The Commission notes that any foreign regulatory agency that has direct supervisory authority over one or more CSEs and that is responsible for administering the relevant foreign jurisdiction’s margin requirements may also apply for a comparability determination. Further, once a comparability determination is made for a jurisdiction, it will apply for all entities or transactions in that jurisdiction to the extent provided in the determination, as approved by the Commission. To date, the Commission has issued a comparability determination for 3 jurisdictions. According to the Commission, it estimates that it will receive requests from the 13 remaining jurisdictions within the G20, in addition to Switzerland. In light of its experience in evaluating requests for comparability determinations, the Commission is revising its estimate for the number of burden hours associated with such requests from 10 hours to 40 hours. Accordingly, the respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

- **Estimated Number of Respondents:** 14
- **Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:** 40
- **Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 560
- **Frequency of Collection:** Once.
- **Burden Statement—Information Collection for Non-Segregation Jurisdictions:** The Commission estimates that approximately 54 CSEs may rely on section 23.160(d) of the Final Rule. Furthermore, the Commission estimates that these CSEs would incur an average of 10 annual burden hours to maintain books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of this provision are satisfied (including policies and procedures ensuring compliance). Accordingly, the respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

  - **Estimated Number of Respondents:** 54
  - **Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:** 10
  - **Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 540
  - **Frequency of Collection:** Once; As needed.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection. The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

- **Estimated Number of Respondents:** 12
- **Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:** 160
- **Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 1,920
- **Frequency of Collection:** Once; As needed.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection. The Commission estimates that approximately 12 FCSs and foreign branches of U.S. CSEs that are subject to the Commission’s margin rules may engage in swaps. The Commission estimates that the approximately 12 FCSs and foreign branches of U.S. CSEs may rely on section 23.160(e) of the Final Rule in some or all of these jurisdictions. The Commission estimates that each FCS or foreign branch of a U.S. CSE relying on this provision would incur an average of 20 annual burden hours to maintain books and records properly documenting that all of the requirements of this provision are satisfied (including policies and procedures ensuring compliance) with respect to each jurisdiction and to which they rely on the special provision. Thus, based on the estimate of eight non-segregation jurisdictions, the Commission estimates that each of the approximately 12 FCSs and foreign branches of U.S. CSEs that may rely on this provision will incur an estimated 160 average burden hours per year (i.e., 20 average burden hours per jurisdiction multiplied by 8). Accordingly, the respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be as follows:

  - **Estimated Number of Respondents:** 12
  - **Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent:** 160
  - **Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 1,920

Frequency of Collection: Once; As needed.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

**Dated:** October 28, 2019.

**Robert Sidman,**

Deputy Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–23796 Filed 10–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Defense Acquisition Regulations System**

[Docket Number DARS–2019–0057]

**Information Collection Requirement; Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS); Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System; Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Acquisition Regulations System has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposed extension of a collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by December 2, 2019.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Title and OMB Number:** Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Subpart 227.71, Rights in Technical Data, and Subpart 227.72, Rights in Computer Software; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**Affected Public:** Businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

**Respondent’s Obligation:** Required to obtain or retain benefits.

**Reporting Frequency:** On occasion.

**Type of Request:** Extension.

**Number of Respondents:** 75,250.

**Responses per Respondent:** 13, approximately.

**Annual Responses:** 959,602.

**Average Burden per Response:** 1 hour, approximately.

**Annual Burden Hours:** 90,574.

**Annual Recordkeeping Burden Hours:** 90,600.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 995.174.
Needs and Uses: DFARS subparts 227.71 and 227.72 prescribe the use of solicitation provisions and contract clauses containing information collection requirements that are associated with rights in technical data and computer software. DoD needs this information to implement 10 U.S.C. 2320, Rights in technical data, and 10 U.S.C. 2321, Validation of proprietary data restrictions. DoD uses the information to recognize and protect contractor rights in technical data and computer software that are associated with privately funded developments, and to ensure that technical data delivered under a contract are complete and accurate and satisfy contract requirements.

Comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra, DoD Desk Officer, at Oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Please identify the proposed information collection by DoD Desk Officer and the Docket ID number and title of the information collection.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method: Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. DoD Clearance Officer: Ms. Angela James. Requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. James at whs.mc.alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

Jennifer Lee Hawes, Regulatory Control Officer, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, [FR Doc. 2019–23814 Filed 10–30–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary
Defense Science Board; Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this notice to announce that the following Federal Advisory Committee meeting of the Defense Science Board (DSB) will take place.

DATES: Closed to the public Wednesday, November 6, 2019 from 8:00 a.m. to 3:45 p.m. and Thursday, November 7, 2019 from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The address of the closed meeting is the Nunn-Lugar Conference Room, 3E863 at the Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Kevin Doxey, (703) 571–0081 (Voice), (703) 697–1860 (Facsimile), kevin.a.doxey.civ@mail.mil (email). Mailing address is Defense Science Board, 3140 Defense Pentagon, Room 3B888A, Washington, DC 20301–3140. Website: http://www.acq.osd.mil/dsb/. The most up-to-date changes to the meeting agenda can be found on the website.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Due to circumstances beyond the control of the Department of Defense and the Designated Federal Officer, the Defense Science Board was unable to provide public notification required by 41 CFR 102–3.150(a), concerning the meeting on November 6, 2019 and November 7, 2019 of the Defense Science Board. Accordingly, the Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150(b), waives the 15-calendar day notification requirement.

This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (Title 5 United States Code (U.S.C), Appendix), the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), and Title 41 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 102–3.140 and 102–3.150.

Purpose of the Meeting: The mission of the DSB is to provide independent advice and recommendations on matters relating to the DoD’s scientific and technical enterprise. The objective of the meeting is to obtain, review, and evaluate classified information related to the DSB’s mission. DSB membership will meet with DoD Leadership to discuss classified current and future national security challenges within the DoD.

Agenda: The DSB Fall Quarterly Meeting will begin on November 6, 2019 at 8:00 a.m. with opening remarks by Mr. Kevin Doxey, the Designated Federal Officer, and Dr. Craig Fields, DSB Chairman. The first presentation will be from Mr. James Carlini and Mr. Mark Maybury, Co-Chairs of the DSB Task Force on Counter Autonomy, who will provide a classified brief on the Task Force on Counter Autonomy’s findings and recommendations and engage in classified discussion with the DSB. The DSB will vote on the Task Force’s findings and recommendations. Next, Dr. Michael Griffin and Dr. Lisa Porter will provide a classified brief on their view of the defense challenges and issues the DoD faces. Following break, Dr. Ruth David and Mr. Bill LaPlante, Co-Chairs of the DSB Task Force on Gaming, Exercising, Modeling, and Simulation (GEMS) will brief the DSB on the Task Force on GEMS’s findings and recommendations and engage in classified discussion with the DSB. The DSB will vote on the Task Force’s findings and recommendations. Next, General John Raymond, Commander of Air Force Space Command, will provide a classified brief on his view of the defense challenges and issues Air Force Space Command faces. Following break, Mr. Alan Shaffer, Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, will provide a classified brief on his view of the defense challenges and issues the DoD faces.

The meeting will adjourn at 3:45 p.m. On November 7, 2019, the meeting will begin at 8:00 a.m. with Mr. David Van Buren, Co-Chair of the DSB Task Force on Multi-Domain Effects, who will provide a classified briefing on the Task Force on Multi-Domain Effects’ findings and recommendations and engage in classified discussion with the DSB. The DSB will vote on the Task Force’s findings and recommendations. Next, Mr. Bob Nesbit and Dr. William Schneider, Co-Chairs of the DSB Task Force on Strengthening Counter Intelligence Capabilities Against the "Insider Threat," will provide a classified briefing on the Task Force on Strengthening Counter Intelligence Capabilities Against the "Insider Threat" findings and recommendations and engage in classified discussion with the DSB. The DSB will vote on the Task Force’s findings and recommendations. Following break, Dr. Christopher Scolese, Director of the National Reconnaissance Office, will provide a classified brief on view of the defense challenges and issues the NRO faces. The meeting will adjourn at 4:00 p.m.

Meeting Accessibility: In accordance with Section 10(d) of the FACA and 41 CFR 102–3.155, the DoD has determined that the DSB meeting will be closed to the public. Specifically, the Under Secretary of Defense (Research and Engineering), in consultation with the DoD Office of General Counsel, has determined in writing that the meeting will be closed to the public because it will consider matters covered by 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1). The determination is based on the consideration that it is expected that discussions throughout will involve classified matters of national security concern. Such classified material is so intertwined with the unclassified material that it cannot reasonably be segregated into