

Dated: October 9, 2019.

Jason E. Oyler,

General Counsel and Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-22418 Filed 10-11-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040-01-P

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Grandfathering (GF) Registration Notice

AGENCY: Susquehanna River Basin Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists Grandfathering Registration for projects by the Susquehanna River Basin Commission during the period set forth in **DATES**.

DATES: September 1–30, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Susquehanna River Basin Commission, 4423 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110-1788.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jason E. Oyler, General Counsel and Secretary to the Commission, telephone: (717) 238-0423, ext. 1312; fax: (717) 238-2436; email: joyler@srbc.net. Regular mail inquiries may be sent to the above address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice lists GF Registration for projects, described below, pursuant to 18 CFR 806, Subpart E for the time period specified above:

Grandfathering Registration Under 18 CFR Part 806, Subpart E

1. Twin Hickory Golf Club, Inc., GF Certificate No. GF-201909045, Town of Hornellsville, Steuben County, N.Y.; Wells A and B; Issue Date: September 18, 2019.

2. Town of Owego—Water District #3, GF Certificate No. GF-201909046, Town of Owego, Tioga County, N.Y.; Wells 1, 2, and 3; Issue Date: September 18, 2019.

3. Pennsylvania American Water Company—Montrose District, GF Certificate No. GF-201909047, Bridgewater Township, Susquehanna County, Pa.; Lake Montrose; Issue Date: September 18, 2019.

4. Rohrer's Quarry Inc., GF Certificate No. GF-201909048, Warwick and Penn Townships, Lancaster County, Pa.; Well 3; Issue Date: September 18, 2019.

Authority: Pub. L. 91-575, 84 Stat. 1509 *et seq.*, 18 CFR parts 806 and 808.

Dated: October 9, 2019.

Jason E. Oyler,

General Counsel and Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-22417 Filed 10-11-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7040-01-P

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Cumberland Fossil Plant Coal Combustion Residuals Management Operations

AGENCY: Tennessee Valley Authority.

ACTION: Amended Record of Decision.

SUMMARY: The Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is amending its June 7, 2018 Record of Decision (ROD) for the Cumberland Fossil Plant Coal Combustion Residuals Management Operations Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The 2018 ROD indicated TVA's intent to construct and operate a bottom ash dewatering facility, process water basins, and an onsite landfill at the Cumberland Fossil Plant (CUF). During the design and permitting process, limitations were encountered which required a shift in the onsite landfill's location. Additionally, TVA proposes to use the future onsite landfill as a repository for both existing and future CCR, rather than using the existing Fly Ash Stack as the storage facility for existing CCR. In August 2019, TVA completed a supplemental review, analyzing the potential impacts of the proposed landfill shift. This amended ROD incorporates TVA's decisions relating to the modifications.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: W. Douglas White, NEPA Project Manager, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902; Telephone: 865-632-2252 or Email: wwhite0@tva.gov. The Final EIS, this amended Record of Decision (ROD) and other project documents are available on TVA's website <https://www.tva.gov/nepa>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is provided in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's regulations and the TVA procedures for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act. On June 7, 2018, TVA published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 53421) its ROD for the Cumberland Fossil Plant Coal Combustion Residuals Management Operations Final Environmental Impact Statement. The Final EIS identified Alternative C as TVA's preferred alternative, which includes the construction and operation of a Bottom Ash Dewatering Facility, closure-in-

place of the Bottom Ash Impoundment, and a combination of closure-in-place and closure-by-removal of the Main Ash Impoundment and Stilling Impoundment. The portion of the Main Ash Impoundment and Stilling Impoundment that would be closed-by-removal would be repurposed as Process Water Basin 1 and Process Water Basin 2, respectively. The CCR that is removed from the impoundments would be transported to an existing onsite landfill (Fly Ash Stack). TVA elected to further consider options regarding the location for the permanent disposal of CCR excavated from these impoundments to support construction of the process water basins. In addition, TVA would construct an onsite landfill to manage future dry CCR produced at CUF.

TVA's CCR disposal areas at CUF, including the impoundments, are subject to the 2015 Commissioner's Order entered by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC). Investigations at CUF under that TDEC Order are ongoing. Therefore, TVA elected to further consider the proposed in-place closure of the Bottom Ash Impoundment and a portion of the Main Ash Impoundment before making a decision on closure of these facilities to allow the execution of the requirements of the TDEC Order to guide closure activities to the maximum extent possible.

As described in the Final EIS and subsequent ROD, TVA intends to construct and operate a new CCR landfill approximately 1.2 miles southwest of the plant site on CUF property. The approximately 80-acre landfill would have a total estimated capacity of 14.3 million cubic yards which provides adequate CCR storage for long-range planning purposes. TVA has decided to consider the future onsite CCR landfill for permanent storage of the CCR removed from a portion of the Main Ash Impoundment and Stilling Impoundment to support construction of the process water basins.

In addition, in consultation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and TDEC, TVA has proposed a revised design of the future onsite CCR landfill that avoids direct impacts to surface water features associated with impoundments within the landfill boundary. This revised design includes the relocation of the proposed stormwater basin and the replacement of the proposed leachate impoundment with a leachate tank farm. However, the relocation of these facilities required additional area outside the boundary reviewed in the Final EIS. Additionally,