

Delaware SIP to add trans-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234ze); HFE-134 (HCF₂OCF₂H); HFE-236cal2 (HCF₂OCF₂OCF₂H); HFE-338pcc13 (HCF₂OCF₂CF₂OCF₂H); H-Galden 1040X or H-Galden ZT 130 or (150 or 180) (HCF₂OCF₂OCF₂CF₂OCF₂H); trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-ene; 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene; and 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol to the list of compounds excluded from the regulatory definition of VOC. The revision also updates the regulatory definition of VOC in the Delaware SIP to remove the recordkeeping, emissions reporting, photochemical dispersion modeling, and inventory requirements for TBAC. EPA is proposing to approve Delaware's SIP revision, which was submitted on March 25, 2019, because the stated revisions to the definition of VOC meet the requirements of CAA section 110. EPA is soliciting public comments on the issues discussed in this rulemaking action. These comments will be considered before taking final action.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, EPA is proposing to include in a final EPA rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is proposing to incorporate by reference the updated definition of VOC in 7 DE Admin. Code 1101—*Definitions and Administrative Principles*, which adds trans-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234ze); HFE-134 (HCF₂OCF₂H); HFE-236cal2 (HCF₂OCF₂OCF₂H); HFE-338pcc13 (HCF₂OCF₂CF₂OCF₂H); H-Galden 1040X or H-Galden ZT 130 or (150 or 180) (HCF₂OCF₂OCF₂CF₂OCF₂H); trans 1-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-ene; 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene; and 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol to the excluded compounds list and removes the recordkeeping, emissions reporting, photochemical dispersion modeling, and inventory requirements for TBAC in the regulatory definition of VOC in the Delaware SIP. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through <https://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region III Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a).

Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866.
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed rule, amending the definition of VOC in the Delaware SIP to conform with the regulatory definition of VOC in 40 CFR 51.100(s), does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: August 20, 2019.

Cosmo Servidio,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 2019-18828 Filed 8-30-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 62

[Docket ID FEMA-2017-0025]

RIN 1660-AA90

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Revisions to Methodology for Payments To Write Your Own (WYO) Companies; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the preamble to an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) which FEMA published on July 8, 2019, seeking comment regarding possible approaches to incorporating actual flood insurance expense data into the payment methodology that FEMA uses to determine the amount of payments to WYO companies.

DATES: This correction is effective September 3, 2019. The closing of the comment period for the ANPRM published July 8, 2019, at 84 FR 32371, remains September 6, 2019.

ADDRESSES: For information on submitting comments, see the July 8, 2019, ANPRM at 84 FR 32371.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In ANPRM document 2019-14343 appearing on pages 32371 through 32379 in the issue of Monday, July 8, 2019, make the following corrections:

1. On page 32373, in the second column, in the first paragraph, the phrase "or (3) a combination of previous two methods." is corrected to read "or (3) a combination of the previous two methods."

2. On page 32373, in "Figure 1. Diagram of Current WYO Compensation

Methodology”, “H. ULAE, 0.9% Written Premium + 1.5% Incurred Loss (Art. III.C.1)” is corrected to read “G. ULAE, 0.9% Written Premium + 1.5% Incurred Loss (Art. III.C.1)”.

3. On page 32373, in “Figure 1. Diagram of Current WYO Compensation Methodology”, “I. ALAE Fee Schedule (Art. III.C.2)” is corrected to read “H. ALAE Fee Schedule (Art. III.C.2)”.

4. On page 32373, in “Figure 1. Diagram of Current WYO Compensation Methodology”, “J. SALAE, Reimbursement for Actual Costs (Art. III.C.3)” is corrected to read “I. SALAE, Reimbursement for Actual Costs (Art. III.C.3)”.

5. On page 32374, in the second column, in the third full paragraph, the phrase “From 2009 to 2017,” is corrected to read “From 2009 to 2019,”.

6. On page 32374, in the third column, in the last paragraph, the phrase “ULAE (H in Figure 1)” is corrected to read “ULAE (G in Figure 1)”.

7. On page 32375, in the first column, in the first full paragraph, the phrase “ALAE (I in Figure 1)” is corrected to read “ALAE (H in Figure 1)”.

8. On page 32375, in the first column, in the last full paragraph, the sentence “SALAE include specialized claims handling expenses attributable to a specific claim, such as for legal, surveying, or engineering support.” is corrected to read “SALAE (I in Figure 1) include specialized claims handling expenses attributable to a specific claim, such as for legal, surveying, or engineering support.”.

9. On page 32376, in the third column, in the first full paragraph, the phrase “between 2009 and 2013,” is corrected to read “between 2013 and 2017,”.

Pete Gaynor,

Acting Administrator, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2019-18982 Filed 8-30-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-52-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

46 CFR Parts 502 and 515

[Docket No. 19-04]

RIN 3072-AC75

Hearing Procedures Governing the Denial, Revocation, or Suspension of an OTI License

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) is seeking

public comments on proposed modifications to the hearing procedures governing the denial, revocation, or suspension of an ocean transportation intermediary (OTI) license. The revised hearing procedures are intended to align more with other Commission hearing procedures, ensure a more streamlined process and fulfill the need for more detailed procedural requirements.

DATES: Submit comments on or before October 3, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the Docket No. 19-04, by the following methods:

- *Email:* secretary@fmc.gov. For comments, include in the subject line: “Docket No. 19-04, Comments on Hearing procedures governing the denial, revocation, or suspension of an OTI license” Comments should be attached to the email as a Microsoft Word or text-searchable PDF document. Only non-confidential and public versions of confidential comments should be submitted by email.

- *Mail:* Rachel E. Dickon, Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20573-0001.

Instructions: For detailed instructions on submitting comments, including requesting confidential treatment of comments, and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the Public Participation heading of the Supplementary Information section of this document. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to the Commission’s website, unless the commenter has requested confidential treatment.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to the Commission’s Electronic Reading Room at: <https://www2.fmc.gov/readingroom/proceeding/19-04/>, or to the Docket Activity Library at 800 North Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20573, between 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Telephone: (202) 523-5725.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel E. Dickon, Secretary; Phone: (202) 523-5725; Email: secretary@fmc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The Federal Maritime Commission has issued this document to obtain public comments on possible modifications to its processes for the denial, suspension, and revocation of OTI licenses. In 2015, the FMC published a final rule significantly amending its regulations governing

OTIs.¹ Among the revisions in this final rule were changes to the process for denying or revoking an OTI license. At the time, the Commission was primarily concerned with the time and expense that revocations and denials consumed, and the revisions were intended to streamline the process. The revised process, however, has proved to be imprecise in certain respects and has not led to the reduction in time and expense that was anticipated.

The Commission is now considering revising the denial, suspension, and revocation procedures and is seeking public comment. Specifically, the Commission is considering a new hearing procedure based on the procedure for formal small Shipping Act claims under 46 CFR part 502, subpart T. The new hearing procedure would be overseen by an administrative law judge and would represent the type of expedient, low-burden process sought in the previous rulemaking while fulfilling the need for more detailed procedural requirements. We are seeking comment on the proposed new hearing procedure and how this procedure would affect OTIs.

II. Background

The Shipping Act requires anyone desiring to operate as an OTI to obtain a license from the Commission.² The Act provides that “[t]he Commission shall issue a license to a person that the Commission determines to be qualified by experience and character to act as an ocean transportation intermediary.”³ The Commission has delegated the authority to approve or disapprove applications for OTI licenses to the Bureau of Certification and Licensing (BCL).⁴

A. Current Procedure

The current practice for OTI license denials, suspension, and revocations is as follows. Once BCL decides to deny, suspend, or revoke a license, a notice to that effect is sent to the applicant or licensee. This document provides in detail a statement of the facts supporting the action. The applicant or licensee then has 20 days to request a hearing by submitting a statement of reasons why their application should not be denied, or their license should not be suspended or revoked.⁵

¹ Final rule: Ocean Transportation Intermediary Licensing and Financial Responsibility Requirements, and General Duties; 80 FR 68722 (Nov. 5, 2015).

² 46 U.S.C. 40901.

³ *Id.* at section 40901(a).

⁴ 46 CFR 501.26(a)(1).

⁵ 46 CFR 515.15 and 515.16.