A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii), the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative upon filing. Extending the pilot for an additional year will allow the uninterrupted operation of the existing pilot to halt trading across the U.S. markets. Therefore, the Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Commission hereby designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BX–2019–034 on the subject line.
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq BX, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend BX’s By-Laws

October 3, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on September 20, 2019, Nasdaq BX, Inc. (“BX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its By-Laws, as further discussed below.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://nasdaqbx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its By-Laws to (i) harmonize certain provisions related to the regulatory independence of the Exchange with those of the Exchange’s affiliates, Nasdaq ISE, LLC (“ISE”), Nasdaq...
GEMX, LLC ("GEMX"), and Nasdaq MRX, LLC ("MRX"), (ii) modify Director categorizations, (iii) update compositional requirements of the Regulatory Oversight Committee ("ROC"), and (iv) make additional, non-substantive edits. Each change is discussed below.3

Regulatory Independence

The Exchange proposes to modify a number of provisions in its By-Laws related to the regulatory independence of the Exchange. As discussed below, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes will make these provisions more robust and will serve to align the Exchange’s By-Laws with the Limited Liability Company Agreements ("LLC Agreements") of its affiliates, ISE, GEMX, and MRX.

- Dividends: The Exchange currently has distribution provisions in Section 9.8 of the By-Laws that prohibits the Exchange from issuing dividends to its stockholder (i.e., Nasdaq, Inc.), using Regulatory Funds.4 The Exchange now proposes to amend this provision to substantially conform to Section 15 in the LLC Agreements of ISE, GEMX, and MRX by specifying that Regulatory Funds shall not be used for non-regulatory purposes, but rather shall be used to fund the legal, regulatory and surveillance operations of the Exchange. The Exchange believes these are minor changes that make the dividend provisions more robust by specifying how Regulatory Funds may be used. Lastly, the Exchange proposes to add that it would not be required to pay dividends to the stockholder if such dividends would violate the Delaware General Corporation Law or any other applicable law or would otherwise be required to fulfill the regulatory functions or responsibilities of the Exchange.

- Books and Records: The Exchange proposes to add in new Section 10.5 of the By-Laws a provision requiring that the books and records of the Exchange must be maintained in the United States, which will harmonize this provision with Section 16 in the ISE, GEMX, and MRX LLC Agreements. The Exchange further proposes to substantially conform to ISE, GEMX, and MRX in Section 10.5 by providing that the books of the Exchange shall at all times be maintained by the Board. The Exchange’s books of account shall be kept using the method of accounting determined by the stockholder. The Exchange’s independent auditor shall be an independent public accounting firm selected by the Board. Other than as provided in Section 10.5 with respect to the Commission, all confidential information relating to the self-regulatory function of the Exchange (including but not limited to disciplinary matters, trading data, trading practices and audit information) contained in the books and records of the Exchange shall: (i) Not be made available to any persons other than to those officers, directors, employees and agents of the Exchange that have a reasonable need to know the contents thereof, (ii) be retained in confidence by the Exchange and the officers, directors, employees and agents of the Exchange, and (iii) must not be used for any non-regulatory purpose. Furthermore, the Exchange proposes to add, similar to the ISE, GEMX, and MRX LLC Agreements, that nothing in the By-Laws shall be interpreted as to limit or impede the rights of the Commission to access and examine such confidential information pursuant to federal securities laws and the rules and regulations thereunder, or to limit or impede the ability of any officers, directors, employees or agents of the Exchange to disclose such confidential information for the purposes of the Exchange believes that the proposed changes will add more specificity as to who may access the Exchange’s books and records, especially relating to confidential information on the self-regulatory function of the Exchange, and the use of such information.

Director Categorizations

Currently, the definition of “Non-Industry Director” in the Exchange By-Laws refers to, among other individuals, an officer or employee of an issuer of securities listed on the Exchange.5 Because only its affiliate, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq"), currently operates an equities listing market, the Exchange seeks to amend the definition of Non-Industry Director to refer to an officer or employee of an issuer of securities listed on a national securities exchange operated by the Exchange or one of its affiliates. The Exchange believes that the proposed changes will bring greater clarity to the Exchange’s rules by aligning the By-Law provision to how the Exchange currently operates. In addition, the Exchange proposes a non-substantive change in (ii) of the definition of Non-Industry Director to add a reference to “director” in order to align with its affiliated exchanges.6 The Exchange notes that the qualifications for a Non-Industry Director are not expanding under this proposal and as a practical matter, no changes to the current composition of Non-Industry Directors on the Exchange’s Board are contemplated by this rule change.

Today, a Non-Industry Director who is not designated by the Exchange as a Public Director7 under (i) of the definition of Non-Industry Director, and that does not explicitly fall under (ii) (i.e., “an officer or employee of an issuer of securities listed on the national securities exchange operated by the Exchange”) would still fall under (iii) an individual who would not be an Industry Director.8 With the proposed

---

3 All references herein and in the Exhibit 5 to “the Corporation” mean the Exchange. Corporation is defined in the By-Laws to mean Nasdaq BX, Inc.

4 “Regulatory Funds” means fees, fines, or penalties derived from the regulatory operations of the Exchange. “Regulatory Funds” shall not be construed to include revenues derived from listing fees, market data revenues, transaction revenues, or any other aspect of the commercial operations of the Exchange, even if a portion of such revenues are used to pay costs associated with the regulatory operations of the Exchange. See By-Law Article I, Section (ii). The definition of Regulatory Funds is not changing under this proposal.

5 In particular, the definitions of Non-Industry Director on Nasdaq Phlx LLC ("Phlx"), Nasdaq, ISE, GEMX, and MRX all refer to, among other individuals, “. . . an officer, director, or employee of an issuer of securities . . . ” See Phlx By-Law Article I, Section (hh); Nasdaq By-Law Article I, Section (v); ISE By-Law Article I, Section (w); GEMX By-Law Article I, Section (w); and MRX By-Law Article I, Section (w).

6 The term “Public Director” means a Director who has no material business relationship with a broker or dealer, the Corporation or its affiliates, or FINRA. See By-Law Article I, Section (gg).

7 The term “Industry Director” means a Director (excluding any two officers of the Corporation, selected at the sole discretion of the Board, amongst those officers who may be serving as Directors (the “Staff Directors”), who (i) is or has served in the prior three years as an officer, director, or employee of a broker or dealer, excluding an outside director or a director not engaged in the day-to-day management of a broker or dealer; (ii) is an officer, director (excluding an outside director), or employee of an entity that owns more than ten percent of the equity of a broker or dealer, and the broker or dealer accounts for more than five percent of the gross revenues received by the consolidated entity; (iii) owns more than five percent of the equity securities of any broker or dealer, whose investments in brokers or dealers exceed ten percent of his or her net worth, or whose ownership interest otherwise permits him or her to be engaged in the day-to-day management of a broker or dealer; (iv) provides professional services to brokers or dealers, and such services constitute twenty percent or more of the professional revenues received by the Director or twenty percent or more of the gross revenues received by the Director’s firm or partnership; (v) provides professional services to a director, officer, or employee of a broker, dealer, or corporation that owns fifty percent or more of the voting stock of a broker or dealer, and such services relate to the director’s, officer’s, or employee’s professional capacity and constitute twenty percent or more of the professional revenues received by the Director or twenty percent or more of the gross revenues received by the Director’s firm or partnership; or (vi) has a consulting or employment relationship with or provides professional services...
changes, these Non-Industry Directors could fall under both (ii) and (iii) because they would be representative of issuers listed on the Exchange’s affiliate, Nasdaq, and at the same time, not be considered Industry Directors. The Exchange also proposes to make conforming changes to the definition of a “Non-Industry member” of a committee.9

Currently, the Exchange’s Board compositional requirements require at least one Public Director and at least one Director representative of issuers and investors.10 As set forth in Article I, Section (gg), a “Public Director” is defined as a Director who has no material business relationship with a broker or dealer, the Exchange or its affiliates, or FINRA. “Director representative of issuers and investors” is not defined specifically in the Exchange’s By-Laws, but is implicitly defined in the term Non-Industry Director as “an officer or employee of an issuer of securities listed on the Exchange.”11 The Exchange now proposes to clarify in the definition of Public Director that, for the avoidance of doubt, a director of an issuer of securities listed on a national securities exchange operated by the Exchange or one of its affiliates shall not be precluded from being considered a Public Director solely on the basis of such directorship. The Exchange believes that a director of a listed company can adequately represent the interests of listed companies on the Board and therefore be considered a Director representative of issuers and investors. At the same time, the Exchange does not believe that such a directorship always constitutes a material business relationship with a broker or dealer, the Exchange or its affiliates, or FINRA, which would prohibit the individual from being considered a Public Director.12 Of course, such issuer representative must still meet the requirements of a Public Director and not have such material business relationships by definition. Thus in limited circumstances, the Exchange believes that it is possible for directors of listed companies to be considered both Public Directors and Directors representative of issuers and investors. In light of the foregoing, the Exchange also proposes to make conforming changes to the definition of a “Public member” of a committee.13

The Exchange does not seek to amend the Board’s qualification requirements in the By-Laws other than the proposed changes to the definitions of Non-Industry Director and Public Director. With the proposed changes, the composition of the Board would still be required to reflect a balance among Non-Industry Directors (including Public Directors and Directors representative of issuers and investors), Industry Directors, and Member Representative Directors.14 Accordingly, current Board qualification requirements such as the number of Non-Industry Directors, including at least one Public Director and at least one Director representative of issuers and investors, equaling or exceeding the sum of the number of Industry Directors and Member Representative Directors would continue to apply.15

Regulatory Oversight Committee

Currently, By-Law Article IV, Section 4.13(c) requires that the Regulatory Oversight Committee (“ROC”) be comprised of three members, each of whom shall be a Public Director and an “independent director” as defined in Nasdaq Rule 4200. The Exchange proposes to amend Section 4.13(c) to provide that the ROC shall be comprised of at least three members, as is currently the case. This Exchange Director could be considered both an issuer representative and a Public Director.16

19 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(5).

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(1), Section 6(b)(3), and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, which require, among other things, an exchange to be so organized as to have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Act; that one or more directors be representative of issuers and investors and not be associated with a member of the exchange, broker, or dealer; and that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest.

Regulatory Independence

The Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the By-Law provisions on dividends, and books and records are consistent with the Act. As discussed above, the Exchange believes that its proposal will bring greater specificity and detail to provisions related to the regulatory independence of the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed changes will make clear the independence of the Exchange’s regulatory function and facilitate the ability of the Exchange to carry out its responsibility and operate in a manner consistent with the Act. Furthermore, the proposed amendments will have the additional benefit of bringing the Exchange’s By-Laws into greater conformity with similar provisions in the LLC Agreements of ISE, GEMX, and MRX, thereby creating more consistent standards among the affiliated exchanges owned by Nasdaq, Inc.19

Director Categorization

The Exchange believes that the changes to the definitions of Non-Industry Director and Non-Industry
member proposed above will enhance the clarity of these provisions given that only the Exchange’s affiliate (Nasdaq) currently operates an equities listing market. Accordingly, the proposed changes should more accurately reflect how the Exchange currently operates. The Exchange also believes that the proposed changes to the definitions of Public Director and Public member are consistent with the Act as these modifications are intended to make clear that a Director is not barred from being considered a Public Director merely because the Director serves as a director of an issuer of securities listed on a national securities exchange operated by the Exchange or one of its affiliates, and are consistent with current corporate governance practices. Furthermore, as discussed above, the requirements that the number of Non-Industry Directors (including at least one Public Director and at least one Director representative of issuers and investors) equal or exceed the sum of the number of Industry Directors and members be Public Directors and Public members is consistent with the Act as these provisions in the Exchange’s By-Laws with those in the By-Laws and LLC Agreements of its affiliates, in time for the Exchange Board meeting on September 25, 2019. The Commission believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission hereby waives the operative delay and designates the proposed rule change operative upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

Because the proposed rule change relates to the corporate governance of the Exchange and not to the Exchange’s operations, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6) under the Act normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(ii) permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay. The Commission notes that waiver of the operative delay would allow the Exchange to effect the changes to its By-Laws, which would provide more specificity and would better align regulatory oversight of the Exchange. Lastly, the proposed technical changes in Section 4.13(c) to correct a typographical error and to update the reference to Nasdaq Rule 4200 to Rule 5605 will bring greater clarity to the Exchange’s rules, which will protect investors and the public interest.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BX-2019–032 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BX–2019–032. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website ([http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml](http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml)). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written

26 For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission also has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).
communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BX–2019–032 and should be submitted on or before October 30, 2019.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.27

Jill M. Peterson,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–22014 Filed 10–8–19; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend FINRA Rule 7620B To Modify the Trade Reporting Fees Applicable to Participants That Use the FINRA/NYSE Trade Reporting Facility

October 3, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on September 26, 2019, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by FINRA. FINRA has designated the proposed rule change as “establishing or changing a due, fee or other charge” under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act3 and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder,4 which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 7620B (Trade Reporting Facility Reporting Fees) to modify the trade reporting fees applicable to participants that use the FINRA/NYSE Trade Reporting Facility (“FINRA/NYSE TRF”).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on FINRA’s website at http://www.finra.org, at the principal office of FINRA and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The FINRA/NYSE TRF, which is operated by NYSE Market (DE), Inc. (“NYSE Market (DE)”), is one of four FINRA facilities5 that FINRA members can use to report over-the-counter (“OTC”) trades in NMS stocks. While members are required to report all OTC trades in NMS stocks to FINRA, they may choose which FINRA Facility (or Facilities) to use to satisfy their trade reporting obligations.6

2. Statutory Basis

The authority to adopt the proposed rule change is provided by the Act,7 Section 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).8

3. Regulatory Basis

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to modify the trade reporting fees applicable to FINRA members that use the FINRA/NYSE TRF (“FINRA/NYSE TRF Participants” or “Participants”). Currently, the monthly fee for use of the FINRA/NYSE TRF is calculated using a tiered fee structure based on the reporting member’s OTC trading activity. NYSE Market (DE) proposes to:

• Change the tier basis to use just the trading activity reported to the FINRA/ NYSE TRF, rather than using all trading activity published on FINRA’s public website, as it does now; and
• increase the number of fee tiers to address differences in participant usage.

If there were no change in reporting to the FINRA/NYSE TRF, such that Participants’ reporting volume stayed the same as it was in the first quarter of 2019, under the proposed fee schedule the total monthly subscriber fees paid to the FINRA/NYSE TRF would decrease.

FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 7620B (FINRA/NYSE Trade Reporting Facility Reporting Fees) accordingly. There is no new product or service accompanying the proposed fee change.

Background

The FINRA/NYSE TRF

Under the governing limited liability company agreement,7 the FINRA/NYSE TRF has two members: FINRA and NYSE Market (DE). FINRA, the “SRO Member,” has sole regulatory responsibility for the FINRA/NYSE TRF. NYSE Market (DE), the “Business Member,” is primarily responsible for the management of the FINRA/NYSE TRF’s business affairs to the extent those affairs are not inconsistent with the regulatory and oversight functions of FINRA.

The Business Member establishes pricing for use of the FINRA/NYSE TRF, which pricing is implemented pursuant to FINRA rules that FINRA must file with the Commission and that must be consistent with the Act. The relevant FINRA rules are administered by NYSE Market (DE), in its capacity as the Business Member and operator of the

5 The four FINRA facilities are the FINRA/NYSE TRF; two FINRA/Nasdaq Trade Reporting Facilities (together, the “FINRA/Nasdaq TRF”), and the Alternative Display Facility (“ADF” and together, the “FINRA Facilities”).
6 Members can use the FINRA/NYSE TRF as a backup system and reserve bandwidth if there is a failure at another FINRA Facility that supports the reporting of OTC trades in NMS stocks. As set forth in Trade Reporting Notice 1/20/16 (OTC Equity Trading and Reporting in the Event of Systems Issues), a firm that routinely reports its OTC trades in NMS stocks to only one FINRA Facility must establish and maintain connectivity and report to a second FINRA Facility, if the firm intends to continue to support OTC trading as an executing broker while its primary facility is experiencing a widespread systems issue.
7 See the Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of FINRA/NYSE Trade Reporting Facility LLC. The limited liability company agreement, which was submitted as part of the rule filing to establish the FINRA/NYSE TRF and was subsequently amended and restated, can be found in the FINRA Manual.