DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of Industry and Security

Agenda
Public Session
1. Opening remarks by the Chairman
2. Opening remarks by the Bureau of Industry and Security
3. Presentation of papers or comments by the Public
4. Export Administration update
5. Regulations update
6. Working group reports
7. Automated Export System update

Closed Session
8. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

The open session will be accessible via teleconference to 25 participants on a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Sheleen Dumas, Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department. [FR Doc. 2019–18228 Filed 8–22–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–06–P
rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to all parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 19, 2019.

James Maeder,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2019–18190 Filed 8–22–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[AG–580–893]

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber (PSF) from the Republic of Korea

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is initiating a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on fine denier polyester staple fiber (PSF) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) and simultaneously issuing preliminary results finding Toray Advanced Materials Korea, Inc. (TAK) to be the successor-in-interest to Toray Chemical Korea, Inc. (TCK).


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 20, 2018, Commerce published the AD Order on PSF from the Republic of Korea in the Federal Register. TCK was excluded from the AD Order. On May 23, 2019, Commerce received a request on behalf of TAK for an expedited CCR to establish TAK as the successor-in-interest to TCK with respect to the AD Order. On June 17, 2019, Commerce informed TAK that it required additional information in order to determine whether to initiate the requested CCR. On June 21, 2019, TAK provided the requested information.

Scope of the AD Order

The merchandise covered by the order is fine denier polyester staple fiber (fine denier PSF), not carded or combed, measuring less than 3.3 decitex (3 denier) in diameter. The scope covers all fine denier PSF, whether coated or uncoated. Fine denier PSF is classifiable under the HTSUS subheading 5503.20.0025. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

See Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from the People’s Republic of China, India, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Antidumping Duty Orders, 83 FR 34545 (July 20, 2018) (AD Order).

Id.


For a complete description of the scope of the AD Order, see Memorandum, “Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber (PSF) from the Republic of Korea,” dated concurrently, which is subject to sanction.


Initiation

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216(d), Commerce will conduct a CCR upon receipt of information or a review request showing changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of an order. Among other things, Commerce has conducted CCRs to consider the applicability of cash deposit rates after there have been changes in the name or structure of a company, such as a merger or spinoff (“successor-in-interest,” or “successorship,” determinations). However, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.216(c), Commerce will not conduct a CCR in a proceeding within 24 months of publication of the notice of final determination in that proceeding without good cause.

The final determination that led to the AD Order was published on May 30, 2018. Thus, the CCR request under consideration was filed less than 24 months after the date of publication of the notice of final determination in the PSF investigation. However, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.216(c), we find that good cause exists to initiate this CCR on the grounds of fairness and ease of administration. Commerce has found good cause on the basis of fairness and ease of administration in other CCRs involving “successor-in-interest.”

Moreover, we find the information provided is sufficient to warrant a CCR of the AD Order. Specifically, the information TAK provided regarding TCK’s merger into TAK and the fact that TCK was excluded from the AD Order, but TAK is subject to the all-others duty, demonstrates changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a CCR with respect to the order.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR