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for the Docket Center is 202–566–1744. For additional information about EPA’s public docket, visit: [http://www.epa.gov/dockets](http://www.epa.gov/dockets).

Abstract: The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Mineral Wool Production were proposed on May 8, 1997, promulgated on June 1, 1999, and amended on July 29, 2015. These regulations apply to both new and existing mineral wool production facilities with cupolas and/or curing ovens. These standards apply to owners or operators located at a plant site that is a major source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions. This signifies that the plant has the potential to emit any single HAP at a rate of 9.07 megagrams (10 tons) or more per year or any combination of HAPs at a rate of 22.68 megagrams (25 tons) or more per year. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction after the date of proposal. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 63, subpart DDD.

In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notification reports, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to NESHAP.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities: Mineral wool production facilities.

Respondent’s obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR part 63, subpart DDD).

Estimated number of respondents: 8 (total).

Frequency of response: Initially, semiannually.

Total estimated burden: 2,130 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total estimated cost: $308,000 (per year), which includes $6,000 in annualized capital/startup and/or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is no change in the burden in this ICR compared to the previous ICR, however, there is an adjustment increase in the labor costs in this ICR compared to the previous adjustment is due to a labor rate change in the calculation of labor costs. There are no changes to the capital and operation and maintenance costs.

Courtney Kerwin,
Director, Regulator Support Division.
[FR Doc. 2019–16228 Filed 7–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is requesting comment on proposed determinations of alternative light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emissions standards for small volume manufacturers. The alternative standards are proposed pursuant to small volume manufacturer provisions in EPA’s light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas regulations. Four small volume manufacturers have applied for alternative standards: Aston Martin, Ferrari, Lotus and McLaren. The alternative standards in these determinations cover model years 2017–2021.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 30, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2019–0210, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: [https://www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) (our preferred method), Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- Email: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov.
- Fax: (202) 566–9744 Include Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2019–0210 on the cover of the fax.
- Hand Delivery/Courier: EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center’s hours of operations are 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m., Monday–Friday (except Federal Holidays).

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received may be posted without change to [https://www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Public Participation” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Lieske, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Assessment and Standards Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Telephone: (734) 214–4584. Fax: (734) 214–4816. Email address: lieske.christopher@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Participation

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2019–0210, at [https://www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) (our preferred method), or the other methods identified in the ADDRESSES section. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from the docket. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit [https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets](https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets).

II. Background

EPA’s light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas (GHG) program for model years (MYs) 2012–2016 provided a conditional exemption for small volume manufacturers (SVMs) with annual U.S. sales of less than 5,000 vehicles due to unique feasibility issues faced by these SVMs. The exemption was conditioned on the manufacturer making a good faith effort to obtain credits from larger