information would limit the Secretary’s ability to discharge fiduciary duties and may also result in the loss of royalty payments. We protect the proprietary information that we receive and do not collect items of a sensitive nature.

ONRR requires lessees to respond to information collections relating to valuing Federal oil and gas, including transportation and processing allowances. ONRR also requires that lessees submit the allowance information to obtain benefits for claiming allowances on form ONRR–2014. In addition, ONRR requires lessees to respond to information collections in regards to requesting accounting and auditing relief.

Data

Title of Collection: Federal Oil and Gas Valuation—30 CFR parts 1202, 1204 and 1206.

OMB Control Number: 1012–0005.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Businesses.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 120 Federal lessees/designees and 7 States for Federal oil and gas.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 143.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: The average completion time is 70.06 hours per response. The average completion time is calculated by dividing the total estimated burden hours (10,018) by the estimated annual responses (143) from the table below.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 10,018 hours.

Respondent’s Obligation: Submission of lessees’ information used for valuing Federal oil and gas, including transportation and processing allowances, to ONRR is mandatory. Lessees and designees requesting accounting and auditing relief for qualifying Federal marginal properties is required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: Annually and on occasion.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: We have identified no “nonhour” cost burden associated with the collection of information.

We have not included in our estimates certain requirements that companies perform in the normal course of business and that ONRR considers usual and customary.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.


Gregory J. Gould,
Director, Office of Natural Resources Revenue.

[FR Doc. 2019–20473 Filed 9–20–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4335–30–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1174]

Certain Toner Cartridges, Components Thereof, and Systems Containing Same; Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 19, 2019, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Brother Industries, Ltd. of Japan, Brother International Corp. (U.S.A.) of Bridgewater, New Jersey, and Brother Industries (U.S.A., Inc.) of Bartlett, Tennessee. A supplement to the complaint was filed on August 20, 2019. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toner cartridges, components thereof, and systems containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,568,856 (“the ‘856 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 9,575,460 (“the ‘460 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 9,632,456 (“the ‘456 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 9,785,093 (“the ‘093 patent”); and claims 1, 4–5, and 9 of the ‘456 patent; and claims 1, 3, 5, 7–12, and 18 of the ‘387 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESS: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 17, 2019, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)[1][B] of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, 10, and 12–15 of the ‘093 patent; claims 1, 7–11, 15, and 16 of the ‘460 patent; claims 1–7 and 9 of the ‘456 patent; claims 1, 4–5, and 9 of the ‘456 patent; and claims 1, 3, 5, 7–12, and 18 of the ‘387 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)[2] of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “laser toner cartridges designed for use with Brother printers, fax machines, and Multi-Function Centers (MFCs)”; and

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are: Brother Industries, Ltd., 15–1, Naeshiro-cho, Mizuho-ku Nagoya-shi, Aichi-ken, Japan 467–8561.
Brother International Corporation (U.S.A.), 200 Crossing Boulevard, Bridgewater, NJ 08807
Brother Industries (U.S.A.), Inc., 7819 North Brother Boulevard, Bartlett, TN 38133

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
AMI Brothers, Inc., 1001 Bayhill Drive, Suite 200, San Bruno, CA 94066
An An Beauty Limited, Flat/Room 2104 21/F, Mongkok Commercial Centre, 16 Argyle Street, Mongkok, Kowloon, Hong Kong 999077
Aster Graphics, Inc., 12000 Magnolia Avenue, Suite 101, Riverside, CA 92503
Aztech Enterprises Limited, Units 1206, 12/F, Cheuk Nang Center, 9 Hillwood Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong 999077
Billiontree Technology USA Inc., 19945 Harrison Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91789
Carlos Imaging Supplies, Inc., PMB 540, 17128 Colima Road, Hacienda Heights, CA 91745
Cartridge Evolution, Inc., 120 41st Street, Unit 3R, Brooklyn, New York 11232
Do it Wiser, LLC, 3422 Old Capital Trail, Suite 747, Wilmington, DE 19808
Eco Imaging Inc., PMB A839, 14252 Culver Drive, Irvine, CA 92604
EcoSmartcart Co., PMB 322, 17360 Colima Road, Rowland Heights, CA 91748
EPrinter Solution LLC, 2705 Pomona Boulevard, Pomona, CA 91768
E-Z Ink Inc., 120 41st Street, Unit 2R, Brooklyn, NY 11228
Globaltrading Inc., 1251 South Rockefeller Avenue, Unit B, Ontario, CA 91761
Greenecy Tech Inc., 9638 Rush Street, Unit E, South El Monte, CA 91733
Hong Kong Boze Co., Ltd., Flat/Room A 27/F, Billion Plaza 2, 10 Cheung Yee Street, Lai Chi Kok, Kowloon, Hong Kong 999077
I8 International, Inc., 19961 Harrison Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91789
INEE E-Commerce Co., Ltd, Flat/Room B 8/F, Chong Ming Building, 72 Cheung Sha Wan Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong 999077
IKong E-Commerce, PMB 429, 385 South Lemon Avenue, Suite E, Walnut, CA 91780
Intercon International Corp., PMB 109, 407 West Imperial Highway, Suite H, Brea, CA 92821
IPrint Enterprise Limited, Rooms 1318–19, 13/F, Hollywood Plaza, 610 Nathan Road, Mongkok, Kowloon, Hong Kong 999077
LD Products Inc., 3700 Cover Street, Long Beach, CA 90808
Linkyo Corp., 629 South 6th Avenue, La Puente, CA 91746
Mangoket LLC, 1641 West Main Street, Suite 222, Alhambra, CA 91801
New Era Image LLC, 1499 Pomona Road, Suite G, Corona, CA 92882
OW Supplies Corp., 13445 Estelle Street, Corona, CA 92879
Solong E-Commerce Co., LLC, Flat/Room 19C, Lockhart Center, 301–307 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong 999077
Smartjet E-Commerce Co., LLC, Flat/Room A 20/F, Kiu Fu Commercial Building, 300 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong 999077
Super Warehouse Inc., 1160 Yew Avenue, DSS–5179, Blaine, WA 98230
Theresa Meng, 1424 Bath Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11228
Triple Best LLC, 13858 Torrey Bella Court, San Diego, CA 92129
V4ink Inc., PMB 296, 516 North Diamond Bar Boulevard, Diamond Bar, CA 91765
Zhuhai Xiaohui E-Commerce Co., Ltd., Room 502, Factory Five, No. 12, Pingdong 3rd Road, Naping Keji Industrial Park, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, China 519000
(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: September 17, 2019.
Lisa Barton, Secretary to the Commission.
[PR Doc. 2019–20461 Filed 9–20–19; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Investigation No. 731–TA–991 (Third Review)]

Silicon Metal From Russia; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Full Five-Year Review


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date.

DATES: September 6, 2019.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 6, 2019, the Commission