

State of Delaware with its executive offices and principal place of business located at 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056. Nexus is a 50/50 joint venture between DTE and Enbridge.

III. Relevant Markets and Market Structure

The relevant product market at issue is the pipeline transportation of natural gas. Even if pipeline transportation rates increased slightly, natural gas shippers would continue to use pipelines, as no economic or practical alternative exists. Other natural gas delivery methods (such as boat, rail, or truck) are far more costly, less reliable, and potentially more hazardous than pipeline transportation. Moreover, particularly given low natural gas prices, a small increase in natural gas pipeline transportation rates would not lead customers to switch to other (more costly) fuels.

A relevant geographic market within which to analyze the effects of the Transaction is an area no broader than Lucas, Ottawa, and Wood counties in Ohio (the "Relevant Area"), which contains the closest geographic overlaps between the Generation Pipeline and the North Coast Pipeline. Although pipeline options may vary by customer delivery location, any customer for whom the Generation Pipeline and the North Coast Pipeline are both competitive options are located within the Relevant Area.

Market concentration in this industry is location-specific and depends on the pipeline options available near a given delivery point. Many customers connect only to one pipeline and cannot economically connect to any other. For large industrial customers looking to establish a direct connection to a natural gas pipeline system, concentration is a factor of how many suppliers are close enough to connect economically, while also meeting the customer's volume and service requirements. The Commission's Complaint alleges that the Generation Pipeline and the NCGT pipeline may be the best alternatives for a subset of large non-residential customers in the Toledo area who are located reasonably close to both pipelines.

IV. Effects of the Transaction

The Commission's Complaint alleges that, absent the proposed Consent Agreement, the Transaction would result in competitive harm in the natural gas pipeline transportation market in the Relevant Area. By prohibiting NCGT from competing to provide natural gas transportation within the Restricted Area, the Non-

Compete would harm customers who would otherwise benefit from competition from NCGT. The Non-Compete is not reasonably limited in scope to protect a legitimate business interest. In this instance, the provision does not protect any significant intellectual property, goodwill, or customer relationship necessary to protect Nexus' investment. A mere general desire to be free from competition following a transaction is not a legitimate business interest. Moreover, even if a legitimate interest existed, the geographic scope of the Non-Compete would be broader than reasonably necessary, because, in part, it prevents NCGT from competing for any opportunity in the restricted area, even for opportunities that were unforeseen at the time of the Transaction.

V. Entry Conditions

Entry into the relevant market would not be timely, likely, or sufficient to deter or counteract the anticompetitive effects arising from the Merger. Entry into the pipeline transportation of natural gas is a complicated, expensive, and time-consuming endeavor. In addition to completing a lengthy regulatory review and approval process, an entrant would need to secure sufficient precedent agreements by shippers, obtain rights of way, and overcome environmental or landowner hurdles.

VI. The Proposed Consent Agreement

The proposed consent order ("Order") effectively resolves the competitive concerns raised by the Sale Agreement's Non-Compete. First, the Order requires the parties to execute a revised Sale Agreement that eliminates the Non-Compete and associated language.

Next, Section II.B of the Order prohibits Nexus and its parents, DTE and Enbridge, (collectively "Respondents"), from entering into, enforcing, or soliciting any written or oral agreement that restricts competition between one or more Respondents and a "Pipeline Competitor" to provide natural gas pipeline transportation to the Relevant Area, without prior Commission approval. The Order defines "Pipeline Competitor" as a firm that owns, operates, or markets capacity on a natural gas pipeline. This definition would include NCGT and other pipeline companies, as well as a situation where a customer with long-term capacity rights might resell its capacity and effectively act as a competitor.

In an industry where joint ventures and other competitor collaborations frequently occur, some arrangements

that the Order might capture could advance legitimate purposes. The Order's prior approval provision gives Respondents the opportunity to advocate for these arrangements and the Commission to evaluate any attendant restrictions on a case-by-case basis.

The Order also requires Respondents to provide prior notice of intent to acquire the North Coast System or any other natural gas pipeline in the Relevant Area. It also requires Respondents to file annual compliance reports with the Commission for 10 years following the Order's issuance.

The sole purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Agreement. This analysis does not constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Agreement or modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

April J. Tabor,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-21316 Filed 9-30-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the intention of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve the proposed information collection project:

"Embedded Research in Care Delivery Systems."

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, AHRQ invites the public to comment on this proposed information collection. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on July 29, 2019 and allowed 60 days for public comment. AHRQ received no substantive comments. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by 30 days after date of publication.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to: AHRQ's OMB Desk Officer by fax at (202) 395-6974 (attention: AHRQ's desk officer) or by

email at OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov (attention: AHRQ's desk officer).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Doris Lefkowitz, AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer, (301) 427-1477, or by email at doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Project

“Embedded Research in Care Delivery Systems”

Embedded researchers contribute to learning health systems by collaborating with delivery system stakeholders to produce innovations and evidence that can be rapidly implemented to improve the outcomes of individual and populations and health system performance.

Research is defined in this proposed project as *embedded* when it is conducted by an investigator who is employed or closely affiliated with the care delivery system and when the research project at least partially addresses operational concerns of the system (e.g. ways to improve care quality, value, or other aspects of system performance (e.g., patient and staff satisfaction).

AHRQ is developing tools and findings to support learning health systems and embedded research and is funding training of researchers to conduct embedded research. The proposed project has the following goals:

- Select health care delivery systems that currently apply diverse and distinctive strategies for embedded research.

- Conduct and report on qualitative case studies documenting how embedded research is prioritized, funded, managed, conducted, and used in these systems.

- Specify several promising strategies for organizing and conducting embedded research.

- Provide summaries of study findings that will stimulate consideration of current and future strategies for embedded research among funders, trainers, and delivery system leaders.

The proposed project does *not* intend to create a comprehensive inventory of current practice in embedded research or to provide a representative sample of embedded research activities. Instead, the illustrative case studies will stimulate discussion at AHRQ and elsewhere about how to prepare researchers to conduct embedded research. Additionally, the case studies may provide insights to health research funding agencies about ways that funding criteria can influence the conduct of embedded research. The case studies may also provide health care leaders with illustrations of some of the potential benefits of supporting embedded research and some of the challenges of alternative approaches to incorporating such research into care delivery systems.

Method of Collection

Based on an environmental scan, six to eight care delivery systems will be selected that employ people engaged in embedded research; have engaged in this type of research for at least two fiscal years; and take a distinctive

approach to it or are recognized as a leader in this field. At least one system will be selected that has a mission and a commitment to serving *AHRQ's priority populations*. The investigators will conduct phone interviews with up to eight people in each of the selected systems. The interview subjects in each delivery system will include at least one occupant of each of the following roles:

Executive-level manager; person exercising oversight over embedded research activities; person from a service line or care sector in which several embedded research projects have been carried out; lead investigator on one or more embedded research projects. Interviews will be coded and case study summaries created for each system. The reports will describe promising embedded research strategies, potential benefits and challenges of this type of research, and lessons learned about addressing challenges. The findings will be shared with AHRQ leadership, other health system leaders and funder, and with the health services research community.

Estimated Annual Respondent Burden

Exhibit 1 is based on the following assumptions: No more than 8 subjects will participate in the main round of interviews in each system (site). There will be a maximum of 8 sites. If supplementary information is needed on selected projects, no more than 3 supplementary interviews will be conducted. Each supplementary interview will include 3–4 participants, with a total of no more than 10 participants in the whole set of supplementary interviews.

EXHIBIT 1—ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Collection activity—interviews	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Hours per response	Total burden hours
Interviews with executive-level subjects	10	1	1	10
Interviews with physicians	22	1	1	22
Interviews with researchers and other operations staff	42	1	1	42
Total	74

EXHIBIT 2—ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST BURDEN

Interview participants	Number of respondents	Total burden hours	Average hourly wage rate*	Total cost burden
Executive level (code 11–1011)	10	10	\$96.22	\$962.20
Physicians (code 29–1060)	22	22	101.43	2,231.46
Researchers and other operations staff (based on Operations Research Analysts code 15–2031)	42	42	42.48	1,784.16
Total	4,977.82

* National Compensation Survey: Occupational wages in the United States May 2018 “U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.”

Request for Comments

In accordance with the above-cited Paperwork Reduction Act legislation, comments on AHRQ’s information collection are requested with regard to any of the following: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of AHRQ health care research and health care information dissemination functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of AHRQ’s estimate of burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection(s) of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information upon the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the Agency’s subsequent request for OMB approval of the proposed information collection. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 25, 2019.
Virginia L. Mackay-Smith,
Associate Director.
[FR Doc. 2019–21239 Filed 9–30–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–90–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day–19–19AXA]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the information collection request titled “Annual Reporting of the Rape Prevention and Education (RPE) Program: CE19–1902 Cooperative Agreement” to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. CDC previously

published a “Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations” notice on June 5, 2019 to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. One public comment public comment was received. This notice serves to allow an additional 30 days for public and affected agency comments.

CDC will accept all comments for this proposed information collection project. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

- (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;
- (d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including, through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and
- (e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639–7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Direct written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice to the Attention: CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395–5806. Provide written comments within 30 days of notice publication.

Proposed Project

Annual Reporting of the Rape Prevention and Education (RPE) Program: CE19–1902 Cooperative Agreement—New—National Center for

Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

CDC’s Division of Violence Prevention (DVP) provides national leadership in prevention of sexual violence (SV) perpetration and victimization before it begins (i.e., primary prevention). DVP administers the RPE Program, which provides funding to health departments in all 50 states, the District of Columbia (DC), Puerto Rico, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. The CDC seeks OMB approval for three years to collect information related to implementation and outcomes annually from recipients funded under the Rape Prevention and Education (RPE): Using The Best Available Evidence for Sexual Violence Prevention cooperative agreement.

RPE Program recipients or designated delegates will submit data annually into the online data system, DVP Partners Portal. Recipients will monitor and report progress on their goals, objectives, and activities, as well as relevant information on the implementation of their prevention strategies, outcomes, evaluation, and state action plan.

Information to be collected will provide crucial data for program performance monitoring. Information collected will allow CDC to help ensure consistency in documenting, enhancing accountability of the use of federal funds, providing timely program reports and responses to information requests, such as Congressional requests mandated by the authorizing legislation, improve real-time communications between CDC and RPE recipients, and strengthening CDC’s capacity to provide responsive data-driven technical assistance and to monitor and evaluate recipients’ progress and performance.

Submission of the Annual Progress Report is required for cooperative agreement grantees. The total estimated annualized burden hours are 440. There is no cost to respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
RPE-funded Health Departments (State, DC, and Territories) and their Designated Delegates.	Annual Reporting—Initial Population	55	1	4
	Annual Reporting—Subsequent Reporting	55	2	2