

- BRPH Architects Engineers, Inc.; Melbourne, FL
  - Burns & McDonnell Engineering Corporation, Inc.; Kansas City, MO
  - CADENAS PARTSolutions, LLC; Cincinnati, OH
  - CAE USA; Tampa, FL
  - Capgemini; New York, NY
  - Celestica Inc.; Toronto, Canada
  - Click Bond, Inc.; Carson City, NV
  - Cobham; Arlington, VA
  - CPI Aerostructures, Inc.; Edgewood, NY
  - Crane Aerospace & Electronics; Lynnwood, WA
  - Cubic Corporation, Inc.; San Diego, CA
  - Cytec Engineered Materials, Inc.; Tempe, AZ
  - Deloitte Consulting LLP; New York, NY
  - Delta Flight Products; Atlanta, GA
  - Denison Industries, Inc.; Denison, TX
  - Ducommun Incorporated; Carson, CA
  - DuPont Company; New Castle, DE
  - DXC Technology Company, Tysons Comer, VA
  - Eaton Corporation; Cleveland, OH
  - Elbit Systems of America, LLC; Fort Worth, TX
  - Embraer Aircraft Holding Inc.; Fort Lauderdale, FL
  - Enjet Aero, LLC; Overland Park, KS
  - EPS Corporation; Tinton Falls, NJ
  - Ernst & Young LLP; New York, NY
  - Esterline Technologies; Bellevue, WA
  - Exostar LLC; Herndon, VA
  - FS Precision Tech, Co. LLC; Compton, CA
  - FTG Circuits, Inc.; Chatsworth, CA
  - Gamma Aerospace LLC; Mansfield, TX
  - Garmin International, Inc.; Olathe, KS
  - General Atomics Aeronautical Systems, Inc.; Poway, CA
  - General Dynamics Corporation; Falls Church, VA
  - General Electric Aviation; Cincinnati, OH
  - Global Partner Solutions, LLC; Wichita, KS
  - Google, LLC; Mountain View, CA
  - GSE Dynamics, Inc.; Hauppauge, NY
  - HCL America Inc.; Sunnyvale, CA
  - HEICO Corporation; Hollywood, FL
  - Hellen Systems LLC; Middleburg, VA
  - Hexcel Corporation; Stamford, CT
  - Honeywell Aerospace; Phoenix, AZ
  - Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.; Newport News, VA
  - IBM Corporation; Armonk, NY
  - Integral Aerospace, LLC; Santa Ana, CA
  - Iron Mountain, Inc.; Boston, MA
  - Jabil Defense & Aerospace Services LLC; St. Petersburg, FL
  - Kaman Aerospace Corporation; Bloomfield, CT
  - KPMG LLP; New York, NY
  - Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc.; San Diego, CA
  - L3Harris Technologies, Inc.; Melbourne, FL
  - Leidos, Inc; Reston, VA
  - Limco Airepair, Inc.; Tulsa, OK
  - Lockheed Martin Corporation; Bethesda, MD
  - Lord Corporation; Cary, NC
  - LS Technologies, LLC; Fairfax, VA
  - Mantech International Corporation; Fairfax, VA
  - Marotta Controls, Inc.; Montville, NJ
  - Meggitt-USA, Inc.; Simi, CA
  - Mercury Systems, Inc.; Andover, MA
  - Microsemi Corporation; Aliso Viejo, CA
  - Momentum Aviation Group; Woodbridge, VA
  - MOOG Inc.; East Aurora, NY
  - MTorres Americas; Bothell, WA
  - National Technical Systems, Inc.; Calabasas, CA
  - NEO Tech.; Chatsworth, CA
  - Net-Inspect, LLC; Kirkland, WA
  - New England Air Foil Products, Inc.; Farmington, CT
  - Nokia US; Murray Hill, NJ
  - Norsk Titanium US Inc.; Plattsburgh, NY
  - Northrop Grumman Corporation; Los Angeles, CA
  - O'Neil & Associates, Inc.; Miamisburg, OH
  - Pacific Design Technologies; Goleta, CA
  - Parker Aerospace; Irvine, CA
  - Plexus Corporation; Neenah, WI
  - PPG Aerospace-Sierracin Corporation; Sylmar, CA
  - PrecisionHawk Inc.; Raleigh, NC
  - Primus Aerospace; Lakewood, CO
  - Primus Technologies Corporation; Williamsport, PA
  - PTC Inc.; Needham, MA
  - PWC Aerospace & Defense Advisory Services; McLean, VA
  - Range Generation Next LLC; Sterling, VA
  - Raytheon Company; Waltham, MA
  - Rhinestahl Corporation; Mason, OH
  - Rix Industries; Benecia, CA
  - Rolls-Royce North America Inc.; Reston, VA
  - salesforce.com, inc.; San Francisco, CA
  - SAP America, Inc.; Newtown Square, PA
  - Securitas Critical Infrastructure Services, Inc.; Springfield, VA
  - Siemens PLM Software; Plano, TX
  - Sierra Nevada Corporation, Space Systems; Littleton, CO
  - Spartan Corporation; Schaumburg, IL
  - Special Aerospace Services, LLC; Boulder, CO
  - Spirit AeroSystems; Wichita, KS
  - Stratolaunch Systems Corporation; Seattle, WA
  - SupplyOn North America, Inc.; San Diego, CA
  - Tech Manufacturing, LLC; Wright City, MO
  - Textron Inc.; Providence, RI
  - The Aerospace Corporation, Civil Systems Group; El Segundo, CA
  - The Boeing Company; Chicago, IL
  - The Lundquist Group LLC; New York, NY
  - The Padina Group, Inc.; Lancaster, PA
  - Therm, Incorporated; Ithaca, NY
  - Tip Technologies; Waukesha, WI
  - Tribus Aerospace Corporation; Poway, CA
  - TriMas Aerospace; Los Angeles, CA
  - Triumph Group, Inc.; Wayne, PA
  - TT Electronics; Perry, OH
  - Unitech Aerospace; Hayden, ID
  - United Technologies Corporation; Hartford, CT
  - Vantage Associates; National City, CA
  - Verify, Inc.; Irvine, CA
  - Virgin Galactic, LLC; Las Cruces, NM
  - Woodward, Inc.; Fort Collins, CO
- The effective date of the amended Certificate is July 26, 2019, the date on which AIA's application to amend was deemed submitted.
- Dated: October 10, 2019.
- Amanda Reynolds,**  
*Office of Trade and Economic Analysis,  
International Trade Administration, U.S.  
Department of Commerce.*  
[FR Doc. 2019-22544 Filed 10-15-19; 8:45 am]
- BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P**
- 
- DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**
- International Trade Administration**
- [A-570-954]**
- Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018**
- AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
- SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines to rescind this administrative review, as there is no evidence of any reviewable entries, shipments, or sales of certain magnesia carbon bricks (magnesia carbon bricks) from the People's Republic of China (China) to the United States during the September 1, 2017 through August 31, 2018 period of review (POR) by the companies subject to this review. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.
- DATES:** Applicable October 16, 2019.
- FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nathan James, AD/CVD Operations,

Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-5305.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 15, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesia carbon bricks for five producers/exporters for the POR.<sup>1</sup> Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the partial federal government closure from December 22, 2018 through the resumption of operations on January 28, 2019.<sup>2</sup> Commerce extended the deadline for these preliminary results from July 12, 2019, to no later than October 10, 2019. For a more detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.<sup>3</sup>

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). Access to ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic

versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Scope of the Order

The scope of the order includes certain chemically-bonded magnesia carbon bricks from China. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

##### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

##### Preliminary Intent To Rescind the Administrative Review, in Part, and Status of the China-Wide Entity

Based on information submitted after the initiation of this administrative review, and due to the fact that we have not received any information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) indicating that the companies that submitted no shipment certifications had reviewable entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, Commerce preliminarily determines certain companies subject to this review had no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR.<sup>4</sup> Should evidence arise that leads us to conclude that the companies subject to review had reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR, we will revisit this issue in the final results. Otherwise, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we intend to rescind the administrative review of these companies in the final results.

Additionally, none of the following companies subject to this review filed a separate rate application or certification: Liaoning Zhongmei High Temperature Material Co., Ltd., Liaoning Zhongmei Holding Co., Ltd., RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd., Shenglong Refractories Co., Ltd., Yingkou Heping Sanhua Materials Co., Ltd., and Yingkou Heping Samwha Minerals, Co., Ltd. Thus, Commerce preliminarily determines that these companies have not demonstrated their eligibility for separate rate status. As such, Commerce preliminarily determines that these companies are part of the China-wide entity. In addition, Commerce no longer considers the non-market economy (NME) entity as an exporter

conditionally subject to an antidumping duty administrative review.

Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless Commerce specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity. In this administrative review, no party requested a review of the China-wide entity. Moreover, we have not self-initiated a review of the China-wide entity. Because no review of the China-wide entity is being conducted, the China-wide entity's entries are not subject to the review and the rate applicable to the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of this review. The China-wide entity rate is 236.00 percent.

##### Public Comment

Case briefs must be submitted to Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS) no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results, unless the Secretary alters the time limit. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.<sup>5</sup> Commerce will notify interested parties when it has determined a deadline for case briefs via ACCESS. Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>6</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically through ACCESS, within 30 days after the publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the party's name, address, telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues parties intend to present at the hearing. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time to be determined. Prior to the hearing, Commerce will contact all parties who submitted case or rebuttal briefs to determine if they wish to participate in the hearing. Commerce will then distribute a hearing schedule to these parties prior to the hearing, and only those parties listed on the hearing schedule may present issues raised in their briefs.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 57414 (November 15, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*). The companies subject to this review are Fedmet Resources Corporation (Fedmet); Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, and Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City (collectively, Fengchi); and RHI Liaoning Zhongmei High Temperature Material Co., Ltd., Liaoning Zhongmei Holding Co., Ltd., RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd., Shenglong Refractories Co., Ltd., Yingkou Heping Sanhua Materials Co., Ltd., and Yingkou Heping Samwha Minerals, Co., Ltd.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated January 28, 2019. All deadlines in this proceeding have been extended by 40 days.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: 2017-2018," dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Determination Memorandum).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain magnesia carbon bricks from China (A-570-954)" dated July 31, 2019 (detailing CBP's response to Commerce's no-shipment inquiry).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and 351.309(d)(2).

All submissions, with limited exceptions, must be filed electronically using ACCESS.<sup>7</sup> An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, on the due dates established above (or, where applicable, to be established by Commerce at a later date). Documents excepted from the electronic submission requirements must be filed manually (*i.e.*, in paper form) with the APO/Dockets Unit in Room 18022 and stamped with the date and time of receipt by on the due date.<sup>8</sup>

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review within 120 days of the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

We intend to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final rescission (or, should we find that the companies subject to this review had reviewable entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, the final results) of this administrative review.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: October 9, 2019.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Preliminary Intent To Rescind the Administrative Review, in Part, and Status of the China-wide Entity
- V. Public Comment
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-22515 Filed 10-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### Correction to Notice of Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brenda E. Brown, AD/CVD Operations,

Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4735.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On October 1, 2019, Commerce published its opportunity to request administrative review of the antidumping duty orders and inadvertently omitted the following suspension agreements: Uranium from the Russian Federation (A-821-802), POR 10/1/2018-9/30/2019; and Lemon Juice from Argentina (A-357-818), POR 10/1/2018-9/30/2019. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 84 FR 52068 (October 1, 2019). This notice serves as a correction to include Uranium from the Russian Federation and Lemon Juice from Argentina in the referenced notice.

Dated: October 9, 2019.

**James Maeder,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2019-22517 Filed 10-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### University of Chicago Argonne LLC, et.al; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). On August 19, 2019, the Department of Commerce published a notice in the **Federal Register** requesting public comment on whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments identified in the docket(s) below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States. *See Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments*, 84 FR 42889 (August 19, 2019) (*Notice*).

We received no public comments. Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 19-002. Applicant: University of Chicago Argonne LLC., Lemont, IL 60439-4873. Instrument:

S1-S3 magnets. Manufacturer: Danfysik, Denmark. Intended Use: See *Notice* at 84 FR 42889. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that were being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. Reasons: The instrument(s) are the components of a 4th generation synchrotron accelerator, *i.e.*, the Advanced Photon Source Upgrade (APSU) accelerator. According to the applicant, APSU is a non-profit research facility which provides ultra-bright, high-energy x-ray beams to more than 5000 (and growing) scientists from across the United States. These scientists come from universities, medical schools, and other research institutions. Their research covers nearly every scientific discipline, from materials science to biology, chemistry, environmental, geological and planetary science and fundamental physics. APSU provides x-ray beams of a broad parameters that allow scientists to collect data in unprecedented detail and short time frames. According to the applicant, the research results achieved through APSU will make real and positive impact on our technologies, health, economy and fundamental understanding of the materials that make up the world.

Docket Number: 19-003. Applicant: University of Chicago Argonne LLC, Lemont, IL 60439-4873. Instrument: Canted Undulator Front-End Fixed Masks and Photon Shutters. Manufacturer: Strumenti Scientific CINEL S.R.L., Italy. Intended Use: See *Notice* at 84 FR 42889. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that were being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. Reasons: According to the applicant, the instrument will be used to assemble the new canted undulator front ends for the Advanced Photon Source upgrade. The front end consists of a series of components that connect the storage ring to the user beamline in order to deliver a photon beam that will be used as a three-dimensional X-ray microscope for experimental purposes.

The properties of the materials studied include but are not limited to grain structure, grain boundary and interstitial defects and morphology. These properties are not only studied at ambient environments but also under high pressure, temperature, stress and strain. The objective is to further the

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*