questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.3

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: May 20, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–10847 Filed 5–23–19; 8:45 am]
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2 All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.
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**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1123]

**Carburetors and Products Containing Such Carburetors: Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting In-Part a Motion for Leave To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 50) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting in-part complainant’s unopposed motion for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to (1) substitute Huayi Mechanical and Electrical Co., Ltd. for originally named respondent Huayi Carburetor Factory, and update the corresponding address; (2) correct the corporate name of respondent Cabela’s Incorporated to Cabela’s LLC; and (3) substitute Techtronic Industries (Dongguan) Co. Ltd. for the named respondent Techtronics Industries Co. Ltd. of Hong Kong d/b/a Techtronics Industries Power Equipment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on July 20, 2018, based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed on behalf of Walbro, LLC of Tucson, Arizona (“Complainant”). 83 FR 34,614 (July 20, 2018). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain carburetors and products containing such carburetors by reason of infringement of one or more of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,394,424; 6,439,547; 6,533,254; 6,540,212; and 7,070,173. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by section 337. The notice of investigation named numerous respondents, including Huayi Carburetor Factory of Chongqing, China, Cabela’s Incorporated of Sidney, Nebraska, and Techtronics Industries Co. Ltd of Hong Kong d/b/a Techtronics Industries Power Equipment of Hong Kong. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was named as a party in this investigation.

On March 14, 2019, Complainant filed an unopposed motion to amend the complaint and Notice of Investigate to: (1) Substitute “Huayi Mechanical and Electrical Co., Ltd.” for originally named respondent “Huayi Carburetor Factory,” and update the address accordingly to “No.32, Xinghuo Industrial Zone, Fuding City, Fujian Province 355200, P.R. China”; (2) correct the corporate name of respondent “Cabela’s Incorporated” to “Cabela’s LLC”; (3) substitute “Techtronics Industries (Dongguan) Co. Ltd.” for named respondent “Techtronics Industries (Dongguan) Co. Ltd.” of Hong Kong d/b/a Techtronics Industries Power Equipment;” and (4) update contact information for Complainant’s counsel. On March 25, 2019, OUII filed a response supporting the motion in-part. On April 25, 2019, the ALJ issued Order No. 50. Order No. 50 finds that “good cause exists for amending the complaint to change the names of Cabela’s Incorporated and to substitute Respondents Huayi Mechanical and Electrical Co. Ltd. and Techtronics Industries (Dongguan) Co. Ltd. for Respondents Huayi Carburetor Factory, and Techtronics Industries Co. Ltd. of Hong Kong d/b/a Techtronics Industries Power Equipment.” Order No. 50 at 2. The ALJ further finds that “amending the Complaint and Notice of Investigation to reflect the proper names of the Respondents will aid in the development of the Investigation and is necessary to avoid prejudicing the public interest and rights of the parties to the Investigation.” Id. However, the ALJ declined to grant the motion with respect to Complainant’s request to change counsel’s address as that change
is made normally through a notice of appearance. Id. No party petitioned for review.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The notice of investigation and complaint are amended.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 20, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–10852 Filed 5–23–19; 8:45 am]
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1106]

Certain Toner Cartridges and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Affirm an Initial Determination Granting Respondents’ Motions for Summary Determination of Non-Infringement; Finding of No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm the initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 40) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting certain respondents’ respective motions for summary determination of non-infringement. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to find no violation of section 337. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov.

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 29, 2018, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Canon Inc. of Tokyo, Japan; Canon U.S.A. Inc. of Melville, New York; and Canon Virginia, Inc. of Newport News, Virginia (collectively, “Canon”). 83 FR 13516–17. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toner cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,746,826; 9,836,026; 9,841,727 (“the ’727 patent”); 9,841,728 (“the ’728 patent”); 9,841,729; 9,857,764; 9,857,765; 9,869,960; and 9,874,846.

The Commission’s notice of investigation named numerous respondents, including: Ninestar Corporation and Ninestar Image Tech Limited, both of Guangdong, China; Ninestar Technology Company, Ltd. of City of Industry, California; and Static Control Components, Inc. of Stanford, North Carolina (collectively, “Ninestar”); Print-Rite N.A., Inc. of La Vergne, Tennessee; Union Technology International (M.C.O.) Co. Ltd. of Rodrigues, Macau; Print-Rite Unicorn Image Products Co. Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; The Supplies Guys, Inc. of Lancaster, Pennsylvania; and LD Products, Inc. of Long Beach, California (collectively, “Print-Rite”); and Aster Graphics, Inc. of Placentia, California; Aster Graphics Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; and Jiangxi Yibo E-tech Co., Ltd of Jiangxi, China (collectively, “Aster”; all collectively, “the active respondents”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. The ’727 and ’728 patents have been terminated from the investigation. See Order No. 18 (June 28, 2018), unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (July 23, 2018).

All other respondents have been found in default or terminated from the investigation based on withdrawal of Canon’s allegations as to those respondents. See, e.g., Order No. 11 (May 2, 2018), ID (finding eleven respondents in default); unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (May 23, 2018); Order No. 30 (Oct. 22, 2018) (ID terminating the investigation as to a single respondent); unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (Nov. 19, 2018). Specifically, the following thirty-five respondents have been found in default: Arlington Industries, Inc. of Waukegan, Illinois; Ourway US Inc. of City of Industry, California; Print After Print, Inc. d/b/a OutOfToner.com of Phoenix, Arizona; GPA Trading Co. Limited d/b/a GPA Image of Kowloon, Hong Kong; ACM Technologies, Inc. of Corona, California; Ourway Image Tech. Co., Ltd., Ourway Image Co., Ltd., and Zuhai Aowi Electronics Co., Ltd., all of Zuhai, China; Acecom, Inc.—San Antonio d/b/a InkSell.com of San Antonio, Texas; Bluedog Distribution Inc. of Hollywood, Florida; i8 International, Inc. d/b/a Ink4Work.com of City of Industry, California; Ink Technologies Printer Supplies, LLC of Dayton, Ohio; Linkyo Corp. d/b/a SuperMediaStore.com of La Puente, California; CLT Computers, Inc. d/b/a Multiwave and MWave of Walnut, California; Imaging Supplies Investors, LLC d/b/a SuppliesOutlet.com, SuppliesWholesalers.com, and OnlineTechStores.com of Reno, Nevada; Online Tech Stores, LLC d/b/a SuppliesOutlet.com, SuppliesWholesalers.com, and OnlineTechStores.com of Grand Rapids, Michigan; Fairland, LLC d/b/a ProPrint of Anaheim Hills, California; 9010–8077 Quebec Inc. d/b/a Zeetoner of Quebec, Canada; World Class Ink Supply, Inc. of Woodbury, New Jersey; EIS Office Solutions, Inc. and Zinyaw LLC d/b/a TonerPirate.com, both of Houston, Texas; eReplacements, LLC of Grapevine, Texas; Garvey’s Office Products, Inc. of Niles, Illinois; Master Print Supplies, Inc. d/b/a HQ Products of Burlingame, California; Reliable Imaging Computer Products, Inc. of Northridge, California; Frontier Imaging Inc. of Compton, California; Hong Kong BoZe Company Limited d/b/a Greensky of New Kowloon, Hong Kong; Apex Excel Limited d/b/a ShopAt247 of Rowland Heights, California; Billiontree Technology USA Inc. d/b/a Toner Kingdom of City of Industry, California; Kuhlmann Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Precision Roller of Phoenix, Arizona; FTTrade Inc. d/b/a ValueToner of Staten Island, New York; V4INK, Inc. of Ontario, California; Do It Wiser LLC d/b/a Image Toner of Alpharetta, Georgia; Global Cartridges of Burlingame, California; and Kingway Image Co., Ltd. d/b/a Zhai Hai Kingway Image Co., Ltd. of Zuhai, China.

On November 28, 2018, Print-Rite and Aster each moved for summary determination that their respective