reviews of these Orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return, destruction, or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

Notification to Interested Parties

These five-year sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: July 17, 2019.
Jeffrey I. Kessler,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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SUMMARY: On May 2, 2019, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter graphite electrodes from the People’s Republic of China (China). Based on the timely withdrawal of the requests for review of certain companies, we are now rescinding this administrative review for the period February 1, 2018, through January 31, 2019.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 28, 2009, Commerce published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on small diameter graphite electrodes from China. On February 8, 2019, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter graphite electrodes from China for the period of review February 1, 2018, through January 31, 2019.

On February 28, 2019, Tokai Carbon GE LLC (the petitioner) requested an administrative review of the order for 199 producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise. On May 2, 2019, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the order on small diameter graphite electrodes from China with respect to 199 companies.

On July 11, 2019, the petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review of 198 out of the 199 companies listed in its review request. See the Initiation Notice for the full list of companies for which Commerce initiated a review.

Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, the petitioner timely withdrew its review request, in part, by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for the companies for which the petitioner withdrew its review request. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order

1 See Antidumping Duty Order: Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People’s Republic of China, 74 FR 8775 (February 26, 2009).
2 See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 84 FR 2816 (February 8, 2019).
3 Formerly, SGL Carbon LLC and Superior Graphite Co.
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Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017
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SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe (WLP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) to correct two ministerial errors. Correction of these errors results in revised margins for SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) and the companies not selected for individual examination. The amended final dumping margins are listed below in the section entitled, “Amended Final Results of the Review.”


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David Goldberger or Joshua Tucker, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-2044, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 14, 2019, Commerce published the Final Results of the 2016–2017 administrative review of WLP from Korea in the Federal Register.1 Subsequently, on June 17, 2019, SeAH and NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL), the two companies selected for individual examination in this administrative review, submitted comments alleging ministerial errors in Commerce’s Final Results.2 Legal Framework

A ministerial error, as defined in section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), includes “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.”3 With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any ministerial error by amending . . . the final results of review. . . .”

Ministerial Errors

Commerce made two inadvertent errors within the meaning of section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) with respect to the application of the particular market situation (PMS) adjustment rate to SeAH’s hot-rolled coil (HRC) costs, and the application of general and administrative (G&A) and financial expenses to SeAH’s further manufactured sales. Specifically, we determine that we erred: (1) In applying the PMS adjustment rate without adjusting it to account for the percentage of HRC consumed relative to the total raw materials; and (2) in double counting the G&A and financial expenses for further manufactured sales. Accordingly, we determine, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), that we made unintentional ministerial errors in the Final Results. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), Commerce is amending the Final Results to reflect the correction of these errors. In addition, we determine that NEXTEEL’s alleged ministerial errors reflect our intended methodology and, thus, are not ministerial errors. Moreover, because the review-specific average rate applicable to companies in this administrative review not selected for individual examination was based, in part, on SeAH’s weighted-average dumping margin, we are revising the review-specific average rate. For a detailed discussion of the ministerial error allegations, as well as Commerce’s analysis, see Ministerial Error Memorandum.4

Amended Final Results of the Review

As a result of correcting the ministerial errors described above, we determine that the weighted-average dumping margins for the firms listed below exist for the period December 1, 2016 through November 30, 2017:

1 See Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2016–2017, 84 FR 27762 (June 14, 2019) (Final Results), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.
3 See 19 CFR 351.224(f).