

definition of projects for which the CE would be used.

The CEQ has reviewed the comments received and Reclamation's responses to those comments and has approved the CE. Therefore, the Department will add the final CE to the Departmental Manual at 516 DM 14.5 paragraph F., which covers "Title Transfer Activities." Reclamation recognizes that certain proposed title transfer actions, when reviewed on a case-by-case basis, could trigger one or more of the extraordinary circumstances for which it is not appropriate to utilize the CE. In such cases, the proposed title transfer actions could have a significant environmental effect and would require additional NEPA analysis. Thus, prior to applying the CE, Reclamation will review all extraordinary circumstances in the Department's NEPA regulations. If any extraordinary circumstance does apply, Reclamation will conduct additional NEPA analysis and prepare an EA or EIS.

Amended Text for the Departmental Manual

The text that will be added to 516 DM is set forth below:

Part 516: National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

Chapter 14: Managing the NEPA Process—Bureau of Reclamation

* * * * *

14.5 Categorical Exclusions

* * * * *

F. Title Transfer Activities

* * * * *

(1) Transfer from Federal ownership of facilities and/or interest in lands to a qualifying entity where there are no competing demands for use of the facilities; where the facilities are not hydrologically integrated; where, at the time of transfer, there would be no planned change in land or water use, or in operation, or maintenance of the facilities; and where the transfer would be consistent with the Secretary's responsibilities, including but not limited to existing contracts or agreements, the protection of land resources and water rights held in trust for federally recognized Indian tribes and Indian individuals, and ensuring compliance with international treaties and interstate compacts.

Michaela E. Noble,

Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2019-10967 Filed 5-23-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Docket No. 19X.LLIDB00000. L16100000.DP0000. LXSS053D0000.241A. 4500133829

Notice of Availability for the Draft Four Rivers Field Office Resource Management Plan and Associated Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Four Rivers Field Office (FRFO), Boise, Idaho, has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and associated Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and by this notice is announcing the release of the Draft RMP.

DATES: To ensure that comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Draft RMP/Draft EIS within 90 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability of the Draft RMP/Draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. The BLM will announce future meetings or hearings and any other public participation activities at least 15 days in advance through public notices, media releases, and/or mailings.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments related to the FRFO Draft RMP/Draft EIS by any of the following methods:

- *Website:* <http://go.usa.gov/xnsn6> (case sensitive)
- *Email:* Four_Rivers_RMP@blm.gov.
- *Fax:* 208-384-3326.
- *Mail:* Four Rivers Field Office, Attn: Brent Ralston, 3948 S Development Ave. Boise, Idaho 83705.

Copies of the FRFO Draft RMP/Draft EIS are available in the Boise District Office at the above address; at the Idaho BLM State Office, 1387 South Vinnell Way, Boise, ID 83709; and online at the following website: <http://go.usa.gov/xnsn6>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: For further information, contact Pam Murdock, Project Lead, telephone 208-384-3300; address 3948 S Development Ave., Boise, Idaho 83705; email Four_Rivers_RMP@blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact Ms. Murdock. The FRS is

available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with Ms. Murdock. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FRFO encompasses an area located in southwestern Idaho extending north of the Snake River from approximately Glens Ferry in the southeast, west to Weiser, and north to McCall. The planning area includes all of the FRFO located outside the Morley Nelson Snake River Birds of Prey National Conservation Area which is governed by a separate RMP. The planning area encompasses approximately 783,000 surface acres and 1,173,150 acres of mineral estate in Ada, Adams, Boise, Camas, Canyon, Elmore, Gem, Owyhee, Payette, Valley and Washington counties administered by the BLM. Much of the planning area comprises interspersed sections of public, private, State or Forest Service lands.

The FRFO currently manages land in accordance with the 1983 Kuna Management Framework Plan (MFP), the 1987 Jarbidge RMP, and the 1988 Cascade RMP. These plans have been amended since originally approved. This planning effort will identify goals and objectives and update management guidance to create a new RMP. The BLM engaged in public scoping to help identify planning issues that directed the formulation of alternatives and framed the analysis in the Draft RMP/Draft EIS. Issues include managing the scattered BLM-administered land base, balancing increasing public demand with conservation of fragile resources and balancing resource uses (including energy development). The planning effort also considers socio-economic concerns and special designations including lands with wilderness characteristics, wild and scenic rivers and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs).

The Draft RMP/Draft EIS evaluates four alternatives in detail. Alternative A is the No Action Alternative, which is a continuation of current management, public use, resource protection, and conservation prescriptions in the existing RMPs and MFP, as amended. It does not address issues that were nonexistent or unforeseen when the BLM prepared the original RMPs and MFP.

Alternative B emphasizes protecting natural resource values from potential impacts of population growth and increased use and incorporates protective measures for plants and wildlife compared to other alternatives. While some areas would still emphasize recreation and community development

uses, the primary emphases are for conservation and reduction of habitat fragmentation and resource degradation.

Alternative C focuses on accommodating increased population growth and use of public lands within the planning area by emphasizing land disposal for local community expansion, providing economic expansion through extractive and renewable energy resource use and

continues to provide recreational opportunities.

Alternative D is the Preferred Alternative, and emphasizes managing public lands to promote economic development while maintaining natural resource values. This alternative recognizes the diverse issues and needs throughout the planning area by promoting a balanced use of resources including management for oil and gas leasing and development, livestock

grazing, recreational use and wildlife habitat, including big game winter range and migration corridors.

In the Draft EIS, the BLM proposes and evaluates ACECs to protect specific resource values and other uses where appropriate. Pursuant to 43 CFR 1610.7–2(b), this notice announces a concurrent public comment period for potential ACECs. A more detailed summary of all proposed ACECs is available at the project website provided above.

ACEC name	Alternative A acres	Alternative B acres	Alternative C acres	Alternative D acres
Bannister Basin		5,840		
Boise Front	11,360	24,630		
Buckwheat Flats		200		
Cartwright Canyon	400			
Cherry Gulch		3,070		
Hixon-CSTG Habitat	4,170	21,100	12,870	18,660
Goodrich Creek		450		
Hulls Gulch	120			
King Hill Creek	840	2,840		
Curlew Habitat	45,020	46,310	26,810	26,810
Lost Basin		60		
Mountain Home		520		
Rebecca Sandhill		1,250		
Sand-capped Knob	40	180		
Sand Hollow	1,300	1,330		
Sheep Creek		1,970		
Summer Creek		630		
Willow Creek	1,010	1,120		
Woods Gulch	40	40		

Pertinent information regarding the ACECs proposed for designation in Alternative D:

- *Hixon Columbian Sharp-tailed Grouse (CSTG) Habitat ACEC*: The 1988 Cascade RMP designated 4,170 acres as the Hixon CSTG Habitat ACEC to intensify habitat management for one of the last remaining populations of CSTG in western Idaho. Alternative D expands the ACEC to include lands acquired through purchase or exchange since the last planning effort and maintains habitat management objectives. The alternative would allow development activities within the ACEC, subject to restrictions, in order to maintain CSTG habitat. Salable mineral development would be allowed on sites more than 0.5 miles from key nesting and brood-rearing habitat as long as it does not exceed two acres in size, and would be subject to seasonal restrictions; the ACEC would be open to fluid mineral leasing subject to moderate constraints; all rights-of-way would be subject to timing restrictions and aboveground facilities would only be authorized if co-located with existing facilities; renewable energy development would not be allowed; and livestock grazing would be managed to maintain or enhance CSTG habitat.

- *Long-billed Curlew Habitat ACEC*: The 1988 Cascade RMP designated this ACEC to protect crucial nesting habitat for the curlew. Alternative D retains the ACEC designation for areas that continue to provide suitable habitat. The ACEC includes the following management prescriptions: Salable mineral development is allowed on sites more than 0.5 miles from key nesting and brood-rearing habitat, as long as it does not exceed five acres in size and conforms to seasonal restrictions; fluid mineral leasing is open subject to moderate constraints; and excludes wind energy rights-of-way.

The BLM initiated the land-use planning process on April 3, 2008, through a Notice of Intent published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 18298), notifying the public of a formal scoping period and soliciting public participation. Since 2008, the BLM has held multiple meetings with Tribal governments, stakeholders, interest groups, cooperating agencies, counties and the public.

After the public comment period, the BLM will use substantive public comments to revise the Draft RMP/Draft EIS in preparation for its release as the Proposed RMP/Final EIS. The Notice of Availability for the Proposed RMP/Final

EIS will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2.

John F. Ruhs,

Idaho BLM State Director.

[FR Doc. 2019–10738 Filed 5–23–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[19X L1109AF LLUT980300 L12200000.PM0000–24–1A]

Notice of Public Meeting for the Utah Resource Advisory Council, Utah

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.