but four companies. For a complete

timely withdrew review requests for all

cOMPANY groupings,1 interested parties

respect to multiple companies or

background

for further information contact:

Thomas Hanna, AD/CVD Operations,
Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0835.

Supplementary Information:

Background

After initiating this review with

respect to multiple companies or

company groupings,1 interested parties
timely withdrew review requests for all

but four companies. For a complete

description of the events that followed

the initiative of this administrative

review, see the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum which is hereby adopted

by this notice.2 The Preliminary Decision

Memorandum is a public document and

is on file electronically via Enforcement

and Compliance's Antidumping and

Countervailing Duty Centralized

Electronic Service System (ACCESS).

ACCESS is available to registered users

at http://access.trade.gov and in the

Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the

main Commerce building. In addition, a

complete version of the Preliminary

Decision Memorandum can be accessed

directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/

frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision

Memorandum and the electronic

version of the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is

wooden bedroom furniture, subject to
certain exceptions.3 Imports of subject

merchandise are classified under the

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the

United States (HTSUS) subheadings:

9403.50.9042, 9403.50.9045, 9403.50.9080,

9403.90.7005, 9403.90.7080, 9403.90.9041,

9403.60.8081, 9403.20.0018, 9403.90.8041,

7009.92.1000 or 7009.92.5000. Although the

HTSUS subheadings are provided for

convenience and customs purposes, the

written product description in the order

remains dispositive. For a complete
description of the scope of the Order,

see the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review

in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B)

of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended

(Act) and 19 CFR 351.213. For a full

description of the methodology

underlying our preliminary results of

review, see the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum. A list of topics discussed

in the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum is provided in Appendix

I to this notice.

partial rescission of review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1),

Commerce will rescind an

administrative, in whole or in part, if

the party that requested the review

withdraws its request within 90 days of

the publication of the notice of

initiation of the requested review.

Certain interested parties withdrew

their review requests with respect to all

but four companies or company
groupings for which Commerce initiated

this review4 by the 90-day deadline,

and no other party requested an

administrative review of the

antidumping duty order for these

companies. Therefore, Commerce is

rescinding this review, in part, with

respect to the companies listed in

Appendix II to this notice. The review

will continue with respect to the

following four companies: (1) Eurosa

(Kunshan) Co., Ltd. and Eurosfo

Furniture Co., (PTE) Ltd.; (2) Shenyang

Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.;

(3) Sunforce Furniture (Hui -Yang) Co.,

Ltd., Sun Fung Wooden Factory, Sun

Fung Co., Shin Feng Furniture Co., Ltd.,

Stupendous International Co., Ltd.; and

(4) Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.

Preliminary Determination of No

Shipments

Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs

and Border Protection (CBP)

information, and the no shipment

certifications submitted by the four

companies under review, Commerce

preliminarily determines that the

following four companies did not have

any shipments of subject merchandise

during the POR: (1) Eurosa (Kunshan)

Co., Ltd. and Eurosfo Furniture Co.,

(PTE) Ltd.; (2) Shenyang Shining

Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.; (3)

Sunforce Furniture (Hui -Yang) Co.,

Ltd., Sun Fung Wooden Factory, Sun

Fung Co., Shin Feng Furniture Co., Ltd.,

Stupendous International Co., Ltd.; and

(4) Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.

For additional information regarding

this determination, see the Preliminary

Decision Memorandum. Consistent with

Commerce’s practice in non-market

economy (NME) cases, Commerce is not

rescinding this review with respect to

1. See Initiation of Antidumping and

Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 84 FR

12200 (April 1, 2019).

2. See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the

Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty

Administrative Review: Wooden Bedroom Furniture

from the People’s Republic of China,” dated

concurrently with, and hereby adopted by,

this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

3. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of

Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping

Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the

People’s Republic of China, 70 FR 329 (January 4,

2005) (Order).


Furniture from The People’s Republic of China:

Partial Withdrawal Of Request For Administrative

Review,” dated June 27, 2019; see also Kimball

International, Inc.‘s, Kimball Furniture Group,

Inc.’s, and Kimball Hospitality Inc.’s Letter,

“Wooden Bedroom Furniture from The People’s

Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for

Administrative Review,” dated June 27, 2019; and

Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings Ltd.’s, Pyla Fk

Limited’s, and Maria Yee, Inc.’s Letter,

“Wooden Bedroom Furniture from The People’s

Republic of China: Maria Yee’s Withdrawal of


Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the

People’s Republic of China:

Preliminary Results of Antidumping

Duty Administrative Review, Partial

Rescission of Review, and Preliminary

Determination of No Shipments; 2018

Agency:

Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Summary:

The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding reviews for all but four companies and preliminarily determines that these four companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

Dates:

Applicable October 10, 2019.

For Further Information Contact:

Thomas Hanna, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0835.

Supplementary Information:

Background

Based on our analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information, and the no shipment certifications submitted by the four companies under review, Commerce preliminarily determines that the following four companies did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR: (1) Eurosa (Kunshan) Co., Ltd. and Eurosfo Furniture Co., (PTE) Ltd.; (2) Shenyang Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.; (3) Sunforce Furniture (Hui -Yang) Co., Ltd., Sun Fung Wooden Factory, Sun Fung Co., Shin Feng Furniture Co., Ltd., Stupendous International Co., Ltd.; and (4) Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.

For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Consistent with Commerce’s practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, Commerce is not rescinding this review with respect to...
these four companies, but intends to complete the review with respect to the companies for which it has preliminarily found no shipments and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.\(^5\)

**Public Comment**

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results and may submit case briefs and/or written comments, filed electronically using ACCESS, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii). Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, will be due five days after the due date for case briefs, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. All submissions, with limited exceptions, must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on the due date. Documents excepted from the electronic submission requirements must be filed manually (e.g., in paper form) with the APO/Dockets Unit in Room 18022 of the main Commerce building and stamped with the date and time of receipt by 5 p.m. ET on the due date.\(^6\)

Unless extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs received, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

**Assessment Rates**

Upon issuing the final results of this review, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.\(^6\) Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. Pursuant to Commerce’s practice in NME cases, if we continue to determine that the four companies noted above had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR under their case numbers will be liquidated at the China-wide entity rate.\(^7\)

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed China and non-China exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity, which is 216.01 percent;\(^8\) and (3) for all non-China exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the China exporter that supplied that non-China exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).


Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

**Appendix I**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

| I. Summary | II. Background | III. Scope of the Order | IV. Partial Rescission | V. Discussion of the Methodology | VI. Conclusion |

**Appendix II**

**Companies for Which the Review is Rescinded**

- Billionworth Enterprises Ltd
- Carven Industries Ltd. (BVI)
- Carven Industries Ltd. (HK)
- Dongguan Chengchong Group Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Fortune Furniture Ltd
- Dongguan Mu Si Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Nova Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Singways Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Sunshine Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Yongpeng Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Yujia Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Zhenxin Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dongguan Zhisheng Furniture Co., Ltd
- Dorbest Ltd
- Dream Rooms Furniture (Shanghai) Co. Ltd
- Fairmont Designs
- Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Ltd
- Fleetwood Fine Furniture LP
- Fortune Glory Industrial, Ltd. (HK Ltd.)
- Fortune Glory Industrial Ltd. (HK Ltd.)
- Fortune Furniture Ltd
- Fujian Lianfu Forestry Co., Ltd. (Aka Fujian Wonder Pacific, Inc.)
- Fuzhou Huan Mei Furniture Co., Ltd
- Golden Lion International Trading Ltd
- Golden Well International (HK), Ltd./Producer: Zhangzhou XYM Furniture Product Co., Ltd
- Guangdong New Four Seas Furniture Manufacturing Ltd
- Guangdong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd
- Guangzhou Lucky Furniture Co., Ltd
- Guangzhou Maria Yue Furnishings Ltd
- Hang Hai Woodcraft’s Art Factory
- Hang Hai Woodcrafts Art Factory
- Jasonwood Industrial Co., Ltd. S.A
- Jiangmen Kinwai International Furniture Co., Ltd


\(^6\) See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

\(^7\) See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

\(^8\) For a full discussion of this practice, see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–201–849]

Refrigerable Stainless Steel Kegs From Mexico: Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission (ITC), Commerce is issuing an antidumping duty order on refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico.

DATES: Applicable October 10, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allison Hollander or Minoo Hattem, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2805 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(c), on August 19, 2019, Commerce published its affirmative final determination in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, including its affirmative determination of critical circumstances, with respect to imports of refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico.1 On October 3, 2019, the ITC notified Commerce of its final determination pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(B) of the Act that the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded by reason of the LTFV imports of refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico, and its determination that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to imports of subject merchandise from Mexico.2

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order are refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Appendix to this notice.

Antidumping Duty Order

As stated above, on October 3, 2019, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the ITC notified Commerce of its final determination in this investigation, in which it found that the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(B) of the Act by reason of imports of refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico sold at LTFV, and further found that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to imports of subject merchandise from Mexico. Therefore, in accordance with section 735(c)(2) of the Act, Commerce is issuing this antidumping duty order.

Because the ITC determined that the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded by imports of refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico that are sold at LTFV, section 736(b)(2) of the Act is applicable. Accordingly, Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further instruction by Commerce, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise for entries of refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the ITC’s final affirmative determination under section 735(b) of the Act.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(b)(1)(B) of the Act, Commerce will instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of all appropriate entries of refrigerable stainless steel kegs from Mexico as described in the Appendix to this notice which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the ITC’s notice of final determination in the Federal Register. We will also instruct CBP to require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated customs duties on this merchandise, cash deposits for the subject merchandise equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping margins listed below. The all others rate applies to all producers or exporters not specifically listed.


2 See Notification Letter from the ITC, dated October 3, 2019 (ITC Letter).