energy, recreation, special status species, visual resources, and wildlife habitat which have occurred across the Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas planning area since previous plan publications. New resource data are available for consideration, and new policies, guidelines, and laws have been established.

The four alternatives analyzed in the Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas FJEIS/BLM P–RMP/RIA P–IRMP are as follows:

- Alternative A (No Action Plan) is a continuation of existing land use management actions under the current Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas RMPs and associated amendments;
- Alternative B (Proposed Alternative) represents a mix of resource use and resource value conservation stewardship principles and management decisions that address current and reasonably foreseeable future land use management issues, including provisions for energy development, recreational opportunities, and conservation of natural resources;
- Alternative C (Environmental Focused Plan) represents a land use management strategy intended primarily to preserve and protect ecosystem health and resource values across the planning area; and
- Alternative D (Resource Use Focused Plan) represents a land use management strategy intended primarily to develop resources and promote economic development across the decision area, such as livestock grazing, energy and mineral development, and recreation.

This land use planning effort was initiated on July 26, 2013, through a Notice of Intent published in the Federal Register (78 FR 45266), notifying the public of a formal scoping period and soliciting public participation. The BLM and BIA held 17 scoping meetings between November 2013 and January 2014, throughout Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas, with stakeholders, interest groups, and the public. During this external scoping period, the public provided the BLM Oklahoma Field Office with input on relevant issues to consider during the land use planning process. Additional information was collected during three additional workshops, one each in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas, with the public and cooperating agencies. Based on these issues, conflicts, information, and the BLM and BIA goals and objectives for this planning effort, the BLM–BIA Interdisciplinary Team formulated three action alternatives for consideration and analysis in the Draft Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas FJEIS/BLM P–RMP/RIA P–IRMP.

The public comment period for the Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas FJEIS/BLM P–RMP/RIA P–IRMP was initiated on November 19, 2018, through a Federal Register Notice of Availability (83 FR 58283), notifying the public of the release of the draft land use plan for an extended 125-day public comment period, which occurred from November 19, 2018, until March 24, 2019, and solicitation of public comments. Seventy-two cooperating agencies expressed interest in collaborating with the BLM and BIA during the NEPA process and signed a formal cooperating agency agreements.

The BLM and BIA held six public meetings between February 2019 and March 2019, throughout Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas, with stakeholders, interest groups, and the public. Public meetings were hosted to solicit public input on the Draft Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas FJEIS/BLM P–RMP/RIA P–IRMP as follows: Wichita, KS, on February 26, 2019; Muskogee, OK, on February 27, 2019; Norman, OK, on February 28, 2019; Amarillo, TX, on March 4, 2019; Fort Worth, TX, on March 5, 2019; and Corpus Christi, TX, on March 8, 2019. In total, 110 attendees participated in the six public meetings and offered oral and written comments to the BLM and BIA. Following the closing of the 125-day public comment period, the BLM and BIA held an internal 5-day conference at the BLM Oklahoma Field Office where an interdisciplinary team of resource management experts reviewed the 150 substantive comment submissions for potential revision of the Draft Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas FJEIS/BLM P–RMP/RIA P–IRMP in preparation for release of the OKT Final Joint EIS, BLM P–RMP and BIA P–IRMP.

All comments on the Draft EIS/RMP were given careful consideration, with necessary revisions incorporated into the FJEIS and plans, as appropriate. Public comments and BLM responses are available in Appendix O of the Final EIS.

At the close of the 30-day protest period, the BLM and BIA will resolve protests on the Oklahoma, Kansas, and Texas FJEIS/BLM P–RMP/RIA P–IRMP in preparation for the Approved BLM RMP, Approved BIA IRMP, three Records of Decision (ROD) to include one ROD for the BLM Oklahoma Field Office; one ROD for the BIA Eastern Oklahoma Regional Office; and one ROD for the BIA Southern Plains Regional Office.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2.

Timothy R. Spiszak,
BLM New Mexico State Director.

Eddie Streeter,
BIA Eastern Oklahoma Regional Director.

Jim Schock,
BIA Southern Plains Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 2019–23823 Filed 10–31–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–FB–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[95–WASO–NRRH–DTS4–29141; PPWOCRADI0, PCU00RP14,RS0000]

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service is soliciting comments on the significance of properties nominated before October 12, 2019, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

DATES: Comments should be submitted by November 18, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before October 12, 2019. Pursuant to Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time.
While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State Historic Preservation Officers:

**ALABAMA**

Escambia County
Atmore Commercial Historic District, Carney, Main, Trammell, Roberts, Presley, E. Church & Ridgeley Sts., Pensacola, Nashville & Louisville Aves., Atmore, SG100004641

Jefferson County
Palmerdale Homesteads Historic District, Parts of Miles Springs, N & S Valley, Brookwood, Southfield N & S, Midwood, Marsh Mountain & W Hill Rds., Helms Cir. & AL 75, Pinson, SG100004642

**CONNECTICUT**

Fairfield County
Greenwich Point Historic District, Tod’s Driftway at Shore Rd., Greenwich, SG100004671

**IDAHO**

Ada County
Phillips, Dr. John and Elaine, House, 3233 Edson St., Boise, SG100004672
Forty-Four and Sixty-Six Service Station, 3130 (3128) W State St., Boise, SG100004673

Kootenai County
Pen d’Oreille City, Address Restricted, Athol, SG100004674

**MICHIGAN**

Oakland County
Northland Gardens, Westland Ave., Westhampton Rd., Rutland Dr., and Westover Rd. between Southfield Rd., & the John C. Lodge Freeway, Southfield, SG100004660
Plumbrooke Estates, Plumbrooke Dr., Southfield, SG100004661

**MINNESOTA**

Ramsey County
Norwegian Evangelical Lutheran Church, 105 University Ave., St. Paul, SG100004655
Ford Motor Company Building, 171 University Ave., St. Paul, SG100004656
Degroff of Honor Protective Association Building, 325 Cedar St., St. Paul, SG100004657

NEW JERSEY

Bergen County
Zabriskie, John A.L., House, 460 W Saddle River Rd., Village of Ridgewood, SG100004648

NEW YORK

Albany County
Washington Avenue Corridor Historic District, Generally Central, Washington & Western Aves., Albany, SG100004669

Columbia County
Ancramdale Historic District, NY 82, Cty. Rd. 3 & 8, Maple Ln., Ancramdale, SG100004668

**Pennsylvania**

Allegheny County
Highland Park, Roughly bounded by Butler St., Washington Blvd., Stanton Ave., Farmhouse Dr., Bunker Hill St. & Heth’s Run, Pittsburgh, SG100004665

Lackawanna County
Stoehr and Fister Building, 200 Adams Ave., Scranton, SG100004662

Luzerne County
Wilkes-Barre Silk Company Mill, 92 S Empire St., Wilkes-Barre, SG100004666

Montgomery County
Humane Fire Engine Company No. 1, 301 Walnut St., Royersford, SG100004663

**Virginia**

Albemarle County
Periwinkle Cottage, (The Work of Marshall Swain Wells Architect), 2245 Blue Ridge Ln., Charlottesville, MP100004659

Fredericksburg Independent City
Sligo, 1100 Dixon St., Fredricksburg, SG100004658

Hanover County
Ellington, (Civil War in Virginia MPS), 17335 Washington Hwy., Doswell, MP100004650

Pittsylvania County
Hargrave Military Academy, 200 Military Dr., Chatham, SG100004652

Pulaski County
St. Albans Hospital, 6248 University Park Dr., Radford, SG100004653

**Washington**

Island County
Deception Pass State Park-North Beach Picnic Area Historic District, (Historic Park Landscapes in National and State Parks MPS), 41020 WA 20, Oak Harbor, MP100004645

Deception Pass State Park-Cranberry Lake Caretaker’s Area Historic District, (Historic Park Landscapes in National and State Parks MPS), 41020 WA 20, Oak Harbor, MP100004647

King County
Fink Park, Roughly bounded by 31st Ave. S, Lake Washington Blvd. & 34th Ave., S King St. & S Main St., Seattle, SG100004646

**Wisconsin**

Wayne County
Fort Wayne, 6325 W Jefferson Ave., Detroit, AD71000425

**Minnesota**

Sibley County
Connor Lumber and Land Company Store, 4894 Mill St., Laona, SG100004667

Additional documentation has been received for the following resource(s):

**Pennsylvania**

Bedford County
Cuppett’s Covered Bridge, (Bedford County Covered Bridges TR), 1 mi. N of New Paris, Napier Township, New Paris vicinity, AD82003063

**Virginia**

Goochland County
Tuckahoe, SE of Manakin near jct. of Rtes. 650 and 647, Manakin vicinity, AD68000049

Hopedell Independent City
City Point Historic District, Off VA 10/156, Hopedell, AD79000248

Nominations submitted by Federal Preservation Officers:

The State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the following
nominations and responded to the Federal Preservation Officer within 45 days of receipt of the nominations and supports listing the properties in the National Register of Historic Places.

MISSOURI

Oregon County

Dennig Cabins Historic District. 3/10 mi. W of OR 19 & 3/10 mi. NE of Greer Spring. Alton. SG100004677

Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60


Julie H. Ernst,
Supervisory Archeologist, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.

[FR Doc. 2019–23855 Filed 10–31–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–19–038]

Sunshine Act Meetings


TIME AND DATE: November 8, 2019 at 11:00 a.m.


STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:
1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote on Inv. Nos. 701–TA–630 and 731–TA–1462 (Preliminary) (Glass Containers from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations on November 12, 2019; views of the Commission are currently scheduled to be completed and filed on November 19, 2019.
6. Outstanding action jackets: None. The Commission is holding the meeting under the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b). In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 29, 2019.

William Bishop,
Supervisory Hearing and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019–24007 Filed 10–30–19; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–506 and 508 and 731–TA–1238–1243 (Review)]

Non-Oriented Electrical Steel From China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan; Institution of Five-Year Reviews


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty orders on non-oriented electrical steel ("NOES") from China and Taiwan and revocation of the antidumping duty orders on NOES from China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts 201, subparts A and B and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by Commerce.

(2) The Subject Countries are these reviews are China, Germany, Japan, Korea, Sweden, and Taiwan.

(3) The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. In its original determinations, the Commission defined a single Domestic Like Product that is coextensive with Commerce’s scope.

(4) The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like Product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations, the Commission defined the Domestic Industry as AK Steel, the only known U.S. producer of NOES.

(5) The Order Date is the date that the antidumping and countervailing duty orders under review became effective. In these reviews, the Order Date is December 3, 2014.

(6) An Importer is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the Subject Merchandise into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.