Based on current data for outsourcing facilities, we estimate that 75 outsourcing facilities will submit an initial report identifying all drugs compounded in the facility in the previous 6 months. For the purposes of this estimate, each product’s structured product labeling (SPL) submission is considered a separate response, and therefore each facility’s product report will include multiple responses. Taking into account that a particular product that is compounded into different strengths from different sources of active ingredient can be reported in a single SPL response, we estimate that each facility will average 76 products. Our estimate is based on current product reporting data.

We expect each product report will consist of multiple SPL responses per facility and estimate that preparing and submitting this information electronically may take up to 2 hours for each initial SPL response. We also estimate that the 75 registered outsourcing facilities will submit a report twice each year identifying all drugs compounded at the facility in the previous 6 months.

As stated above, we estimate on average 76 SPL responses per facility and that preparing and submitting this information electronically will take approximately 30 minutes per response. We have reduced our burden estimate for semiannual product submissions because outsourcing facilities can save each SPL response once initially created and submitted. For subsequent reports, an outsourcing facility may resubmit the same file(s) after changing the RootID and version number (both SPL metadata), effective date (to identify the reporting period), and the number of units produced, along with other data as appropriate, to appropriate values for the reporting period. Furthermore, if a product was not compounded during a particular reporting period, no SPL response needs be sent for that product during that reporting period.

We expect to receive no more than one waiver request each, from the electronic submission process for initial product reports and semiannual reports, and that each waiver request will take 1 hour to prepare and submit.

Based on submissions we have received, we have reduced the number of responses significantly since our original estimate establishing the collection. This results in an overall reduction to the information collection by 36,072 hours.

Dated: October 4, 2019.

Lowell J. Schiller, 
Principal Associate Commissioner for Policy.
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SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) is announcing the availability of a final guidance for industry entitled “Investigational In Vitro Diagnostics in Oncology Trials: Streamlined Submission Process for Study Risk Determination.” This guidance, developed by the Oncology Center of Excellence at FDA, describes an optional streamlined submission process to determine whether use of an investigational in vitro diagnostic in an oncology clinical trial is considered significant risk, nonsignificant risk, or exempt from investigational device exemption requirements. In the streamlined process, the sponsor submits all information about the oncology trial (including information about the investigational in vitro diagnostic) to the investigational new drug application (IND). As part of IND review, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) works with the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), or CDER or CBER works with the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), as appropriate, to determine if the investigational in vitro diagnostic is significant risk, nonsignificant risk, or exempt.

DATES: The announcement of the guidance is published in the Federal Register on October 10, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit either electronic or written comments on Agency guidances at any time as follows:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to https://www.regulations.gov will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else’s Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your comments, that information will be posted on https://www.regulations.gov.

• If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you

---

### Table 1—Estimated Annual Reporting Burden 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product reporting for compounding outsourcing facilities</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial product reports</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiver request from electronic product reports</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June product reports</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December product reports</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiver request from electronic submission of product re-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.
I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a final guidance for industry entitled “Investigational In Vitro Diagnostics in Oncology Trials: Streamlined Submission Process for Study Risk Determination.” This guidance describes an optional streamlined submission process for determining whether use of an investigational in vitro diagnostic in an oncology clinical trial under an IND (an oncology codevelopment program) is significant risk, nonsignificant risk, or exempt from investigational device exemption requirements.

In the traditional submission process, many sponsors submitted a study risk determination Q-submission to the appropriate center (CDRH or CBER) and an IND to the appropriate center (CBER or CDER). In the streamlined process, all information regarding the oncology codevelopment program (including investigational in vitro diagnostic information) is initially submitted to the IND. CBER or CDER works with CDRH or CDER works with CBER, as appropriate, to determine whether the investigational in vitro diagnostic is significant risk, nonsignificant risk, or exempt. If the investigational in vitro diagnostic in the trial is determined to be significant risk in the streamlined process, the sponsor may need to submit an investigational device exemption to CDRH in addition to submitting an IND to CDER.

This guidance finalizes the draft guidance of the same name issued on April 16, 2018 (83 FR 16366). All public comments received on the draft guidance have been considered, and the guidance has been revised as appropriate along with a few editorial changes. Major changes from the draft to the final version included adding language to clarify that sponsors will receive significant risk determinations within the 30-day review period for the IND and to clarify that the streamlined submission process only applies to new INDs (not additional protocols added to an existing IND, or IND amendments) and adding the definition of noninvasive in 21 CFR 812.3(k) to the glossary.

This guidance is being issued consistent with FDA’s good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The guidance represents the current thinking of FDA on “Investigational In Vitro Diagnostics in Oncology Trials: Streamlined Submission Process for Study Risk Determination.” It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. This guidance is not subject to Executive Order 12866.

II. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This guidance refers to currently approved collections of information. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of...
Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). The collections of information in 21 CFR part 809 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0485. The collections of information in 21 CFR parts 50 and 56 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0755. The collections of information in 21 CFR 56.115 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0130. The collections of information in 21 CFR 50.23 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0586. The collections of information in 21 CFR part 812 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0078. The collections of information in 21 CFR part 820 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0073. The collections of information in 21 CFR part 312 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0014; and the collections of information in 21 CFR part 314 have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0001. The collections of information in the guidance document entitled “Requests for Feedback and Meetings for Medical Device Submissions: The Q-Submission Program” (available at https://www.fda.gov/media/114034/download) have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0756.

III. Electronic Access


Dated: October 4, 2019.

Lowell J. Schiller,
Principal Associate Commissioner for Policy.
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SUMMARY: In compliance with of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, HRSA has submitted an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. Comments submitted during the first public review of this ICR will be provided to OMB. OMB will accept further comments from the public during the review and approval period.

DATES: Comments on this ICR should be received no later than November 12, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, including the ICR Title, to the desk officer for HRSA, either by email to OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov or by fax to 202–395–5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the clearance requests submitted to OMB for review, email Lisa Wright-Solomon, the HRSA Information Collection Clearance Officer at paperwork@hrsa.gov or call (301) 443–1984.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Information Collection Request Title: Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) Compilation of Best Practice Strategies and Interventions, OMB No. 0906–xxxx–NEW

Abstract: HRSA’s RWHAP funds and coordinates with states, areas, and local clinics/community-based organizations to deliver effective HIV care, treatment, and support to low-income people with HIV (PWH). Nearly two-thirds of clients (patients) live at or below 100 percent of the Federal poverty level and approximately three-quarters of RWHAP clients are racial/ethnic minorities. Since 1990, the RWHAP has developed a comprehensive system of safety net providers who deliver high quality direct health care and support services to over half a million people living with HIV (PLWH)—more than 50 percent of all people living with diagnosed HIV in the United States. HRSA is developing a comprehensive, web-based compilation of RWHAP recipient and subrecipient best practice strategies and interventions. When completed, the online recipient compilation will be housed on TargetHIV.org (HRSA’s technical assistance site for recipients and subrecipients) and structured to allow programs to easily search and identify RWHAP best practice strategies and interventions for implementation. Recipients and subrecipients may voluntarily complete a submission form, also housed on TargetHIV.org, when they have a best practice strategy or intervention to share. Strategies and interventions that meet certain criteria will be incorporated into the online compilation.

The project team has developed a draft submission form and criteria for the types of strategies and interventions to be included in the compilation based on: (1) The quality and relevance of the approach to the RWHAP; (2) the level of feasibility, replicability, and sustainability; and (3) the quality of evidence that supports the approach’s results. Specifically, this information collection request involves three forms of data collection as described below.

1. Pre-Submission Screening Form: Through extensive outreach, the project team expects up to 70 recipients and subrecipients to express interest in submission. They will be asked four screening questions to determine whether they are eligible for inclusion in the compilation.

2. Submission Form: Recipients and subrecipients that screen eligible will then complete a submission form describing their strategy or intervention, including service delivery model, target population, expected or achieved outcomes, and resource requirements. The project team will score the submissions based on the established criteria.

3. Site Visit Discussion Guide: The project team will conduct up to 30 site visits to test the criteria and gather feedback on the submission form and compilation. The half-day site visits will involve individual or small group discussions with program staff involved in implementation (e.g., program managers, direct service providers, and evaluators). The project team will then revert the submission form, criteria, and compilation template based on feedback.

A 60-day Federal Register Notice was published in the Federal Register on