the SVM compliance is predicated on the use of those credit provisions, SVMs would have the option of applying for a further revised alternative standard for MY 2021.

Dated: July 24, 2019.

Andrew R. Wheeler,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2019–16319 Filed 7–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLY HUDSON
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval: Comment Request; NESHAP for Halogenated Solvent Cleaners / Halogenated Hazardous Air Pollutants (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency has submitted an information collection request (ICR), NESHAP for Halogenated Solvent Cleaners / Halogenated Hazardous Air Pollutants (EPA ICR Number 1652.10, OMB Control Number 2060–0273), to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through September 30, 2019. Public comments were previously requested, via the Federal Register, on May 30, 2018 during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A fuller description of the ICR is given in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202–566–1744. For additional information about EPA’s public docket, visit: http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

Abstract: The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Halogenated Solvent Cleaners/Halogenated Hazardous Air Pollutants were proposed on November 29, 1993, and promulgated on December 2, 1994. The NESHAP was amended on the following dates: June 5, 1995; December 11, 1998; July 13, 1999; August 19, 1999; and May 3, 2007. These regulations apply to each cleaning operation and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all facilities. These regulations require initial notification reports, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all facilities subject to NESHAP.

Changes in the Estimates: The decrease in burden from the most recently-approved ICR is due to an adjustment. The adjustment decrease in burden is due to more accurate estimates of existing and anticipated new sources. The estimates in this ICR reflect a decrease in the universe of respondents that is the result of changes within the industry to use alternative solvents and solvent machines that do not contain the HAP subject to the NESHAP. These estimates also more accurately reflect the number of respondents identified in EPA’s ECHO database. The decrease in the number of respondents also results in a decrease in the operation and maintenance costs. There are no changes to the capital and startup costs.

Courtney Kerwin,
Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2019–16225 Filed 7–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLY HUDSON
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060–1022]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) invites the general public...
and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collections. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. 

OMB Control Number: 3060–1022. Title: Sections 101.1403, 101.103(f), 101.1413, 101.1440, 101.1417 and 25.139 (MVDDS reporting, recordkeeping and third-party disclosures; NGSO FSS and DBS recordkeeping and third-party disclosures). Form Number: N/A. Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection. Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities. Number of Respondents: 18. Estimated Time per Response: 0.25 hour–40 hours. Frequency of Response: Annual and on occasion reporting requirements; 5- and 10-years reporting requirements; third party disclosure requirement; recordkeeping requirement. Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 157(a), 301, 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r), 308, and 309(j).

Total Annual Burden: 5,316 hours. Total Annual Cost: No cost. Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information. Needs and Uses: The collection is being revised because, the Commission consolidated the information collection requirements currently contained in collection 3060–1021 (§ 25.139) into 3060–1022; therefore, OMB Control Number 3060–1021 will be discontinued once the consolidation is approved by OMB. The Commission is also revising estimates based on updated licensing activity with no programmatic changes. This collection includes a Part 25 rule and various rules in Part 101 that govern record retention, reporting, and third-party disclosure requirements related to satellite and terrestrial sharing of the 12.2–12.7 GHz band. The satellite operators are Non-Geostationary Orbit Fixed Satellite Service (NGSO FSS) and Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) Service. The terrestrial operators are Multichannel Video Distribution and Data Service (MVDDS). The following information collected will assist the Commission in analyzing trends and competition in the marketplace. Section 25.139 requires NGSO FSS licensees to maintain a subscriber database in a format that can be readily shared to enable MVDDS licensees to determine whether a proposed MVDDS transmitting antenna meets the minimum spacing requirement relative to qualifying, existing NGSO FSS subscriber receivers (set forth in § 101.129, FCC Rules). Section 101.1403 requires certain MVDDS licensees that meet the statutory definition of Multichannel Video Programming Distributor (MVPD) to comply with the broadcast carriage requirements located 47 U.S.C. 325(b)(1). Any MVDDS licensee that is an MVPD must obtain the prior express authority of a broadcast station before retransmitting that station’s signal, subject to the exceptions contained in § 325(b)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934. Section 101.103(f) requires MVDDS licensees to provide notice of intent to construct a proposed antenna to NGSO FSS licensees operating in the 12.2–12.7 GHz frequency band and to establish and maintain an internet website of all existing transmitting sites and transmitting antenna that are scheduled for operation within one year including the “in service” dates. Section 101.1413, as a construction requirement, requires MVDDS licensees to file a showing of substantial service at five and ten years into the initial license term. Substantial service is defined as a “service that is sound, favorable, and substantially above a level of mediocre service which might minimally warrant renewal.” The Commission set forth a safe harbor to serve as a guide to licensees in satisfying the substantial service requirement, as well as additional factors that it would take into consideration in determining whether a licensee satisfies the substantial service standard. Section 101.1440 requires MVDDS licensees to collect information and disclose information to third parties. Therefore, the reporting and disclosure requirements are as follows: Section 101.1440 requires MVDDS licensees to conduct a survey of the area around its proposed transmitting antenna site to determine the location of all DBS customers of record that may potentially be affected by the introduction of its MVDDS service. At least 90 days prior to the planned date of MVDDS commencement of operations, the MVDDS licensee must then provide specific information to the DBS licensee(a). Alternatively, MVDDS licensees may obtain a signed, written agreement from DBS customers of record stating that they are aware of and agree to their DBS system receiving MVDDS signal levels in excess of the appropriate Equivalent Power Flux Density (EPFD) limits. The DBS licensee must then, before providing the MVDDS licensee with a list of only those new DBS customer locations that have been
installed in the 30-day period following the MVDDS notification that the DBS licensee believes may receive harmful interference or where the prescribed EPFD limits may be exceeded. If the MVDDS licensee determines that its signal level will exceed the EPFD limit at any DBS customer site, it shall take whatever steps are necessary, up to and including finding a new transmitter site. Section 101.1417 requires MVDDS licensees to file an annual report. The MVDDS licensees must file with the Commission two copies of a “licensee information report” by March 1st of each year for the preceding calendar year. This “licensee information report” must include name and address of licensee; station(s) call letters and primary geographic service area(s); and statistical data for the licensee’s station.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,
Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–16207 Filed 7–30–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060–1148]

Information Collection Requirement Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection.

Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before September 30, 2019. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email PRA@fcc.gov and to Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov. Include in the comments the Title as shown in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–1148.

Title: Section 79.3, Video Description of Video Programming.

Form Number: Not Applicable.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities. Not for profit entities and Individual or households.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 50 respondents, 54 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 1–5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 115 hours.

Total Annual Costs: $22,140.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 154(l), 303 and 613.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: On March 3, 2011, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), FCC 11–36, in the Communications and Video Accessibility Act (CVAA) Video Description proceeding, MB Docket No. 11–43. The NPRM proposed to reinstate the Commission’s video description rules adopted in 2000. On April 22, 2011, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pre-approved the information collection requirements contained in the proposed rules. On August 25, 2011, the Commission released a Report and Order, FCC 11–126, in the CVAA Video Description proceeding, MB Docket No. 11–43. The Reported and Order adopted the proposed information collection requirements without change. The final rules were codified at 47 CFR 79.3. On September 8, 2011, OMB issued its final approval for the information collection requirements. As discussed below, the information collection requirements include (1) video programming provider petitions for exemption based on “economic burden” and (2) non-form consumer complaints alleging violations of the video description rules. On June 25, 2012, the Commission received OMB approval for the removal of a portion of the burden hours and costs that were approved under 3060–1148 and placed into collection 3060–0874 (relating to the FCC Form 2000). This modification was due to the filing of complaints alleging violations of the video description rules now being filed via FCC Form 2000C.

Video description is the insertion of audio narrated descriptions of a television program’s key visual elements into natural pauses in the program’s dialogue, makes video programming more accessible to individuals who are blind or visually impaired. In 2000, the Commission adopted rules requiring certain broadcasters and MVPDs to carry programming with video description. The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the rules due to insufficient authority soon after their initial adoption. As directed by the CVAA, the Commission’s Report and Order reinstated the video description rules, with certain modifications, effective October 8, 2011. The reinstated rules require large-market broadcast affiliates of the top four national networks and multichannel video programming distributor (“MVPD”) systems with more than 50,000 subscribers to provide video description.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,
Secretary, Office of the Secretary.
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BILLING CODE 6712–01–P