

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice. These petitions are received pursuant to section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

**Irette Patterson,**

*Program Analyst.*

[FR Doc. 2019-13469 Filed 6-24-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* Bureau of Industry and Security.

*Title:* Special Priorities Assistance.

*OMB Control Number:* 0694-0057.

*Form Number(s):* BIS-999.

*Type of Review:* Regular Submission.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 600.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,200.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* The information collected from defense contractors and suppliers on Form BIS-999, Request for Special Priorities Assistance, is required for the enforcement and administration of special priorities assistance under the Defense Production Act, the Selective Service Act and the Defense Priorities and Allocation System regulation. Contractors may request Special Priorities Assistance (SPA) when placing rated orders with suppliers, to obtain timely delivery of products, materials or services from suppliers, or for any other reason under the DPAS, in support of approved national programs.

The Form BIS-999 is used to apply for such assistance.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

This information collection request may be viewed at [reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/>. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [OIRA\\_Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov).

**Sheleen Dumas,**

*Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.*

[FR Doc. 2019-13448 Filed 6-24-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-967; C-570-968]

#### Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Second Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 23, 2018, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the CAFC) reversed and vacated, in part, the Court of International Trade's (the CIT) earlier decisions, vacated Commerce's remand determination, and reinstated Commerce's original scope ruling, in part. In Commerce's original scope ruling, Commerce found that Whirlpool Corporation's (Whirlpool) kitchen appliance door handles with plastic end caps were covered by the general scope language of the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China (China). On May 1, 2019, the CIT granted Whirlpool's request to dismiss the litigation concerning its handles. Accordingly, Commerce is issuing a second amended final scope ruling.

**DATES:** Applicable June 25, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eric Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-6071.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On August 4, 2014, Commerce found that kitchen appliance door handles with plastic end caps imported by Whirlpool were subject to the *Orders*.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, Commerce found that the handles did not fall under the finished merchandise or finished goods kit exclusions, based on its interpretation of these exclusions, as adopted in prior scope rulings.<sup>2</sup>

Whirlpool filed suit challenging the Final Scope Ruling. In *Whirlpool I*, the CIT held that "the general scope language is not reasonably interpreted to include the kitchen appliance door handles described in Whirlpool's first scope ruling request{,}" (i.e., the kitchen appliance door handles with plastic end caps).<sup>3</sup> The CIT further held that, even if the general scope language could be reasonably interpreted to include the handles, Commerce's determination that the handles did not satisfy the finished merchandise exclusion based on Commerce's interpretation of the exclusion was in error.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, the CIT remanded the Final Scope Ruling to Commerce for reconsideration in light of *Whirlpool I*.<sup>5</sup>

In its Remand Redetermination, under protest, Commerce complied with *Whirlpool I* and found the handles were not covered by the general scope language of the *Orders*.<sup>6</sup> Commerce did not further address the finished merchandise exclusion. The CIT affirmed the Remand Redetermination in *Whirlpool II*.<sup>7</sup> Pursuant to *Whirlpool II*, on September 27, 2016, Commerce published its *First Amended Final Scope Ruling*, finding that the handles

<sup>1</sup> See Memorandum, "Final Scope Ruling on Kitchen Appliance Door Handles with Plastic End Caps and Kitchen Appliance Door Handles without Plastic End Caps," dated August 4, 2014 (Final Scope Ruling).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 16-21, citing, e.g., Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on Meridian Kitchen Appliance Door Handles," dated June 21, 2013, (Kitchen Appliance Door Handles I Scope Ruling) and Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on J.A. Hancock, Inc.'s Geodesic Structures," (July 17, 2012) (Geodesic Domes Scope Ruling).

<sup>3</sup> See *Whirlpool Corporation v. United States*, 144 F. Supp. 3d 1296, 1303 (CIT 2016) (*Whirlpool I*). The Court affirmed Commerce's determination that the kitchen appliance door handles without end caps are within the scope of the *Orders*. *Id.* at 1306.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 1304.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 1305-07.

<sup>6</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *Whirlpool Corp. v. United States*, Court No. 14-00199, Slip Op. 16-08 (CIT February 1, 2016), dated April 15, 2016 (Remand Redetermination).

<sup>7</sup> See *Whirlpool Corporation v. United States*, 182 F. Supp. 3d 1307 (CIT 2016) (*Whirlpool II*).