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DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
8 CFR Part 234
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
19 CFR Part 122
[Docket No. USCBP–2016–0015; CBP Decision No. 19–06]
RIN 1651–AB10
Flights To and From Cuba
AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, DHS.
ACTION: Final rule.
SUMMARY: This rule adopts as final, without change, interim amendments to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) regulations published in the Federal Register on March 21, 2016, that removed certain provisions regarding flights to and from Cuba that were either obsolete due to intervening regulatory changes or were duplicative of regulations applicable to all other similarly situated international flights.
DATES: This rule is effective on June 25, 2019.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur A.E. Pitts, Sr., U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Field Operations, by phone at (202) 344–2752 or by email at Arthur.A.Pitts@cbp.dhs.gov.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Background
On March 21, 2016, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) published an interim final rule (IFR) in the Federal Register (81 FR 14948) amending CBP regulations to remove regulations previously codified at 19 CFR, part 122, subpart O. The removed regulations imposed certain restrictions and reporting requirements on flights to and from Cuba. The implementation of robust reporting requirements that generally apply to all international flights rendered much of subpart O redundant. Additionally, the Department of the Treasury’s Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) and the Department of Commerce’s Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) issued changes to the Cuban Assets Control Regulations (CACR) and the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) that rendered many sections of subpart O obsolete.1

Despite the removal of subpart O, flights to and from Cuba continue to be subject to the same entry and clearance requirements in 19 CFR part 122 as all other similarly situated international flights. Additionally, flights to and from Cuba continue to be subject to other legal requirements relating to travel and trade between the United States and Cuba including, but not limited to, the CACR and the EAR.

In the IFR, DHS also amended several provisions of title 8 CFR (8 CFR 234.2) and title 19 CFR (19 CFR 122.31 and 122.42) to bring these sections into conformity with the removal of 19 CFR part 122, subpart O.

II. Discussion of Comments
A. Overview
Although the interim regulatory amendments were promulgated without prior public notice and comment procedures pursuant to the foreign affairs exemption in 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1), the IFR provided for the submission of public comments that would be considered before adoption of the interim regulations as a final rule. The prescribed 30-day public comment period closed on April 20, 2016. DHS received submissions from 30 commenters.

The vast majority of commenters supported the removal of subpart O. Those commenters supported the removal of subpart O based on the expectation that it would benefit the U.S. airline industry and other U.S. businesses hoping to expand to Cuba, lower the cost of flights to and from Cuba by increasing flight options available to U.S. consumers, and potentially lead to future trade agreements and other economic cooperation between the United States and Cuba. Three of the commenters that supported the rule requested that DHS impose additional restrictions on international flights and individuals arriving in the United States. Two commenters opposed the IFR due to legal and policy concerns regarding Cuba. A summary of the comments and comment responses follow.

B. Discussion
Comment: One commenter expressed concern that the removal of subpart O would encourage the spread of communist beliefs and stated that DHS should take steps to continue to isolate Cuba. Another commenter stated that the removal of subpart O was inconsistent with federal laws that restrict trade with Cuba and with CBP’s putative duty to prevent trade with Cuba. Specifically, it is the position of the commenter that section 6063 of title 22 of the U.S. Code prohibits CBP from removing subpart O until there is a transition government in place in Cuba.

Response: DHS disagrees that the removal of subpart O is inconsistent with U.S. law or CBP’s obligations under the law. As noted above and explained in detail in the IFR, each section previously codified in subpart O is either redundant of other regulatory provisions or is obsolete due to intervening regulatory changes issued by OFAC and BIS pursuant to OFAC’s and BIS’s statutory authority to regulate travel and trade with Cuba. Additionally, none of the regulatory requirements previously codified in subpart O is mandated by statute. Rather, subpart O was promulgated pursuant to the Secretary of Homeland Security’s broad authority to regulate all aircraft arriving to and departing from the United States. See 19 U.S.C. 1433, 1644, and 1644a. The elimination of subpart O, therefore, merely updates CBP’s regulations to conform to OFAC’s and BIS’s regulations and does not conflict with the existing statutory or regulatory scheme restricting travel or trade with Cuba.

785, 805–814, which includes the provisions codified at 22 U.S.C. 6063. Those provisions do not specifically address DHS’s authority to regulate aircraft flying to or from Cuba. The President is authorized to suspend aspects of the economic embargo of Cuba only if certain conditions are met, including the determination that “a transition government in Cuba is in power.” 22 U.S.C. 6064(a). As explained above, however, the removal of the provisions in subpart O, which are either redundant or obsolete, merely conforms CBP’s regulations to the BIS and OFAC requirements. It does not affect the existing embargo, and therefore does not require a determination that a transition government is in power in Cuba.

Comment: Two commenters expressed support for the removal of subpart O but requested that individuals arriving in the United States from any foreign place, including individuals arriving from Cuba, be subject to criminal background checks in order to enter the United States. One commenter requested that additional restrictions be placed on flights to and from any foreign place.

Response: The requirements applicable to foreign individuals seeking entry into the United States are beyond the scope of this rule. However, DHS notes that despite the removal of subpart O, all travelers arriving in the United States from Cuba must still report to a CBP officer and undergo a custom and immigration inspection, as required by various provisions in the United States Code and titles 8 and 19 and of the CFR. DHS and its component agencies also work closely with the Department of State and other agencies responsible for enforcing the sanctions regime against Cuba, including OFAC and BIS, to ensure that individuals on the Specially Designated National (SDN) list are prohibited entry into the United States.

In addition, despite the removal of subpart O, all aircraft arriving in the United States from Cuba are subject to the various reporting and inspection requirements of title 19 CFR.

Comment: One commenter requested that DHS amend section 122.153(c) of title 19 (19 CFR 122.153) to permit Key West International Airport to receive flights to and from Cuba. The removal of subpart O, any airport, including Key West International Airport, may request a new international flight to or from Cuba under the same procedures and requirements applicable to all other similarly situated airports and aircraft operators seeking to conduct international flights. In order to operate flights between the United States and Cuba, all airports and aircraft operators must comply with applicable regulatory requirements of DHS and its component agencies, such as CBP, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and the U.S. Coast Guard, as well as the regulatory requirements of OFAC, BIS and the Department of Transportation’s Federal Aviation Administration.

III. Conclusions—Regulatory Amendments

After careful consideration of the comments received, DHS is adopting the interim regulations, as set forth in the IFR published in the Federal Register at 81 FR 14948 on March 21, 2016, as final without change.

Statutory and Regulatory Requirements

A. Statutory Requirements

The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) requirements in 5 U.S.C. 553 govern agency rulemaking procedures. Among other procedural requirements, the APA requires that a final rule have a 30-day delayed effective date. The APA provides a full exemption from the requirements of section 553 for rules involving the foreign affairs function of the United States. See 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1). This final rule is excluded from the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553 as a foreign affairs function of the United States because it concerns international flights between the United States and Cuba, consistent with U.S. foreign policy goals. These amendments clarify and simplify the regulations regarding air travel between the United States and Cuba and are consistent with President Trump’s changed efforts to ensure that engagement between the United States and Cuba advances the interests of the United States and the Cuban people, including the mutual interest in facilitating lawful travel and safe civil aviation. See 82 FR 48875. Accordingly, this final rule is not subject to the 30-day delayed effective date requirement.

B. Executive Orders 12866 and 13771

Executive Order 12866 (“Regulatory Planning and Review”) directs agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Rules involving the foreign affairs function of the United States are exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 12866. Executive Order 13771 (“Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs”) requires that whenever an agency promulgates a new regulation, it must identify at least two existing regulations to be repealed. It further directs that any new incremental costs associated with new regulations must be offset by the elimination of existing costs associated with two prior regulations. Pursuant to section 4(a), Executive Order 13771 does not apply to regulations issued with respect to a foreign affairs function of the United States.

As discussed above, DHS has concluded that clarifying and simplifying the regulations regarding restrictions on travel between the United States and Cuba is a foreign affairs function of the United States Government. Accordingly, this rule is exempt from the requirements of Executive Orders 12866 and 13771.

Signing Authority

This final rule is being issued in accordance with 8 CFR 2.1 and 19 CFR 0.2(a). Accordingly, this final rule is signed by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

List of Subjects

8 CFR Part 234
Air carriers, Aircraft, Airports, Aliens, Cuba.

19 CFR Part 122
Administrative practice and procedure, Air carriers, Aircraft, Airports, Alcohol and alcoholic beverages, Cigars and cigarettes, Cuba, Customs duties and inspection, Drug traffic control, Freight, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures.

Amendments to Regulations

For the reasons set forth above, the IFR amending part 122 of the CBP regulations (19 CFR part 122), which

2 National Security Presidential Memorandum on Strengthening the Policy of the United States Toward Cuba (June 16, 2017) § 2(d), (f).
was published in the Federal Register at 81 FR 14948 on March 21, 2016, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: June 14, 2019.

Kevin K. McAleenan,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–13431 Filed 6–24–19; 8:45 am]
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FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David Hatfield, Aviation Safety Engineer, Safety Management Section, Rotorcraft Standards Branch, FAA, 10101 Hillwood Pkwy., Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222–5110; email david.hatfield@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

On August 27, 2018, at 83 FR 35612, the Federal Register published our notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), which proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to Model AW139 helicopters. This AD requires inspecting and altering the number 1 driveshaft (driveshaft). This AD was prompted by reports of scratches that were found on the driveshaft. The actions of this AD are intended to address an unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD is effective July 30, 2019.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain document listed in this AD as of July 30, 2019.


Examining the AD Docket


The AD docket contains this AD, the Federal Register notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), which proposed to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to Model AW139 helicopters, serial numbers 31499, 31504, 31507, 31509, 31512, 31518, 31519, 31524, 31529, 31533, 31535 through 31564, 31567, 31569, 31570, 31589, 41363, 41368 through 41370, 41372 through 41375, 41378, 41381, and 41384, with a tunnel assembly part number (P/N) 3G7130A13431 installed. The NPRM proposed to require repetitively inspecting the driveshaft tube P/N 3G6510A01132 for a scratch and indentation. If there is a scratch or indentation, the NPRM proposed to require, before further flight, repairing the driveshaft tube and performing a depth check of the repaired area. Depending on the repaired area depth, the NPRM proposed to require replacing the driveshaft tube and altering the rear exhaust module and tunnel assembly before further flight or performing an eddy current inspection of the tube for a crack. If there is a crack, the NPRM proposed to require replacing the driveshaft tube and altering the rear exhaust module and tunnel assembly before further flight. The NPRM also proposed to require altering the rear exhaust module and tunnel assembly, if not previously done as a result of the inspections, and re-identifying the tunnel assembly P/N after it is altered, which would be terminating action for the repetitive inspections. The proposed requirements were intended to prevent a crack in the driveshaft, failure of the tail rotor drive system, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

The NPRM was prompted by AD No. 2017–0011, dated January 25, 2017, issued by EASA, which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, to correct an unsafe condition for certain serial-numbered Leonardo S.p.A. (formerly Finmeccanica S.p.A., AgustaWestland S.p.A.) Model AW139 helicopters. EASA advises of several helicopters found with scratches on the driveshaft P/N 3G6510A01132 and that an investigation determined only helicopters equipped with rear exhaust module assembly P/N 3G7810A00431 and tunnel assembly P/N 3G7130A13431 are affected. According to EASA, the scratches resulted from insufficient clearance between the driveshaft and the rear exhaust module and tunnel assemblies. EASA further advises that if not corrected, these scratches could lead to a crack in the driveshaft, failure of the tail rotor drive system, and subsequent reduced control of the helicopter.

Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD, but we did not receive any comments on the NPRM.

FAA’s Determination

These helicopters have been approved by EASA and are approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the European Union, EASA, has notified us of the unsafe condition described in its AD. We are issuing this AD because we evaluated all information provided by EASA and determined the unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other helicopters of the same type designs.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Leonardo Helicopters S.p.A. Agent for the Member States of the European Union, to correct an unsafe condition for certain serial-numbered Leonardo S.p.A. (formerly Finmeccanica S.p.A., AgustaWestland S.p.A.) Model AW139 helicopters. EASA advises of several helicopters found with scratches on the driveshaft P/N 3G6510A01132 and that an investigation determined only helicopters equipped with rear exhaust module assembly P/N 3G7810A00431 and tunnel assembly P/N 3G7130A13431 are affected. According to EASA, the scratches resulted from insufficient clearance between the driveshaft and the rear exhaust module and tunnel assemblies. EASA further advises that if not corrected, these scratches could lead to a crack in the driveshaft, failure of the tail rotor drive system, and subsequent reduced control of the helicopter.

The NPRM was prompted by AD No. 2017–0011, dated January 25, 2017, issued by EASA, which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, to correct an unsafe condition for certain serial-numbered Leonardo S.p.A. (formerly Finmeccanica S.p.A., AgustaWestland S.p.A.) Model AW139 helicopters. EASA advises of several helicopters found with scratches on the driveshaft P/N 3G6510A01132 and that an investigation determined only helicopters equipped with rear exhaust module assembly P/N 3G7810A00431 and tunnel assembly P/N 3G7130A13431 are affected. According to EASA, the scratches resulted from insufficient clearance between the driveshaft and the rear exhaust module and tunnel assemblies. EASA further advises that if not corrected, these scratches could lead to a crack in the driveshaft, failure of the tail rotor drive system, and subsequent reduced control of the helicopter.

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD, but we did not receive any comments on the NPRM.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Leonardo Helicopters S.p.A. Agent for the Member States of the European Union, to correct an unsafe condition for certain serial-numbered Leonardo S.p.A. (formerly Finmeccanica S.p.A., AgustaWestland S.p.A.) Model AW139 helicopters. EASA advises of several helicopters found with scratches on the driveshaft P/N 3G6510A01132 and that an investigation determined only helicopters equipped with rear exhaust module assembly P/N 3G7810A00431 and tunnel assembly P/N 3G7130A13431 are affected. According to EASA, the scratches resulted from insufficient clearance between the driveshaft and the rear exhaust module and tunnel assemblies. EASA further advises that if not corrected, these scratches could lead to a crack in the driveshaft, failure of the tail rotor drive system, and subsequent reduced control of the helicopter.

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD, but we did not receive any comments on the NPRM.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Leonardo Helicopters S.p.A. Agent for the Member States of the European Union, to correct an unsafe condition for certain serial-numbered Leonardo S.p.A. (formerly Finmeccanica S.p.A., AgustaWestland S.p.A.) Model AW139 helicopters. EASA advises of several helicopters found with scratches on the driveshaft P/N 3G6510A01132 and that an investigation determined only helicopters equipped with rear exhaust module assembly P/N 3G7810A00431 and tunnel assembly P/N 3G7130A13431 are affected. According to EASA, the scratches resulted from insufficient clearance between the driveshaft and the rear exhaust module and tunnel assemblies. EASA further advises that if not corrected, these scratches could lead to a crack in the driveshaft, failure of the tail rotor drive system, and subsequent reduced control of the helicopter.