

conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 3, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019-12130 Filed 6-7-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-985]

Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2017-2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that xanthan gum from the People's Republic of China (China) is being sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable June 10, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aleksandras Nakutis or Thomas Hanna, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3147 or (202) 482-0835, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This administrative review is being conducted in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On July 3, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on xanthan gum from China.¹ Commerce published the notice of initiation of this administrative review on September 10,

2018.² On January 28, 2019, Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the closure of the federal government from December 22, 2018, through January 28, 2019.³ Commerce extended the preliminary results deadline until June 5, 2019.⁴ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁵ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included in the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is dry xanthan gum, whether or not coated or blended with other products, from China. For a complete description of the scope of this order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

On September 25, 2017, and October 9, 2018, Shanghai Smart Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Smart), Jianlong Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Jianlong), and Inner Mongolia Jianlong Biochemical Co., Ltd. (IMJ), respectively, timely filed certifications that they had no exports, sales, or entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Based on an analysis of

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 45596 (September 10, 2018).

³ See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated January 28, 2019.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated May 7, 2019.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results in the Fifth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China," (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information and Shanghai Smart, Jianlong's, and IMJ's, no shipment certifications, Commerce preliminarily determines that Shanghai Smart, Jianlong, and IMJ had no shipments and, therefore, no reviewable transactions, during the POR.⁶ For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Consistent with our practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, Commerce is not rescinding this administrative review with respect to Shanghai Smart, Jianlong, or IMJ, for which it has preliminarily found no shipments during the POR, but intends to complete the review, and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.⁷

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. We calculated, where applicable, export price and constructed export price for the mandatory respondents Deosen Biochemical (Ordos) Ltd. and Deosen Biochemical Ltd. (collectively Deosen), and Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited, Langfang Meihua Biotechnology Co., Ltd., and Xinjiang Meihua Amino Acid Co., Ltd. (collectively Meihua) in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because China is an NME country within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, we calculated NV in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Single Entity Treatment

Consistent with prior segments of this proceeding, we have continued to treat Deosen Biochemical (Ordos) Ltd. and Deosen Biochemical Ltd. as a single entity; and Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited, Langfang Meihua Biotechnology Co., Ltd., and Xinjiang Meihua Amino Acid Co., Ltd. as a single entity, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.401(f)(1)-(2). For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁶ See Memorandum to the File, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China: Automated Commercial System Shipment Query," dated September 15, 2017; see also Memorandum to the File, "Xanthan gum from China (A-570-985)," dated June 14, 2018.

⁷ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (NME AD Assessment); and the "Assessment Rates" section, below.

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 31121 (July 3, 2018).

Separate Rates

Commerce preliminary determines that the information placed on the record by Deosen, Meihua, and the other companies listed in the rate table below demonstrates that these companies are entitled to separate rate status. However, we preliminarily determine that Hebei Xinhe Biochemical Co., Ltd. and A.H.A. International Co., Ltd. did not demonstrate their entitlement to separate rates status. Therefore, we are preliminarily treating Hebei Xinhe Biochemical Co., Ltd. and A.H.A. International Co., Ltd. as part of the China-wide entity. For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Dumping Margins for Separate Rate Companies

The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not address what rate to apply to respondents not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for non-selected respondents that are not examined individually in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate should be calculated by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins for

individually-examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. Where the rates for the individually examined companies are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use “any reasonable method” to establish the all-others rate. We preliminarily assigned the respondents not selected for individual examination to which we granted a separate rate the dumping margin calculated for Deosen.

Preliminary Dumping Margins

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Deosen Biochemical Ltd./Deosen Biochemical (Ordos) Ltd	45.65
Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited/Langfang Meihua Biotechnology Co., Ltd./Xinjiang Meihua Amino Acid Co., Ltd	0.00
CP Kelco (Shandong) Biological Company Limited	45.65

Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than 30 days after the publication of these preliminary results of review, unless the Secretary alters the time limit.⁸ Rebuttal briefs, limited to responding to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline for case briefs.⁹ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this review are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the

number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of the issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of review, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.¹⁰ Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates equal to the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for examined sales with a particular importer to the total entered value of the

sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).¹¹ Where either the respondent’s *ad valorem* weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*,¹² we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the respondents that were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review, but which qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be based on the weighted-average dumping margin(s) assigned to the respondent(s), as appropriate, in the final results of this review.¹³

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by the companies individually examined during this review, Commerce will

¹¹ We applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹³ See *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments: 2014–2015*, 81 FR 29528 (May 12, 2016) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at 10–11; unchanged in *Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Final Determination of No Shipments; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 54042 (August 15, 2016).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate. In addition, if we continue to find that Shanghai Smart, Jianlong, and IMJ had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, any suspended entries of subject merchandise from either Shanghai Smart, Jianlong, and IMJ will be liquidated at the China-wide rate.¹⁴

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of xanthan gum from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the notice of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then a cash deposit rate of zero will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed China and non-China exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all China exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity, which is 154.07 percent; and (4) for all non-China exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to China exporter(s) that supplied that non-China exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: June 4, 2019.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Extension of the Preliminary Results
- V. Scope of the Order
- VI. Selection of Respondents
- VII. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
- VIII. Single Entity Treatment
- IX. Discussion of the Methodology
 - A. Non-Market Economy Country
 - B. Separate Rates
 - C. Separate Rate Analysis
 1. Wholly Foreign-Owned Applicant
 2. Joint Ventures Between Chinese and Foreign Companies or Wholly Chinese-Owned Companies
 - a. Absence of *De Jure* Control
 - b. Absence of *De Facto* Control
 3. Companies Not Receiving a Separate Rate
 - D. Dumping Margin for the Separate Rate Companies Not Individually Examined
 - E. Surrogate Country
 1. Same Level of Economic Development
 2. Significant Producers of Identical or Comparable Merchandise
 3. Data Availability
 - F. Date of Sale
 - G. Comparisons to Normal Value
 1. Determination of Comparison Method
 2. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
 - H. U.S. Price
 1. Export Price
 2. Constructed Export Price
 3. Value-Added Tax
 - I. Normal Value
 1. Factor Valuations
 - a. Direct and Packing Materials
 - b. Energy
 - c. Labor
 - d. Movement Services
 - e. Financial Ratios
 - J. Currency Conversion
- X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-12149 Filed 6-7-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904; Binational Panel Review: Notice of Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: United States Section, NAFTA Secretariat, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of NAFTA requests for Panel Review in the matter Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (Secretariat File Number: USA-MEX-2019-1904-01).

SUMMARY: A Request for Panel Review was filed on behalf of Maquilacero S.A. de C.V. ("Maquilacero") and Perfiles LM, S.A. de C.V. ("Perfiles") with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat on May 22, 2019, pursuant to NAFTA Article 1904. Panel Review was requested of the Department of Commerce's final antidumping duty determination regarding Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico. The final determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 22, 2019. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned case number USA-MEX-2019-1904-01 to this request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul E. Morris, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Room 2061, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of Article 1904 of NAFTA provides a dispute settlement mechanism involving trade remedy determinations issued by the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada, and the Government of Mexico. Following a Request for Panel Review, a Binational Panel is composed to review the trade remedy determination being challenged and issue a binding Panel Decision. There are established NAFTA Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews, which were adopted by the three governments for panels requested pursuant to Article 1904(2) of NAFTA which requires Requests for Panel Review to be published in accordance with Rule 35. For the complete Rules, please see <https://www.nafta-sec-alena.org/Home/Texts-of-the-Agreement/Rules-of-Procedure/Article-1904>.

The Rules provide that:

(a) A Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is June 21, 2019);

(b) A Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline

¹⁴ For a full discussion of this practice, see *NME AD Assessment*.