The parties are entitled to make oral closing arguments, but post-hearing submissions are only permitted by direction of the Administrative Judge;

Parties allowed to file written submissions, or documentary evidence must serve copies upon the other parties within the timeframe prescribed by the Administrative Judge;

The Administrative Judge is prohibited, beginning with his or her appointment and until a final agency decision is issued, from initiating or otherwise engaging in ex parte (private) discussions with any party on the merits of the complaint;

The Administrative Judge is responsible for determining the date, time, and location of the hearing, including whether the hearing will be conducted via video conference; and

The Administrative Judge shall convene the hearing within 180 days of the OHA’s receipt of the request for a hearing, unless the parties agree to an extension of this deadline by mutual written consent, or the Administrative Judge determines that extraordinary circumstances exist that require a delay.

Hearings shall be open only to Hearing Counsel, duly authorized representatives of DOE, the person and the person’s counsel or other representatives, and such other persons as may be authorized by the Administrative Judge. Unless otherwise ordered by the Administrative Judge, witnesses shall testify in the presence of the person but not in the presence of other witnesses.

The Administrative Judge must use procedures appropriate to safeguard and prevent unauthorized disclosure of classified information or any other information protected from public disclosure by law or regulation, with minimum impairment of rights and obligations under this part. The classified or otherwise protected status of any information shall not, however, preclude its being introduced into evidence. The Administrative Judge may issue such orders as may be necessary to consider such evidence in camera including the preparation of a supplemental recommended decision to address issues of law or fact that arise out of that portion of the evidence that is classified or otherwise protected.

The person requesting the hearing has the burden of going forward with evidence that you wish to make publicly available. You should submit only information that you wish to make publicly available.

Public Inspection: All comments received will be posted generally without change to https://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/federal/, including any personal information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ryan T. Singer, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Division of Insurance and Research, (202) 898–7352, rsinger@fdic.gov; Jennifer M. Jones, Counsel, Legal Division, (202) 898–6768, jennjones@fdic.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 4, 2019, the FDIC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking with request for comments on proposed that would amend the deposit insurance assessment regulations that govern the use of small bank assessment credits (small bank credits) and one-time assessment credits (OTACs) by certain insured depository institutions (IDIs). The FDIC is supplementing that notice of proposed rulemaking with an updated regulatory flexibility analysis to reflect changes to the Small Business Administration’s monetary-based size standards which were adjusted for inflation as of August 19, 2019.

DATES: Comments on the updated regulatory flexibility analysis must be received on or before November 4, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

Email: Comments@fdic.gov. Include RIN 3064–AF16 on the subject line of the message.

Mail: Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429.

Hand Delivery to FDIC: Comments may be hand-delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on F Street) on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m.

Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Please include your name, affiliation, address, email address, and telephone number(s) in your comment. All statements received, including attachments and other supporting materials, are part of the public record and are subject to public disclosure.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

RIN 3064–AF16

Assessments

agency: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; supplemental notice.

SUMMARY: On September 4, 2019, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking with request for comments on proposed that would amend the deposit insurance assessment regulations that govern the use of small bank credits and OTACs by certain IDIs. (See 84 FR 45443 (August
29, 2019). The FDIC is supplementing that notice of proposed rulemaking with an updated regulatory flexibility analysis to reflect changes to the Small Business Administration’s monetary-based size standards which were adjusted for inflation as of August 19, 2019. (See 84 FR 34261 (July 18, 2019).

**Updated Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., generally requires an agency, in connection with a proposed rule, to prepare and make available for public comment an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. However, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Small Business Administration (SBA) has defined “small entities” to include banking organizations with total assets of less than $600 million. Generally, the FDIC considers a regulatory flexibility act to be a quantified effect in excess of 5 percent of total annual salaries and benefits per institution, or 2.5 percent of total non-interest expenses. The FDIC believes that effects in excess of these thresholds typically represent significant effects for FDIC-insured institutions. Certain types of rules, such as rules of particular applicability relating to rates or corporate or financial structures, or practices relating to such rates or structures, are expressly excluded from the definition of “rule” for purposes of the RFA. The proposed rule relates directly to the rates imposed on IDIs for deposit insurance and to the deposit insurance assessment system that measures risk and determines each established small bank’s assessment rate and is, therefore, not subject to the RFA. Nonetheless, the FDIC is voluntarily presenting information in this RFA section.

Based on quarterly regulatory report data as of March 31, 2019, the FDIC insures 5,371 depository institutions, of which 4,004 are defined as small entities by the terms of the RFA. Further, 4,001 RFA-defined small, FDIC-insured institutions have small bank credits totaling $183.7 million.

As stated previously, the proposed rule eliminates the possibility that affected small, FDIC-insured institutions would begin receiving small bank credits in the quarter when the reserve ratio first reaches or exceeds 1.38 percent, but that these credits then would be suspended if the reserve ratio subsequently falls below 1.38 percent (but remains at least 1.35 percent). Therefore, the economic effect of this aspect of the proposed rule is a reduction in the potential future costs associated with a disruption of the type just described in the application of small bank credits by affected small, FDIC-insured institutions. It is difficult to accurately estimate the magnitude of this benefit to affected small, FDIC-insured institutions, because it depends, among other things, on future economic and financial conditions, the operational and financial management practices at affected small, FDIC-insured institutions, and the future levels of the reserve ratio. However, the FDIC believes the economic effects of the proposed rule are likely to be small, because an estimated 41 percent of the aggregate amount of small bank credits would be applied in the first quarter that the reserve ratio is at least 1.38 percent. Further, the FDIC estimates that 3,851 small, FDIC-insured institutions (or 96.5 percent) would exhaust their individual shares of small bank credits within four assessment periods. Of the 150 small, FDIC-insured institutions that the FDIC estimates would have small bank credits that would last more than four quarters, 139 are expected to exhaust their individual shares after being applied for two additional assessment periods (i.e., after a total of six assessment periods of application), and four within four additional assessment periods of application (i.e., after a total of eight assessment periods), and seven will last more than eight quarters. Therefore, the dollar amount of remaining small bank credits declines substantially after the initial application of credits in the first quarter of use, reducing the effects of credit application being suspended due to a decrease in the reserve ratio. Additionally, recent history suggests a generally positive near-term outlook for the banking sector (implying lower costs to the DIF), therefore the probability of suspension of applying small bank credits is low, particularly in the near-term quarters. As stated previously, the proposed rule would require the FDIC to remit the outstanding balances of remaining OTACs in a lump-sum payment, in the next assessment period in which the reserve ratio is at least 1.35 percent, at the same time that the outstanding small bank credit balances are remitted. As of March 31, 2019, only two IDIs have outstanding OTACs, totaling approximately $300,000. However, both institutions are subsidiaries of large banking organizations and therefore do not qualify as small entities under the RFA. Therefore, this aspect of the proposed rule would not affect any small, FDIC-insured institutions. The FDIC invites comments on all aspects of the supporting information provided in this RFA section. In particular, would this proposed rule have any significant effects on small entities that the FDIC has not identified?

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Dated at Washington, DC, on September 26, 2019.

Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary.

[PR Doc. 2019–21322 Filed 10–2–19; 8:45 am]
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**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION**

12 CFR Part 390

**RIN 3064–AF15**

**Removal of Transferred OTS Regulations Regarding Accounting Requirements for State Savings Associations**

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** In order to streamline Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) regulations, the FDIC proposes to rescind and remove from the Code of Federal Regulations rules entitled Accounting Requirements (part 390, subpart T) that were transferred to the FDIC from the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) on July 21, 2011, in connection with the implementation of Title III of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act). The proposed rule would rescind and remove part 390, subpart T (including the Appendix to 12 CFR 390.384) because the financial statement and disclosure requirements set forth in part 390, subpart T are substantially similar to, although more detailed than, otherwise applicable financial statement form and content requirements and disclosure requirements that a State savings...