

including the results of our analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless otherwise extended.¹⁷

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: September 6, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of Methodology
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-19790 Filed 9-11-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-854]

Certain Steel Nails From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd. (LC); PT Enterprise, Inc. (PT Enterprise) and its affiliated producer Pro-Team Coil Nail Enterprise, Inc. (Pro-Team) (collectively, PT) and Unicatch Industrial Co. Ltd. (Unicatch) made U.S. sales of subject merchandise below normal value during the period of

review (POR) July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 12, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Irene Gorelik, Suzanne Lam, or Joseph Dowling, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6905, (202) 482-0783, or (202) 482-6905, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from Taiwan. On September 10, 2018, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review on certain steel nails from Taiwan.¹ This review covers PT^{2,3} and Unicatch, and two additional companies not selected for individual examination. Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the partial federal government closure from December 22, 2018 through the resumption of operations on January 29, 2019.⁴ The tolled deadline for the preliminary results of this review fell on May 13, 2019. On March 4, 2018, we extended the deadline for the

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 45596 (September 10, 2018).

² Commerce has preliminarily determined that LC and Integral Building Products Inc. (Integral) are affiliated pursuant to section 771(33) of the Act and, further, that these companies comprise a single entity pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f). For a complete discussion of this determination, see Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan; 2017-2018," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

³ Commerce determined that Pro-Team and PT Enterprise comprise a single entity in a prior segment of the proceeding, and we find no new information in this segment that contradicts that finding. See *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 FR 36744 (August 7, 2017), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 83 FR 6163 (February 13, 2018). Accordingly, we have preliminarily continued to treat PT Enterprise and Pro-Team as a single entity.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated January 28, 2019. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 40 days.

preliminary results to September 6, 2019.⁵ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶

Scope of the Order ⁷

The merchandise covered by this order is certain steel nails. The certain steel nails subject to the order are currently classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 7317.00.55.02, 7317.00.55.03, 7317.00.55.05, 7317.00.55.07, 7317.00.55.08, 7317.00.55.11, 7317.00.55.18, 7317.00.55.19, 7317.00.55.20, 7317.00.55.30, 7317.00.55.40, 7317.00.55.50, 7317.00.55.60, 7317.00.55.70, 7317.00.55.80, 7317.00.55.90, 7317.00.65.30, 7317.00.65.60 and 7317.00.75.00. Certain steel nails subject to this order also may be classified under HTSUS subheadings 7907.00.60.00, 8206.00.00.00 or other HTSUS subheadings. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description, available in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, remains dispositive.

Methodology

Commerce has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. Export price or constructed export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B-8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the

⁵ See Memorandum, "Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated March 4, 2019.

⁶ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁷ See *Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Sultanate of Oman, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 80 FR 39994 (July 13, 2015) (Order).

¹⁷ See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Liang Chyuan Industrial Co., Ltd./Integral Building Products Inc	2.68
PT Enterprise, Inc./Pro-Team Coil Nail Enterprise, Inc	7.23
Unicatch Industrial Co. Ltd	28.36

Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies⁸

Hor Liang Industrial Corp	13.39
Romp Coil Nail Industries Inc	13.39

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations used in its analysis to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice.⁹ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the publication of these preliminary results, and rebuttal comments within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs, unless Commerce extends those deadlines.¹⁰ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹¹ Rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs.¹²

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number;

(2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined.¹³ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹⁴ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., is 0.50 percent or more) in the final results of this review, Commerce will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹⁵ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to

liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁶ The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the *ad valorem* rate is *de minimis*, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for other manufacturers and exporters covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 2.16 percent, the all-others rate in the LTFV investigation.¹⁷ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could

¹⁶ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁷ See *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 80 FR 28959 (May 20, 2015); see also *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Determination in Less Than Fair Value Investigation and Notice of Amended Final Determination*, 82 FR 55090 (November 20, 2017), wherein the All-Others rate was revised pursuant to litigation.

⁸ This rate is based on the weighted-average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review. See Memorandum, "Calculation of the Review-Specific Weighted-Average Rate for the Preliminary Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c) and (d).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

¹⁵ In these preliminary results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 5, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Affiliation/Single Entity
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-19789 Filed 9-11-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-878]

Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminary determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable September 12, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lingjun Wang or Elfi Blum-Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2316 or (202) 482-0197, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 25, 2016, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** an

antidumping duty order on corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea).¹ On July 3, 2018, we published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order*.² On September 10, 2018, we initiated this review with respect to 16 producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise based on timely filed requests.³ Commerce selected Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (Dongkuk), and Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai) for individual examinations. For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a

¹ See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determination for India and Taiwan, and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 48390 (July 25, 2016); and *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Notice of Correction to the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 58475 (August 25, 2016) (*Order*).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 31121 (July 3, 2018).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 45596 (September 10, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*); see also Dongbu Steel, Co., Ltd.'s Letter, "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Korea, Case No. A-580-878: Request for Administrative Review," dated July 26, 2018; Dongbu Incheon Steel Co., Ltd.'s Letter, "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Korea, Case No. A-580-878: Request for Administrative Review," dated July 27, 2018; Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.'s Letter, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Request for Administrative Review for the Period July 1, 2017–June 30, 2018," dated July 30, 2018; Hyundai Steel Company's Letter, "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea: Administrative Review Request," dated July 31, 2018; and ArcelorMittal USA LLC, AK Steel Corporation, California Steel Industries, Inc., Steel Dynamics Inc., Nucor Corporation, and United States Steel Corporation's (collectively, petitioners) Letter, "Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Petitioners' Request for Administrative Review," dated July 31, 2018.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea, 2017–2018," dated September 6, 2019 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

On January 28, 2019, Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the partial federal government closure from December 22, 2018 through the resumption of operations on January 29, 2019.⁵ On April 30, 2019, Commerce postponed the preliminary results of this review until September 6, 2019.⁶

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain flat-rolled steel products, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished, laminated, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value (NV) is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Samsung C&T Corporation (Samsung C&T), Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung) and Hyosung TNC each timely submitted certifications that they made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁷

⁵ See Memorandum to the Record from Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated January 28, 2019. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 40 days.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review," dated April 30, 2019.

⁷ See Samsung C&T's Letter, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea: Notice of No Sales," dated October 2, 2018; see also Hyosung TNC's Letter, "Certain Corrosion-Resistant