(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions. The information collected on this form will be used by USCIS to determine eligibility for the requested immigration benefits under section 203(b)(1), 203(b)(2), or 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection I–140 is 143,000 and the estimated hour burden per response is 1.083 hours.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated total annual hour burden associated with this collection is 154,917 hours.

(7) An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection: The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is $62,598,250.

Dated: September 27, 2019.

Samantha Deshommes,

[FR Doc. 2019–21417 Filed 10–1–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–97–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[OMB Control Number 1615–0048]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection; Request for Premium Processing Service


ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Citizenship and Immigration (USCIS) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment upon this proposed extension of a currently approved collection of information. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the information collection notice is published in the Federal Register to obtain comments regarding the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (i.e., the time, effort, and resources used by the respondents to respond), the estimated cost to the respondent, and the actual information collection instruments.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until December 2, 2019.

ADDRESSES: All submissions received must include the OMB Control Number 1615–0048 in the body of the letter, the agency name and Docket ID USCIS–2006–0025. To avoid duplicate submissions, please use only one of the following methods to submit comments:

(1) Online. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal website at http://www.regulations.gov under e-Docket ID number USCIS–2006–0025; and

(2) Mail. Submit written comments to DHS, USCIS, Office of Policy and Strategy, Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20529–2140.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: USCIS, Office of Policy and Strategy, Regulatory Coordination Division, Samantha Deshommes, Chief, 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20529–2140, telephone number 202–272–8377 (This is not a toll-free number. Comments are not accepted via telephone message). Please note contact information provided here is solely for questions regarding this notice. It is not for individual case status inquiries. Applicants seeking information about the status of their individual cases can check Case Status Online, available at the USCIS website at http://www.uscis.gov, or call the USCIS Contact Center at 800–375–5283 (TTY 800–767–1833).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments: You may access the information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information by visiting the Federal eRulemaking Portal site at: http://www.regulations.gov and enter USCIS–2006–0025 in the search box. Regardless of the method used for submitting comments or material, all submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov, and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to consider limiting the amount of personal information that you provide in any voluntary submission you make to DHS. DHS may withhold information identified in comments from public viewing if it determines may impact the privacy of an individual or is offensive. For additional information, please read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of http://www.regulations.gov.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Request for Premium Processing Service.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the DHS sponsoring the collection: 1–907; USCIS.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. USCIS uses the data collected through this form to process a request for premium processing. The form serves the purpose of standardizing requests for premium processing, and will ensure that basic information required to assess eligibility is provided by the employers/petitioners.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection 1–907 is 319,301 and the estimated hour burden per response is 0.58 hours.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total estimated annual hour burden associated with this collection is 185,195 hours.
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Los Osos Habitat Conservation Plan; Environmental Assessment and Receipt of Application; Community of Los Osos, San Luis Obispo County, California

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, have received an application from the County of San Luis Obispo for an incidental take permit under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The permit, if issued, would authorize take of the federally endangered Morro shoulderband snail (Helminthoglypta walkeriana) and Morro Bay kangaroo rat (Dipodomys heermanni morroensis) and provide assurances for the federally endangered Indian Knob mountainbalm (Eriodictyon altissimum) and federally threatened Morro manzanita (Arctostaphylos morroensis). We invite public comment on the draft habitat conservation plan and a draft environmental assessment prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

DATES: We will receive public comments on the draft habitat conservation plan and draft environmental assessment until November 18, 2019.

ADDRESSES:
Obtaining Documents: You may download a copy of the draft HCP and draft EA at http://www.fws.gov/ventura/ or you may request copies of the documents by U.S. mail (below) or by phone (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).
Submitting Written Comments: Please send your written comments using one of the following methods:

- Email: julie_vanderwier@fws.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Leilani Takano, Assistant Field Supervisor, by phone at 805–677–3330, via the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 for TTY assistance, or at the Ventura address (see ADDRESSES).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
The County of San Luis Obispo (applicant) has applied to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) for an incidental take permit (ITP) under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). The applicant is requesting an ITP with a 25-year term, for incidental take of two animal species likely to result from implementation of activities covered by the applicant’s habitat conservation plan (HCP), and seeking assurances for two plant species. The permit, if issued, would authorize take of the federally endangered Morro shoulderband snail (Helminthoglypta walkeriana) and Morro Bay kangaroo rat (Dipodomys heermanni morroensis) and provide assurances for the federally endangered Indian Knob mountainbalm (Eriodictyon altissimum) and federally threatened Morro manzanita (Arctostaphylos morroensis). Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), we advise the public of the availability of the proposed HCP and our draft environmental assessment (EA).

Background
Section 9 of the ESA prohibits the take of fish or wildlife species listed as endangered; by regulation, the Service may extend the take prohibition to fish or wildlife species listed as threatened. “Take” is defined under the ESA to include the following activities: “[T]o harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct” (16 U.S.C. 1532); however, under section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA, we may issue permits to authorize incidental take of listed species. The ESA defines “incidental take” as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity. Regulations governing incidental take permits for threatened and endangered species are in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17.32 and 17.22, respectively. Under the ESA, protections for federally listed plants differ from the protections afforded to federally listed animals. Issuance of an incidental take permit also must not jeopardize the existence of federally listed fish, wildlife, or plant species. The Permittee would receive assurances under our “No Surprises” regulations (50 CFR 17.22(b)(5)) and 17.32(b)(5) regarding conservation activities for the Morro shoulderband snail, Morro Bay kangaroo rat, Indian Knob mountainbalm, and Morro manzanita.

The proposed HCP includes measures intended to avoid, minimize, and mitigate take of the Morro shoulderband snail and Morro Bay kangaroo rat and impacts to Indian Knob mountainbalm and Morro manzanita (covered species) expected to occur incidental to otherwise lawful covered activities.

The applicant is requesting coverage for incidental take and impacts resulting from the following categories of covered activities:
1. Private development (new construction, remodels, defensible space).
2. Capital improvement projects.
3. Facilities operation and maintenance projects.
4. Community wildfire protection plan, and
5. Conservation program.

Incidental take or impacts to the covered species resulting from the covered activities would be restricted to the 3,200-acre (ac) permit area, which includes the majority of Los Osos, an unincorporated community in western San Luis Obispo County. The permit area excludes all existing State park lands, with the exception of approximately 5 ac contiguous with Elfin Forest Reserve. Covered activities could result in the loss of up to 532 ac of habitat for the covered species present within the permit area.

The proposed conservation program includes species-specific avoidance and minimization measures and the establishment of a preserve system for the covered species. The preserve system would be subject to monitoring, management, and protection in perpetuity. The conservation program would remain in step with take/impacts, and the assembly of the preserve system would occur throughout the permit term.

National Environmental Policy Act Compliance
The EA analyzes the effects to the human environment for three project alternatives: No action, proposed action, and reduced take.

Under the No-Action alternative, the Service would not issue the ITP and...