Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. Section 351.210(e)(2) of Commerce’s regulations requires that a request by exporters for postponement of the final antidumping determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

On April 24, 2019, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(e), Ningbo Master International Trade Co., Ltd., requested that Commerce postpone the final determination and that provisional measures be extended to a period not to exceed six months. In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because (1) the preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporters account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, Commerce is postponing the final determination and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not greater than six months. Accordingly, Commerce’s final determination will be published no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, Commerce will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of its preliminary determination. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination whether imports of the subject merchandise are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).


Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation are kegs, vessels, or containers with bodies that are approximately cylindrical in shape, made from stainless steel (i.e., steel containing at least 10.5 percent chromium by weight and less than 1.2 percent carbon by weight, with or without other elements), and that are compatible with a “D Sankey” extractor (refillable stainless steel kegs) with a nominal liquid volume capacity of 10 liters or more, regardless of the type of finish, gauge, thickness, or grade of stainless steel, and whether or not covered by or encased in other materials. Refillable stainless steel kegs may be imported assembled or unassembled, with or without all components (including spears, couplers or taps, necks, collars, and valves), and be filled or unfilled. “Unassembled” or “unfinished” refillable stainless steel kegs include drawn stainless steel cylinders that have been welded to form the body of the keg and attached to an upper (top) chime and/or lower (bottom) chime. Unassembled refillable stainless steel kegs may or may not be welded to a neck, may or may not have a valve assembly attached, and may be otherwise complete except for testing, certification, and/or marking.

Subject merchandise also includes refillable stainless steel kegs that have been further processed in a third country, including but not limited to, attachment of necks, collars, spears or valves, heat treatment, pickling, passivation, painting, testing, certification or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of the investigation if performed in the country of manufacture of the in-scope refillable stainless steel keg. Specifically excluded are the following:

1. Vessels or containers that are not approximately cylindrical in nature (e.g., box, “hopper” or “cone” shaped vessels);
2. stainless steel kegs, vessels, or containers that have either a “ball lock” valve system or a “pin lock” valve system (commonly known as “Cornelius,” “corny” or “ball lock” kegs);
3. necks, spears, couplers or taps, collars, and valves that are not imported with the subject merchandise; and
4. stainless steel kegs that are filled with beer, wine, or other liquid and that are designated by the Commissioner of Customs as Instruments of International Traffic within the meaning of section 332(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

The merchandise covered by this investigation are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7310.10.0010, 7310.10.0050, 7310.29.0025, and 7310.29.0050. These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Period of Investigation
IV. Scope Comments
V. Product Characteristics
VI. Selection of Respondents
VII. Discussion of the Methodology
A. Non-Market Economy Country
B. Surrogate Country
C. Surrogate Value Comments
D. Separate Rates
E. Dumping Margin for the Separate Rate Companies
F. Combination Rates
G. China-Wide Entity
H. Application of Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
I. Date of Sale
J. Comparisons to Fair Value
K. U.S. Price
L. Normal Value
M. Factor Valuation Methodology
N. Currency Conversion
VIII. Adjustment Under Section 777A(F) of the Act
IX. Critical Circumstances
X. Conclusion
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–849]

Steel Wire Garment Hangers From Taiwan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan for the period of review (POR), December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 3, 2018, Commerce published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan for the period of December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018.1 On December 14, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(b), Commerce received a timely request from the petitioner2 to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan manufactured and/or exported by Charles Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Geo Ten Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Innnal Enterprises Co., Ltd.; Mindful Life and Coaching Co., Ltd.; Ocean Concept Corporation; Su-Chia International Ltd.; Taiwan Hanger Manufacturing Co., Ltd.; and Young Max Enterprises Co. Ltd.3

On March 14, 2019, Commerce published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for the period December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018.4 On May 13, 2019, the petitioners timely withdrew their request for an administrative review for all companies under review.5

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, “in whole or in part, if the party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.” The petitioner withdrew its request for review within the 90-day deadline. No other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. Therefore, in response to the timely withdrawal request and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan in its entirety.

Assessment

Commerce intends to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of steel wire garment hangers from Taiwan during the POR. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit rate of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers, warehouse operators, and other parties subject to antidumping duties occurred and the requirement may result in the destruction of APO materials or disposition of proprietary information if a party does not file a protective order. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility regarding the disposition of propriety information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(f)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).


Gary Taverner,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–094]

Refillable Stainless Steel Kegs From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Determination, in Part, of Critical Circumstances in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 5, 2019, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the preliminary determination in the countervailing duty (CVD) investigation of imports of refillable stainless steel kegs (kegs) from the People’s Republic of China.1 On May 2, 2019, the American Keg Company LLC (petitioner) alleged that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of kegs from China, pursuant to sections 703(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.206.2

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.206(c)(2)(ii), if the petitioner submits an allegation of critical circumstances later than 20 days before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination, Commerce must issue a

1 See Refillable Stainless Steel Kegs from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination, 84 FR 13634 (April 5, 2019) (Preliminary Determination) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).


1 See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 83 FR 62293 (December 1, 2018).

2 M&B Metal Products Company, Inc.

3 See the petitioner’s letter, “Sixth Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from Taiwan—Request for Sixth Administrative Review,” (December 14, 2018).

4 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 84 FR 9297 (March 14, 2019).