

Agenda

- I. Welcome and Roll Call
- II. Discussion of Briefing Report on Fair Housing Issues
 - A. Materials in the record and summaries of testimony
 - B. Structure of briefing report
 - C. Discussion of themes and recommendations
- IV. Public Comment
- V. Next Steps
- VI. Adjournment

Exceptional Circumstance: Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, the notice for this meeting is given less than 15 calendar days prior to the meeting because of the exceptional circumstances of the federal government shutdown.

Dated: June 20, 2019.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2019–13487 Filed 6–24–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meeting of the Michigan Advisory Committee

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the Michigan Advisory Committee (Committee) will hold a meeting on Wednesday, July 24, 2019, at 2:00 p.m. EST the purpose of the meeting will be to discuss findings and recommendations for the voting rights report.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Wednesday, July 24, 2019, at 2:00 p.m. EST.

Public Call Information: Dial: 877–260–1479; Conference ID: 7667161.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ana Victoria Fortes, DFO, at *afortes@usccr.gov* or 213–894–3437.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Members of the public can listen to the discussion. This meeting is available to the public through the above toll-free call-in number. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. The conference call operator will ask callers to identify themselves, the organization they are affiliated with (if any), and an email address prior to placing callers into the conference room. Callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plan. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number.

Members of the public are also entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be mailed to the Regional Programs Unit Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 230 S Dearborn St., Suite 2120, Chicago, IL 60604. They may also be faxed to the Commission at (312) 353–8324, or emailed to Carolyn Allen at *callen@usccr.gov*. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Office at (312) 353–8311.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Regional Programs Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available via *www.facadatabase.gov* under the Commission on Civil Rights, Michigan Advisory Committee link. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are directed to the

Commission’s website, *http://www.usccr.gov*, or may contact the Regional Programs Office at the above email or street address.

Agenda

- I. Welcome and Roll Call
- II. Discussion about finding and recommendations for the voting rights report
- III. Next Steps
- IV. Public Comment
- V. Adjournment

Dated: June 20, 2019.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2019–13480 Filed 6–24–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of the firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firms’ workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

[05/17/2019 through 06/18/2019]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Herschel Parts, Inc	1301 North 14th Street, Indianola, IA 50125.	6/7/2019	The firm manufactures parts for farm machinery and equipment.
Bulk AG Innovations, LLC, d/b/a West Michigan Tool & Die.	1007 Nickerson Avenue, Benton Harbor, MI 49022.	6/14/2019	The firm manufactures tooling and parts for die casting machines.
General Tool Specialties, Inc	284 Sunnymead Road, Hillsborough Township, NJ 08844.	6/18/2019	The firm manufactures molds for manufacturing plastics.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice. These petitions are received pursuant to section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Irette Patterson,

Program Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2019-13469 Filed 6-24-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Industry and Security.

Title: Special Priorities Assistance.

OMB Control Number: 0694-0057.

Form Number(s): BIS-999.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 600.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,200.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The information collected from defense contractors and suppliers on Form BIS-999, Request for Special Priorities Assistance, is required for the enforcement and administration of special priorities assistance under the Defense Production Act, the Selective Service Act and the Defense Priorities and Allocation System regulation. Contractors may request Special Priorities Assistance (SPA) when placing rated orders with suppliers, to obtain timely delivery of products, materials or services from suppliers, or for any other reason under the DPAS, in support of approved national programs.

The Form BIS-999 is used to apply for such assistance.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

This information collection request may be viewed at [reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/>. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2019-13448 Filed 6-24-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-967; C-570-968]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Second Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 23, 2018, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the CAFC) reversed and vacated, in part, the Court of International Trade's (the CIT) earlier decisions, vacated Commerce's remand determination, and reinstated Commerce's original scope ruling, in part. In Commerce's original scope ruling, Commerce found that Whirlpool Corporation's (Whirlpool) kitchen appliance door handles with plastic end caps were covered by the general scope language of the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China (China). On May 1, 2019, the CIT granted Whirlpool's request to dismiss the litigation concerning its handles. Accordingly, Commerce is issuing a second amended final scope ruling.

DATES: Applicable June 25, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-6071.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 4, 2014, Commerce found that kitchen appliance door handles with plastic end caps imported by Whirlpool were subject to the *Orders*.¹ Specifically, Commerce found that the handles did not fall under the finished merchandise or finished goods kit exclusions, based on its interpretation of these exclusions, as adopted in prior scope rulings.²

Whirlpool filed suit challenging the Final Scope Ruling. In *Whirlpool I*, the CIT held that "the general scope language is not reasonably interpreted to include the kitchen appliance door handles described in Whirlpool's first scope ruling request{,}" (i.e., the kitchen appliance door handles with plastic end caps).³ The CIT further held that, even if the general scope language could be reasonably interpreted to include the handles, Commerce's determination that the handles did not satisfy the finished merchandise exclusion based on Commerce's interpretation of the exclusion was in error.⁴ Therefore, the CIT remanded the Final Scope Ruling to Commerce for reconsideration in light of *Whirlpool I*.⁵

In its Remand Redetermination, under protest, Commerce complied with *Whirlpool I* and found the handles were not covered by the general scope language of the *Orders*.⁶ Commerce did not further address the finished merchandise exclusion. The CIT affirmed the Remand Redetermination in *Whirlpool II*.⁷ Pursuant to *Whirlpool II*, on September 27, 2016, Commerce published its *First Amended Final Scope Ruling*, finding that the handles

¹ See Memorandum, "Final Scope Ruling on Kitchen Appliance Door Handles with Plastic End Caps and Kitchen Appliance Door Handles without Plastic End Caps," dated August 4, 2014 (Final Scope Ruling).

² *Id.* at 16-21, citing, e.g., Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on Meridian Kitchen Appliance Door Handles," dated June 21, 2013, (Kitchen Appliance Door Handles I Scope Ruling) and Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on J.A. Hancock, Inc.'s Geodesic Structures," (July 17, 2012) (Geodesic Domes Scope Ruling).

³ See *Whirlpool Corporation v. United States*, 144 F. Supp. 3d 1296, 1303 (CIT 2016) (*Whirlpool I*). The Court affirmed Commerce's determination that the kitchen appliance door handles without end caps are within the scope of the *Orders*. *Id.* at 1306.

⁴ *Id.* at 1304.

⁵ *Id.* at 1305-07.

⁶ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *Whirlpool Corp. v. United States*, Court No. 14-00199, Slip Op. 16-08 (CIT February 1, 2016), dated April 15, 2016 (Remand Redetermination).

⁷ See *Whirlpool Corporation v. United States*, 182 F. Supp. 3d 1307 (CIT 2016) (*Whirlpool II*).