

www.regulations.gov. All comments received are part of the public record. No comments will be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov> for public viewing until after the comment period has closed. Comments will generally be posted without change. All Personally Identifiable Information (for example, name and address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information. You may submit attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, Excel, or Adobe PDF file formats.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to William Abriatis, U.S. Census Bureau, EID, CENHQ, Room 7K181, 4600 Silver Hill Road, Washington, DC 20233, telephone (301) 763-3686 (or via the internet at William.M.Abriatis@census.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The U.S. Census Bureau plans to request a three-year extension of the current Office of Management and Budget (OMB) clearance of the Questionnaire for Building Permit Official (SOC–QBPO). The Census Bureau uses the Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) electronic questionnaire SOC–QBPO to collect information from state and local building permit officials on: (1) The types of residential permits they issue, (2) the length of time a permit is valid, (3) how they store permits, and (4) the geographic coverage of the permit system. We need this information to carry out the sampling for the Survey of Housing Starts, Sales, and Completions (OMB number 0607–0110), also known as Survey of Construction (SOC). The SOC provides widely used measures of construction activity, including the Principal Economic Indicators New Residential Construction and New Residential Sales.

The current OMB clearance is scheduled to expire on May 31, 2020. We will continue to use the current CAPI questionnaire. The overall length of the interview and the sample size will not change.

II. Method of Collection

The Census Bureau uses its field representatives to obtain information on the operating procedures of a permit office using the SOC–QBPO. The field representative visits the permit office,

conducts the interview with office staff, and completes this electronic form.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–0125.

Form Number(s): SOC–QBPO.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: State and local Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,017.

Estimated Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 254 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0 (This is not the cost of respondents' time, but the indirect costs respondents may incur for such things as purchases of specialized software or hardware needed to report, or expenditures for accounting or records maintenance services required specifically by the collection.)

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C. Section 131 and 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2019–22124 Filed 10–9–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–520–807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (CWP) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) to correct a ministerial error.

DATES: Applicable October 10, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Manuel Rey or Whitley Herndon, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5518 or (202) 482–6274, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 27, 2019, Commerce published the *Final Results* of the 2016–2017 administrative review of CWP from the UAE in the **Federal Register**.¹ On August 28, 2019, Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. (collectively, Ajmal Steel), one of two companies selected for individual examination in this administrative review, alleged the existence of a ministerial error in Commerce's *Final Results*.²

Legal Framework

A ministerial error, as defined in section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), includes “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

² See Ajmal Steel's Letter, “Antidumping Duty Review of Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates; Correction of Clerical or Ministerial Errors,” dated August 28, 2019.

ministerial.”³ With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any ministerial error by amending . . . the final results of review. . . .”

Ministerial Errors

Commerce committed an inadvertent error within the meaning of section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) by failing to correct an alignment error in certain data fields in Ajmal Steel’s Excel database that should have been apparent in our review, prior to converting this database to SAS. Accordingly, we determine, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), that we made a ministerial error in the *Final Results*. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results* to correct this error. This correction results in a change to Ajmal Steel’s weighted-average dumping margin, and also changes the rate calculated for the non-individually-examined companies. For a detailed discussion of the ministerial error allegation, as well as Commerce’s analysis, see Ministerial Error Memorandum.⁴

Amended Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period June 8, 2016 through November 30, 2017:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Ajmal Steel	1.83
Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC (TTP)/KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC (collectively, Universal)	1.65

Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies:⁵

³ See 19 CFR 351.224(f).

⁴ See Memorandum, “Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: 2016–2017 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review—Ministerial Error Allegation,” dated concurrently with this notice (Ministerial Error Memorandum).

⁵ This rate is based on the simple-average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review. See Memorandum, “Calculation of the Non-Reviewed Companies’ Rate for the Amended Final Results in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the UAE,” dated concurrently with this notice.

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Abu Dhabi Metal Pipes and Profiles Industries Complex	1.74
Ferrolab LLC	1.74
Global Steel Industries	1.74
Lamprell	1.74
Link Middle East Ltd	1.74
PSL FZE	1.74
Three Star Metal Ind LLC	1.74

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Antidumping Duty Assessment

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the amended final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Ajmal Steel and Universal reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. We intend to instruct CBP to take into account the “provisional measures deposit cap,” in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(d).

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the average⁶ of the cash deposit rates calculated for Ajmal Steel and Universal. The amended final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the amended final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁷

We intend to issue liquidation instructions for Ajmal Steel and the companies covered by the non-reviewed companies’ rate to CBP 15 days after publication of these amended final results of this administrative review.

⁶ This rate was calculated as discussed in a footnote, above.

⁷ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective retroactively for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 27, 2019, the date of publication date of the *Final Results* of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the amended final results; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies, including those for which Commerce may have determined had no shipments during the POR, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this or an earlier review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the all-others rate of 5.95 percent established in the LTFV investigation.⁸ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which

⁸ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016).

continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These amended final results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: September 30, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019-22204 Filed 10-9-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding reviews for all but four companies and preliminarily determines that these four companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable October 10, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Hanna, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0835.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

After initiating this review with respect to multiple companies or company groupings,¹ interested parties timely withdrew review requests for all but four companies. For a complete

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 12200 (April 1, 2019).

description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum which is hereby adopted by this notice.²

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is wooden bedroom furniture, subject to certain exceptions.³ Imports of subject merchandise are classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 9403.50.9042, 9403.50.9045, 9403.50.9080, 9403.90.7005, 9403.90.7080, 9403.50.9041, 9403.60.8081, 9403.20.0018, 9403.90.8041, 7009.92.1000 or 7009.92.5000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description in the order remains dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213. For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary results of review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided in Appendix I to this notice.

² See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005) (Order).

Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Certain interested parties withdrew their review requests with respect to all but four companies or company groupings for which Commerce initiated this review⁴ by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for these companies. Therefore, Commerce is rescinding this review, in part, with respect to the companies listed in Appendix II to this notice. The review will continue with respect to the following four companies: (1) Eurosa (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. and Eurosa Furniture Co., (PTE) Ltd.; (2) Shenyang Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.; (3) Sunforce Furniture (Hui -Yang) Co., Ltd., Sun Fung Wooden Factory, Sun Fung Co., Shin Feng Furniture Co., Ltd., Stupendous International Co., Ltd.; and (4) Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information, and the no shipment certifications submitted by the four companies under review, Commerce preliminarily determines that the following four companies did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR: (1) Eurosa (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. and Eurosa Furniture Co., (PTE) Ltd.; (2) Shenyang Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.; (3) Sunforce Furniture (Hui -Yang) Co., Ltd., Sun Fung Wooden Factory, Sun Fung Co., Shin Feng Furniture Co., Ltd., Stupendous International Co., Ltd.; and (4) Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.

For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Consistent with Commerce's practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, Commerce is not rescinding this review with respect to

⁴ See Petitioners' Letter, "Wooden Bedroom Furniture From The People's Republic of China: Partial Withdrawal Of Request For Administrative Review, dated June 27, 2019; see also Kimball International, Inc.'s, Kimball Furniture Group, Inc.'s, and Kimball Hospitality Inc.'s Letter, "Wooden Bedroom Furniture from The People's Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated June 27, 2019; and Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings Ltd.'s, PYLA HK LIMITED's, and MARIA YEE, INC.'s Letter, "Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Maria Yee's Withdrawal of Request for Review," dated June 28, 2019.