II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:
• Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the agency’s functions, including whether the information is useful;
• The accuracy of OSHA’s estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
• The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
• Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Walking and Working Surfaces Standard for General Industry (29 CFR part 1910, subpart D). OSHA is requesting an adjustment decrease in the burden hours from 498,803 hours to 498,640 hours, a difference of 163 hours. The decrease is due to the change in the methodology of the calculations. The agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice and will include this summary in the request to OMB.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.


OMB Control Number: 1218–0199.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profits; Federal Government; State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 487,500.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Average Time per Response: Various.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 498,640 hours.

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): $54,697,500.

IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows: (1) Electronically at http://www.regulations.gov, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (fax); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA–2013–0002). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled ADDRESSES). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–2350, (TTY (877) 889–5627).

Comments and submissions are posted without change at http://www.regulations.gov. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and dates of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through this website. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the http://www.regulations.gov website to submit comments and access the docket is available at the website’s “User Tips” link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the website, and for assistance in using the internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

Loren Sweatt, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 et seq.) and Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 1–2012 (77 FR 3912).

Signed at Washington, DC, on July 19, 2019.

Loren Sweatt,
Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[F] [FR Doc. 2019–15813 Filed 7–24–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Institute of Museum and Library Services

Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request, Proposed Collection: Museums for Digital Learning Program Evaluation

AGENCY: Institute of Museum and Library Services, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The Institute of Museum and Library Services announces the following information collection has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. By this notice, IMLS is soliciting comments concerning the forms and instructions for the program evaluation for the Museums for Digital Learning (MDL) Project for the next three years.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

DATES: Comments must be submitted to the office listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section below on or before August 25, 2019.

OMB is particularly interested in comments that help the agency to:
• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; e.g.,
• Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; e.g.,
• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Institute of Museum and Library Services is the primary source of federal support for the nation’s libraries and museums. We advance, support, and empower America’s museums, libraries, and related organizations through grant making, research, and policy development. Our vision is a nation where museums and libraries work together to transform the lives of individuals and communities. To learn more, visit www.imls.gov.

Current Actions: The Museums for Digital Learning (MDL) is a project funded by the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) that seeks to identify and test new ways that digitized museum collections can be made available in the form of engaging digital educational resources via a pilot digital platform to educators around the country seeking to engage their students with all subjects. This two-year project is being led by the Indianapolis Museum of Art at Newfields in collaboration with two museum content partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators. Once the pilot suite of online products has been created by the project team, they will be tested in the classrooms of the ten educational partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators. Once the pilot suite of online products has been created by the project team, they will be tested in the classrooms of the ten educational partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators. Once the pilot suite of online products has been created by the project team, they will be tested in the classrooms of the ten educational partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators. Once the pilot suite of online products has been created by the project team, they will be tested in the classrooms of the ten educational partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators. Once the pilot suite of online products has been created by the project team, they will be tested in the classrooms of the ten educational partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators. Once the pilot suite of online products has been created by the project team, they will be tested in the classrooms of the ten educational partners—The Field Museum and History Colorado and a team of K–12 educators.

Title: Museums for Digital Learning Project Evaluation.

OMB Number: 3137–TBD.

Frequency: Once.

Affected Public: Museum staff, teachers.

Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 45 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 65 hours.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: N/A.

Total Annual costs: $1,755.

Dated: July 22, 2019.

Kim Miller,
Grants Management Specialist, Institute of Museum and Library Services.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) is requesting that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve, under the Paperwork Reduction Act, a collection of information that is necessary to fulfill various reporting obligations following a cessation of operations at a facility. This notice informs the public of PBGC’s