principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section above.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023–01 and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a relatively small portion of the Straits of Mackinac and related waterway. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60a in Table 3–1 of U.S. Coast Guard Environmental Planning Implementing Procedures 5090.1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protestors. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

§ 165.9079 Temporary Safety Zone; M/V Highland Eagle operating in the Straits of Mackinac, MI.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters within 500 yards of Motor Vessel (M/V) Highland Eagle while conducting geotechnical sampling in the Straits of Mackinac.

(b) Definitions. As used in this section, designated representative means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Sault Sainte Marie (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(c) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this temporary safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Sault Sainte Marie or designated representative.

(2) Before a vessel operator may enter or operate within the safety zone, the operator must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port, Sault Sainte Marie, or designated representative via VHF Channel 16 or telephone at (906) 635–3233. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all orders given to them by the Captain of the Port, Sault Sainte Marie or designated representative.

(d) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced from October 1, 2019 through November 30, 2019.

Dated: September 17, 2019.

P.S. Nelson,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sault Sainte Marie.

[FR Doc. 2019–20400 Filed 9–19–19; 8:45 am]
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Findings of Failure To Submit State Implementation Plans Required for Attainment of the 2010 1-Hour Primary Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to find that two states (Maryland and Michigan) failed to submit State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to satisfy certain nonattainment area planning requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the 2010 1-hour primary Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). The purpose for the development and implementation of nonattainment area SIPs is to provide for attainment of the NAAQS as expeditiously as practicable following the designation of an area as nonattainment. This action establishes certain CAA deadlines for the EPA to impose sanctions if a state does not submit a complete SIP addressing the outstanding requirements and for the EPA to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) to address any outstanding SIP requirements.

DATES: This action is effective on October 21, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: General questions concerning this document should be addressed to Dr. Larry D. Wallace, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Air Quality Policy Division, Mail Code: C539–01, 100 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; by telephone (919) 541–0906; or by email at Wallace.larry@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Notice and Comment Under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA)

Section 553 of the APA, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), provides that, when an
agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedures are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. The EPA has determined that there is good cause for making this final agency action without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because no significant EPA judgment is involved in making findings of failure to submit SIPs, or elements of SIPs, required by the CAA, where states have made no submission to meet the requirement. Thus, notice and public procedures are unnecessary to take this action. The EPA finds that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B).

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2019–0452. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through http://www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the EPA Docket Center, EPA/DC, William Jefferson Clinton Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744 and the telephone number for the Office for Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center is (202) 566–1742.

C. Where do I go if I have a specific state question?

For questions related to specific states mentioned in this document, please contact the appropriate EPA Regional Office:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional offices</th>
<th>States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPA Region 3: Ms. Susan Spielberger, Associate Director, Office of Air Program Planning, EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103–2187</td>
<td>Maryland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Region 5: Mr. Douglas Abarano, Chief, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch, EPA Region V, 77 West Jackson Street, Chicago, IL 60604.</td>
<td>Michigan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. How is the preamble organized?

II. Background

III. Consequences of Findings of Failure To Submit

IV. Findings of Failure To Submit for States That Failed To Make a Nonattainment Area SIP Submittal

V. Environmental Justice Considerations

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review

B. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

C. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

D. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks

E. Executive Order 13101: Governmentwide Appropriate Technology Transfer for Development and Employment

F. Executive Order 13057: Federalism

G. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

H. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

I. Executive Order 13101: Governmentwide Appropriate Technology Transfer for Development and Employment

J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

K. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Promote Consistent Enforcement

L. Executive Order 12998: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low Income Populations

M. Judicial Review

II. Background

In June 2010, the EPA promulgated a new 1-hour primary SO\textsubscript{2} NAAQS of 75 parts per billion (ppb), which is met when the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of daily maximum 1-hour average concentrations does not exceed 75 ppb, as determined in accordance with Appendix T of 40 CFR part 50. See 40 CFR 50.17(a)–(b). On July 12, 2016, the EPA, as part of the second round of area designations for the 2010 SO\textsubscript{2} NAAQS, designated four areas of the country as nonattainment for the 1-hour primary 2010 SO\textsubscript{2} NAAQS. \textsuperscript{1} See 81 FR 45039, codified at 40 CFR part 61, subpart C. These area designations had an effective date of September 12, 2016.

Areas designated as nonattainment for the SO\textsubscript{2} NAAQS are subject to the general nonattainment area planning requirements of CAA section 172 and to the SO\textsubscript{2}–specific planning requirements of subpart 5 of part D of Title I of the CAA (sections 191 and 192). All components of the SO\textsubscript{2} part D nonattainment area SIP, including the emissions inventory, attainment demonstration, reasonably available control measures (RACM) including reasonably available control technology (RACT), enforceable emission limitations and control measures, reasonable further progress (RFP) plan, nonattainment new source review (NNSR), and contingency measures, are due to the EPA within 18 months of the effective date of designation of an area under CAA section 191. Thus, the nonattainment area SIPs for areas designated effective September 12, 2016, were due on March 12, 2018. These SIPs were required to demonstrate that their respective areas will attain the NAAQS as expeditiously as practicable, but no later than 5 years from the effective date of designation, or by September 12, 2021.

III. Consequences of Findings of Failure To Submit

If the EPA finds that a state has failed to make the required SIP submittal or that a submitted SIP is incomplete, then CAA section 179(a) establishes specific consequences, including the imposition of mandatory sanctions for the affected area, after a period of time. Additionally, such a finding also triggers an obligation under CAA section 110(c) for the EPA to promulgate a FIP no later than 2 years after the finding of failure to submit if the affected state has not submitted, and EPA has not approved, the required SIP submittal.

If the EPA has not affirmatively determined that a state has made the required complete SIP submittal for an area within 18 months of the effective date of this rulemaking, then, pursuant to CAA section 179(a) and (b) and 40 CFR 52.31, the offset sanction identified in CAA section 179(b)(2) will apply in the affected nonattainment area. If the EPA has not affirmatively determined that the state has made a complete submission within 6 months after the offset sanction is imposed, then the highway funding sanction will apply in the affected nonattainment area, in accordance with CAA section 179(b)(1) and 40 CFR 52.31. The sanctions will not take effect if, within 18 months after the date of these findings, the EPA affirmatively determines that the affected state has made a complete SIP submittal addressing the deficiency for which the finding was made. Additionally, if the state makes the

\textsuperscript{1} The EPA completed its first round of initial area designations for the 2010 1-hour primary SO\textsubscript{2} NAAQS on August 5, 2013, with an effective date of October 4, 2013. Under a court order issued on March 2, 2015, the EPA is required to complete designations related to the remaining undesignated areas of the country by no later than December 31, 2020. The findings in this document apply only to those areas that were designated on July 12, 2016, and where, as of signature of this action, the affected states failed to submit required complete plans.
required SIP submittal and the EPA takes final action to approve the submittal within 2 years of the effective date of these findings, the EPA is not required to promulgate a FIP for the affected nonattainment area.

IV. Findings of Failure To Submit for States That Failed To Make a Nonattainment Area SIP Submittal

As of the date of signature of this action, the two states listed in Table 1 failed to make complete SIP submittals required under part D of Title 1 of the CAA by March 12, 2018, for two areas designated nonattainment effective September 12, 2016. The EPA is, therefore, issuing findings of failure to submit for the two states responsible for these areas: Anne Arundel County and Baltimore County, Maryland, and St. Clair, Michigan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional office</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Nonattainment Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region III</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Anne Arundel County and Baltimore County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region V</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>St. Clair.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Environmental Justice Considerations

The EPA believes that the human health or environmental risks addressed by this action will not have disproportionately high or adverse human health or environmental effects on minority, low-income, or indigenous populations because it does not affect the level of protection provided to human health or the environment under the SO2 NAAQS. The purpose of this rule is to make findings that the states named in this final action failed to submit the required SIPs to provide for timely attainment of the 1-hour primary SO2 NAAQS. This rule will result in certain CAA-required deadlines for actions to provide for such attainment. In finding that certain states failed to submit a complete SIP that satisfies the nonattainment area plan requirements under section 172 and subpart 5 of part D of Title I of the CAA (sections 191 and 192) for the 1-hour primary SO2 NAAQS, this action does not adversely affect the level of protection provided for human health or the environment. Rather, it is intended that the actions and deadlines resulting from this notice will in fact lead to greater protection for United States citizens, including minority, low-income, or indigenous populations, by ensuring that states meet their statutory obligation to develop and submit SIPs to ensure that areas make progress toward attaining the 1-hour primary SO2 NAAQS.

2 The EPA is not including in this action findings of failure to submit for the two other areas designated nonattainment effective September 12, 2016: Alton Township, Illinois, and Williamson County, Illinois. With respect to the first area, the state of Illinois submitted a SIP for the Alton Township, Illinois, nonattainment area on December 3, 2018, which was deemed complete on June 5, 2019. See letter from Edward Nam, Director, Air and Radiation Division, to Mr. John Kim, Director, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (June 5, 2019) (included in the rulemaking docket for this final action). With respect to the second area, on September 9, 2019, the EPA Administrator signed a notice of final action titled, “Reconsideration of the Area Designation for the 2010 1-Hour Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Williamson County, Illinois; Final Rule.” This final action changes the initial designation of Williamson County, Illinois, from nonattainment to attainment/unclassifiable, and will be codified at 40 CFR 81.314. The EPA will promptly transmit this signed final action to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. An unofficial copy of the pre-publication signed final action is available at https://www.epa.gov/sulfur-dioxide-designations/reconsideration-nonattainment-designation-williamson-co-illinois. Only the version of the signed final action published in the Federal Register will be the official version, and it will identify the effective date of the change in designation of Williamson County, Illinois.
under section 172 and subpart 5 of part D of Title I of the CAA (sections 191 and 192) for the SO₂ NAAQS. No tribe is subject to the requirement to submit an implementation plan under section 172 or under subpart 5 of part D of Title I of the CAA. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this action.

H. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks

The EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern health or safety risks that the EPA has reason to believe may disproportionately affect children, per the definition of “covered regulatory action” in section 2–202 of the Executive Order. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is a finding that certain states have failed to submit a complete SIP that satisfies the nonattainment area plan requirements under section 172 and subpart 5 of part D of Title I of the CAA and does not directly or disproportionately affect children.

I. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA)

This rulemaking does not involve technical standards.

K. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations

The EPA believes the human health or environmental risk addressed by this action will not have potential disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority, low-income, or indigenous populations. In finding that certain states have failed to submit a complete SIP that satisfies the nonattainment area planning requirements under section 172 and subpart 5 of part D of Title I of the CAA, this action does not adversely affect the level of protection provided to human health or the environment.

L. Congressional Review Act (CRA)

This action is subject to the CRA, and the EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

M. Judicial Review

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA indicates which federal Courts of Appeal have venue for petitions of review of final agency actions by the EPA under the CAA. This section provides, in part, that petitions for review must be filed in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (i) when the agency action consists of “nationally applicable regulations promulgated, or final actions taken, by the Administrator,” or (ii) when such action is locally or regionally applicable, if “such action is based on a determination of nationwide scope or effect and if in taking such action the Administrator finds and publishes that such action is based on such a determination.”

The EPA has determined that this final rule consisting of findings of failure to submit certain required SIP provisions for two nonattainment areas for the 2010 primary 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS is “nationally applicable” and that it is “of nationwide scope and effect” within the meaning of CAA section 307(b)(1). This final agency action affects two nonattainment areas that are located in two states, residing in two of the ten EPA Regional Offices and covered by two different federal judicial circuits. In addition, the rule addresses a common core of knowledge and analysis involved in formulating the decision and a common interpretation of the requirements of 40 CFR 51 appendix V applied to determining the completeness of SIPs in states across the country.

This determination is appropriate because in the 1977 CAA Amendments that revised CAA section 307(b)(1), Congress noted that the Administrator’s determination that an action is of “nationwide scope or effect” would be appropriate for any action that has “scope or effect beyond a single judicial circuit.” H.R. Rep. No. 95–294 at 323–324, reprinted in 1977 U.S.C.C.A.N. 1402–03. Here, the scope and effect of this action extends to the two judicial circuits that include the two states affected by this action. In these circumstances, CAA section 307(b)(1) and its legislative history authorize the Administrator to find the rule to be of “nationwide scope or effect” and thus to indicate that venue for challenges lies in the D.C. Circuit. Accordingly, the EPA is determining that this is a rule of nationwide scope or effect. Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days from the date this final action is published in the Federal Register. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final action does not affect the finality of the action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review must be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Thus, any petitions for review of this action must be filed in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days from the date this final action is published in the Federal Register.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedures, Air pollution control, Approval and promulgation of implementation plans, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, and Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Anne L. Idsal,
Acting Assistant Administrator.
[FR Doc. 2019–19992 Filed 9–19–19; 8:45 am]
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Air Plan Approval; California; South Coast Air Quality Management District; Stationary Source Permits

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking direct final action to approve a revision to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD or “the District”) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). We are finalizing approval of a revision governing issuance of permits for stationary sources, including review and permitting of major sources and major modifications under part D of title I of the Clean Air Act (CAA or “the Act”). Specifically, the revision pertains to SCAQMD Rule 1325 “Federal PM₂.₅ New Source Review Program.”

DATES: This rule is effective on November 19, 2019 without further notice, unless the EPA receives one or more adverse comments by October 21, 2019. If we receive such comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register to notify the public.