replies will be posted to the Board’s website at www.stb.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sarah Fancher at (202) 245–0355. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 14, 2019, the Association of American Railroads (AAR) filed a petition to institute a rulemaking to adopt procedural rules that would require a cost-benefit analysis in certain Board rulemaking proceedings and would set certain data requirements. In response to the petition, the Board received filings from the Competitive Enterprise Institute, the Western Coal Traffic League, the Joint Shippers, the National Grain and Feed Association, the American Forest & Paper Association, and the American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers.

On July 10, 2019, the Board issued a decision waiving the provision at 49 CFR 1110.2(d), which requires the Board to rule on a petition for a rulemaking within 120 days of the filing of the petition. In that decision, the Board deferred action to allow the Board to further consider the issues raised in the petition. The Board continues to consider the practices at other agencies, including other independent agencies that do not have cost-benefit analysis procedural rules, and the Board now finds that it would be helpful to solicitation additional information. To assist the Board’s evaluation of whether and how particular cost-benefit analysis approaches might be more formally integrated into its rulemaking process, the Board seeks the following information:

1. Methods. The Board requests information on specific methods—not just general criteria and processes in best practices guides, which the Board has reviewed—that would assist in the qualitative or quantitative analysis of a final rule by the Board. Commenters may wish to draw upon academic literature, other economic regulatory agencies’ analyses, or other sources to...
demonstrate how the Board might identify, and to the extent practicable quantify, specific benefits, costs, and transfer payments. The Board seeks specific methods directly applicable to regulatory issues within the Board’s jurisdiction, including the economic regulation of freight railroads. To the extent that commenters reference studies, analyses, or other sources covering other types of regulation or industries, the Board requests that commenters describe in detail the application of the methods to the economic regulation of railroads. Such methods should account for the differences between rules that establish and other types of rules that prescribe a process for which to apply their views and suggestions on administrative litigation takes place and other types of rules that prescribe a particular action or technology without such processes.

2. Data. The Board seeks suggestions regarding specific data that the Board collects or could collect to assist with cost-benefit analysis. Commenters may wish to describe potential uses of the Board’s established data collections, such as the Waybill Sample or the reports submitted by Class I carriers, or potential changes to those collections, that would help facilitate or inform cost-benefit analysis. Commenters may also wish to describe new or additional data that the Board might start to collect and analyze, and suggest procedures for doing so, to assist in cost-benefit analysis.

3. Application. The Board seeks a detailed description of how cost-benefit analysis would apply to a hypothetical rulemaking, using the methods and data sources identified in response to items 1 and 2 above. Specifically, the Board suggests that commenters consider a hypothetical proposed rule to modify the revenue-variable cost (R/V/C) percentage used for purposes of market dominance from 180% to 165%. For purposes of this hypothetical, commenters should assume the Board has the authority to modify 49 U.S.C. 10707(d)(1)(A) and should not address the statutory constraint in their comments. To the extent practicable, the commenters should provide a detailed example of how the Board would conduct a cost-benefit analysis of this hypothetical proposed rule utilizing appropriate methods and data sources.

4. Threshold. The Board requests information on the threshold for determining the rulemaking proceedings to which any cost-benefit analysis procedures should apply. Commenters may wish to identify qualitatively or quantitatively a category or categories of rules.

Again, the Board expects to take responses to this solicitation into consideration in connection with its decision on AAR’s petition to institute a rulemaking, which the Board is not denying or granting at this time. The requested information will be helpful to the Board’s continued consideration of the issues raised in AAR’s petition to institute a rulemaking. This decision is consistent with AAR’s suggestion that the Board move forward with a “transparent process that allows for relevant input from all interested stakeholders” and to “open the issue for public comment.” (Pet. for Recons. 2–3.)

Comments addressing the information requests described above will be due by January 17, 2020. Replies will be due by March 6, 2020. Board decisions and notices are available at www.stb.gov.

It is ordered:
1. Comments as described above are due by January 17, 2020.
2. Replies are due by March 6, 2020.
3. AAR’s petition for reconsideration of the July 10 decision is denied as moot.
4. This decision is effective on its date of service.

By the Board, Board Members Begeman, Fuchs, and Oberman.

Jeffrey Herzig,
Clearance Clerk.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
[Docket No. MCF 21088]

Transportation Demand Management Holdings, LLC—Acquisition of Control—Badger Bus Transportation Group, Inc.

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice tentatively approving and authorizing finance transaction.

SUMMARY: On October 9, 2019, Transportation Demand Management Holdings, LLC (Holdings), a noncarrier, filed an application for Holdings to acquire control of Badger Bus Transportation Group, Inc. (Badger Group), a noncarrier that controls, among other entities, an interstate and intrastate motor carrier, Badger Coaches, Inc. (Badger Coaches), from Badger Group’s shareholders, David H. Meier, John R. Meier, and James A. Meier, and the various family trusts they control (collectively, Sellers). The Board is tentatively approving and authorizing the transaction, and, if no opposing comments are timely filed, this notice will be the final Board action. Persons wishing to oppose the application must follow the rules at 49 CFR 1182.5 and 1182.8.

DATES: Comments may be filed by December 23, 2019. If any comments are filed, Holdings may file a reply by January 7, 2020. If no opposing comments are filed by December 23, 2019, this notice shall be effective on December 24, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be filed with the Board either via e-filing or in writing addressed to: Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, send one copy of comments to: Andrew K. Light, Scopelitis, Garvin, Light, Hanson & Feary, P.C., 10 W Market Street, Suite 1400, Indianapolis, IN 46204.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah Fancher at (202) 245–0355. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: According to the application, Holdings, which is organized under the laws of Texas, directly owns and controls Transportation Demand Management, LLC (TDM), which is organized under the laws of Washington. (App. 2) TDM is a passenger motor carrier that holds interstate motor carrier authority, as well as intrastate motor carrier authority in Washington. (Id.) TDM conducts business as Starline Luxury Coaches, Wheatland Express, Starline Transportation, and A&A Motorcoach, and utilizes approximately 99 passenger-carrying vehicles and 119 drivers. (Id.)

The majority equity and voting membership interest in Holdings is owned and held by CVG Group, LLC (CVC), which is organized under the laws of Texas. (Id.) The membership interests of CVC are held evenly by Michael T. Gibson and Willard L. Jackson. (Id.) A noncontrolling equity membership interest in Holdings is directly and indirectly held by Gladys Gillis, the chief executive officer of Holdings. (Id.) Holdings states that TDM is the only interstate passenger motor carrier with which CVC, Holdings, Gibson, Jackson, and Gillis are affiliated. (Id.)

Holdings states that the purpose of the transaction is to acquire control of