

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission**

[Docket No. EL19–56–000]

**Hoosier Energy Rural Electric
Cooperative, Inc.; Notice of Filing**

Take notice that on May 14, 2019, Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc., filed an amendment to its March 20, 2019 filing of proposed revenue requirement for reactive supply and voltage control for the Lawrence Generating Station, Merom Generating Station, and Worthington Generating Station, under Midcontinent Independent System Operator Inc. Tariff Schedule 2.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the website that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on July 9, 2019.

Dated: June 18, 2019.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–13340 Filed 6–21–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**[EPA–HQ–OGC–2018–0767; FRL–9995–53–
OGC]**Proposed Settlement Agreement,
Challenge to Clean Air Act SIP Action****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement
agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA" or the "Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement to resolve a case filed by ArcelorMittal Burns Harbor, L.L.C. ("ArcelorMittal"), involving an EPA action on a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Indiana. On February 25, 2014, ArcelorMittal filed a petition with the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit challenging EPA's disapproval of Indiana's SIP revision which would have removed the emissions limit for SO₂ from the blast furnace gas flare at ArcelorMittal's steel mill in Porter County, Indiana. *ArcelorMittal Burns Harbor LLC v. EPA* (14–1412, 7th Cir.). Under the proposed settlement agreement, the parties agree to take certain specified actions.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by July 24, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA–HQ–OGC–2018–0767, online at www.regulations.gov (EPA's preferred method). For comments submitted at www.regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from www.regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or

comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mike Thrift, Air and Radiation Law Office, Office of General Counsel, c/o U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, San Diego Border Office, 610 West Ash Street, San Diego, CA 92101; telephone: (619) 321–1960; email address: thrift.mike@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Additional Information About the
Proposed Settlement Agreement**

The proposed settlement agreement would resolve the case filed by ArcelorMittal challenging EPA Region 5's disapproval of the State of Indiana's SIP revision, submitted on December 10, 2009. EPA issued a final rule disapproving the SIP revision on December 27, 2013. On February 25, 2014, ArcelorMittal filed a petition for review of EPA's disapproval action in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. *ArcelorMittal Burns Harbor LLC v. EPA* (No. 14–1412). The State of Indiana intervened in the case on petitioner's behalf and is also a party to the settlement agreement.

Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, Indiana agrees to submit to EPA a SIP revision which contains numeric emissions limits, a blast furnace gas testing protocol, and other specified provisions. EPA agrees to propose and take final action on the SIP submittal. If EPA approves a SIP submittal with numeric emissions limits and blast furnace gas testing protocol which are identical to those in the agreement, and otherwise contains terms substantially consistent with those in the agreement, ArcelorMittal agrees to dismiss its petition for review with prejudice. The proposed settlement agreement also provides that nothing in the settlement agreement limits the discretion of EPA to alter, amend, or revise its action under the agreement, nor does the agreement limit or modify any discretion afforded EPA by the Act or by general principles of administrative law. See the proposed settlement agreement for specific details.