comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGAA–2019–010 and should be submitted on or before June 7, 2019.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 16

Eduardo A. Aleman, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–10228 Filed 5–16–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations: Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule

May 13, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 notice is hereby given that on April 30, 2019, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BZX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below. Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BZX") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to amend its Fee Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is attached (sic) as Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to make a number of amendments to its fee schedule for its equity options platform ("BZX Options"), effective May 1, 2019.

NBBO Setter Tier

The Exchange currently offers five NBBO Setter Tiers under Footnote 4 of the fee schedule which provide an additional rebate between $0.01 and $0.05 per contract for orders that establish a new National Best Bid or Offer ("NBBO") and which are appended with fee code PM and PN.

The Exchange proposes to amend the required criteria under NBBO Setter Tier 3. NBBO Setter Tier 3 currently provides Members an additional rebate of $0.03 per contract where the Member (i) has an ADAV 3 in Non-Customer orders greater than or equal to 0.80% of average OCV, 3 and (ii) has an ADAV in Firm/Market Maker/Away MM orders that establish a new NBBO greater than or equal to 0.005% of average OCV. The Exchange proposes to amend the first tier to reduce the ADAV Non-Customer requirement to 0.75% of average OCV (instead of 0.80%). The proposed change intends to ease Tier 3’s current criteria which the Exchange hopes will encourage those Members who could not achieve the tier previously to increase their order flow as a means to receive the tier’s enhanced.

QIP Tiers

The Exchange currently offers two QIP Tiers under footnote 5, which provide an additional rebate ranging from $0.02 to $0.04 per contract for qualifying Market Maker orders which add liquidity in: (i) Penny Pilot Securities that yield fee code PM and; (ii) Non-Penny Pilot Securities that yield fee code NM. The additional rebate per contract is for an order that adds liquidity to BZX Options in options classes in which a Member is a Market Maker registered pursuant to Exchange Rule 22.2. The Exchange no longer wishes to maintain these tiers, in part due to the increased opportunities for rebates for Market Maker orders, discussed more fully below. The Exchange therefore proposes to eliminate both tiers from the fee schedule.

Market Maker Penny Add Tiers

The Exchange currently offers three Market Maker Penny Pilot Add Volume Tiers ("MM Penny Add Tiers") under footnote 6, which provide an enhanced rebate between $0.33 and $0.42 per contract for qualifying Market Maker orders which add liquidity in Penny Pilot securities 4 and yield fee code PM. The Exchange now proposes to (i) modify the required criteria under MM Penny Add Tiers 1 and 2, (ii) adopt six new MM Penny Add Tiers and (iii) amend the rebate and required criteria for current MM Penny Add Tier 3 (to be renumbered to Tier 9). The Exchange believes the additional MM Penny Add Tiers will provide Members additional opportunities and alternative means to receive enhanced rebates for meeting the corresponding proposed criteria. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers, along with the existing tiers, also provide an incremental incentive for Members to strive for the highest tier levels, which provide increasingly higher enhanced rebates.

First the Exchange proposes to modify the existing criteria under MM Penny Add Tiers 1 and 2. Currently, MM Penny Add Tier 1 provides that a Member will receive an enhanced rebate of $0.33 per contract where the Member has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater or equal to 0.05% of average OCV. The Exchange proposes to


3 "ADAV" means average daily added volume calculated as the number of contracts added per day. See Exchange Fee Schedule.

4 "OCV" means the total equity and ETF options volume that clears in the Customer range at the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") for the month for which the fees apply, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption and on any day with a scheduled early market close. See Exchange Fee Schedule.

5 A Market Maker must be registered with BZX Options in an average of 20% or more of the associated options series in a class in order to qualify for QIP rebates for that class.

6 "Penny Pilot Securities" are those issuers quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.
increase the ADAV requirement to 0.10% of average OCV (instead of 0.05%). Under MM Penny Add Tier 2, Members receive an enhanced rebate of $0.40 per contract where a Member has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.15% of average OCV. The Exchange proposes to similarly increase the ADAV requirement under MM Penny Add Tier 2 to 0.20% of average OCV (instead of 0.15%). The proposed increases are designed to encourage entry of additional options orders to the Exchange.

Next, in connection with its proposal to adopt new MM Penny Add Tiers, the Exchange proposes to add language in its Definitions section defining “ADRV”. Specifically, ADRV shall mean average daily removed volume calculated as the number of shares removed per day.7 As discussed below, certain MM Penny Add Tiers will include an ADRV requirement as well. The Exchange notes that other exchanges maintain incentive tiers for orders that add liquidity that utilize criteria requiring Members to reach an ADRV threshold.

The Exchange proposes to add new MM Penny Add Tier 3, which would provide an enhanced rebate of $0.40 per contract where a Member (i) has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.15% OCV and (ii) has an ADRV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.15% OCV.

The Exchange also proposes to adopt new MM Penny Add Tier 3, which would provide an enhanced rebate of $0.40 per contract where a Member (i) has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.10% OCV and (ii) has an ADRV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.35% of average TCV.9 The Exchange proposes to create an add cross-asset tier which is designed to incentivize members to achieve certain levels of participation on both the Exchange’s options and equities platform (“BZX Options”). The Exchange notes that others Exchanges offer tiers with cross-asset criteria requirements.10

The Exchange also proposes to adopt new MM Penny Add Tier 5, which would provide an enhanced rebate of $0.41 per contract where a Member has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.30% OCV. The Exchange proposes to add new MM Penny Add Tier 6, which would provide an enhanced rebate of $0.41 per contract where a Member (i) has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.25% OCV and (ii) Member has an ADRV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.30% OCV.

The Exchange proposes to add new MM Penny Add Tier 7, which would provide an enhanced rebate of $0.42 per contract where a Member has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.50% OCV.

The Exchange proposes to add new MM Penny Add Tier 8, which would provide an enhanced rebate of $0.42 per contract where a Member (i) has an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.35% OCV and (ii) Member has an ADRV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 0.35% OCV.

Currently, under MM Penny Add Tier 3, a Member may receive an enhanced rebate of $0.42 where they have an ADAV in Market Maker orders greater than or equal to 1.30% of average OCV and (ii) an ADV11 of greater than or equal to 2.60% of average OCV. The Exchange first proposes to renumber Tier 3, to Tier 9 in light of the new proposed tiers (that offer lower rebates and have less stringent criteria). The Exchange also proposes increase the rebate provided under Tier 9 from $0.42 per contract to $0.46 per contract. The Exchange lastly proposes to ease Tier 9’s current criteria to encourage entry of additional orders to the Exchange.

Particularly, the Exchange proposes to reduce the required ADAV in Market Maker orders to 0.75% of OCV (instead of 1.30%). The Exchange also proposes to eliminate the second prong of the required criteria.

10 See e.g., Choe BZX U.S. Equities Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnote 1 and Choe EDGX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnote 4.

11 “ADV” means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day. See Exchange Fee Schedule.

Market Maker Non-Penny Add Tiers

The Exchange currently offers two Market Maker Non-Penny Pilot Add Volume Tiers (“MM Non-Penny Add Tiers”) under footnote 6, which provide an enhanced rebate of $0.43 and $0.52 per contract for qualifying Market Maker orders which add liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot securities12 and yield fee code NM. The Exchange proposes to increase the enhanced rebates in the MM Non-Penny Add Tiers. Specifically, the exchange proposes to (i) increase the rebate under MM Non-Penny Add Tier 1 from $0.43 per contract to $0.45 per contract and (ii) increase the rebate under MM Non-Penny Add Tier 3 from $0.52 per contract to $0.54 per contract.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6 of the Act,13 in general, and Section 6(b)(4),14 in particular, as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes the proposed modifications to the NBBO Settier Tier 3 and MM Penny Add Tier 3 (renumbered to Tier 9) decreasing the ADAV as a percentage of OCV criteria that a Member must meet, along with eliminating the second prong of MM Penny Add Tier 3, is a reasonable means to further incentive Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. Particularly, the Exchange believes that decreasing the tiers’ criteria, although modestly, will encourage those Members who could not achieve the tiers previously to increase their order flow as a means to receive the tiers’ additional rebate and enhanced rebate, respectively. The Exchange similarly believes increasing the rebate under MM Penny Add Tier 3 (renumbered to Tier 9) is reasonable because Members would receive a higher rebate for satisfying the required criteria and it is a means to further incentivize Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. Furthermore, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes to both tiers are non-discriminatory because they apply, and are available, to all Members.

The Exchange believes eliminating the QIP Tiers is reasonable because the Exchange is not required to maintain these tiers and Members still have a number of other opportunities and a

7 Like ADAV (which means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added to the Exchange) and ADV (which means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day). ADRV will be calculated on a monthly basis. Additionally, as with ADAV and ADV, the Exchange will exclude from its calculation of ADRV shares added, removed, or routed on any day that the Exchange’s system experiences a disruption that lasts for more than 60 minutes during Regular Trading Hours, on any day with a scheduled early market close, and on the last Friday in June. A member will be able to aggregate ADRV, ADAV and ADV) with other Members that control, are controlled by, or are under common control with such Member.

8 See e.g., Choe EDGA U.S. Equities Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnote 1.

9 "TCV" means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply. See Choe BZX U.S. Equities Exchange Fee Schedule, Definitions.

10 See e.g., Choe BZX U.S. Equities Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnote 1 and Choe EDGX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnote 4.

11 "ADV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day. See Exchange Fee Schedule.

12 “Penny Pilot Securities” are those issues quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.


variety of ways to receive enhanced rebates, including the existing and new MM Penny and Non-Penny Add Tiers, as discussed throughout this filing. The Exchange believes the proposal to eliminate these tiers is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it applies to all Members.

The Exchange believes the proposed changes to the criteria under MM Penny Add Tiers 1 and 2 are reasonable because the proposed changes are modest and are designed to encourage entry of additional options orders to the Exchange. Increased liquidity benefits all investors by deepening the Exchange’s liquidity pool, offering additional flexibility for all investors to enjoy cost savings, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency and improving investor protection. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed criteria is commensurate with the rebates under the Tiers. The Exchange believes the proposed changes are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply equally to all Members.

The Exchange believes the proposal to adopt new MM Penny Add Tiers is reasonable because it provides Members additional opportunities and alternatives to receive enhanced rebates. The Exchange believes the proposed changes also provide an incremental incentive for Members to strive for the highest tier level, which provides increasingly higher rebates. The Exchange additionally notes that volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by exchanges and are equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to (i) the value of an exchange’s market quality; (ii) associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns; and (iii) introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery processes. The proposed required criteria of the Volume Tiers are intended to incentivize Members to send additional orders to the Exchange in an effort to qualify for the enhanced rebate made available by the respective tiers. The Exchange also notes that increased volume on the Exchange provides greater trading opportunities for all market participants. The Exchange also believes the proposed required criteria under the proposed tiers are commensurate with the proposed corresponding rebates. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members.

The Exchange lastly believes increasing the rebates under the MM Non-Penny Tiers are reasonable because Members would receive a higher rebate for satisfying the required criteria. The Exchange believes increased rebates will further incentivize Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rebates are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members that satisfy the respective tiers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its Fee Schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed changes for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants. While different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market Makers have quoting obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market Makers have quoting obligations that other market participants do not have. Further, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange. The Exchange also notes that increased volume on the Exchange provides greater trading opportunities for all market participants. The Exchange also believes the proposed required criteria under the proposed tiers are commensurate with the proposed corresponding rebates. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members.

The Exchange lastly believes increasing the rebates under the MM Non-Penny Tiers are reasonable because Members would receive a higher rebate for satisfying the required criteria. The Exchange believes increased rebates will further incentivize Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rebates are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members that satisfy the respective tiers.

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its Fee Schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed changes for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants. While different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market Makers have quoting obligations that other market participants do not have. Further, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange. The Exchange also notes that increased volume on the Exchange provides greater trading opportunities for all market participants. The Exchange also believes the proposed required criteria under the proposed tiers are commensurate with the proposed corresponding rebates. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members.

The Exchange lastly believes increasing the rebates under the MM Non-Penny Tiers are reasonable because Members would receive a higher rebate for satisfying the required criteria. The Exchange believes increased rebates will further incentivize Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rebates are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members that satisfy the respective tiers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its Fee Schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed changes for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants. While different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market Makers have quoting obligations that other market participants do not have. Further, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange. The Exchange also notes that increased volume on the Exchange provides greater trading opportunities for all market participants. The Exchange also believes the proposed required criteria under the proposed tiers are commensurate with the proposed corresponding rebates. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members.

The Exchange lastly believes increasing the rebates under the MM Non-Penny Tiers are reasonable because Members would receive a higher rebate for satisfying the required criteria. The Exchange believes increased rebates will further incentivize Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rebates are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members that satisfy the respective tiers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its Fee Schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed changes for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants. While different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market Makers have quoting obligations that other market participants do not have. Further, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange. The Exchange also notes that increased volume on the Exchange provides greater trading opportunities for all market participants. The Exchange also believes the proposed required criteria under the proposed tiers are commensurate with the proposed corresponding rebates. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members.

The Exchange lastly believes increasing the rebates under the MM Non-Penny Tiers are reasonable because Members would receive a higher rebate for satisfying the required criteria. The Exchange believes increased rebates will further incentivize Members to send a higher level of orders to the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed rebates are also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they apply to all Members that satisfy the respective tiers.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any written comments from members or other interested parties.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.17

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Deputy Secretary.


For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.17

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Deputy Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–482, OMB Control No. 3235–0540]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Please direct your written comments to: Charles Riddle, Acting Director/Chief Information Office, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Candace Kenner, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA-Mailbox@sec.gov.

A single EBS request has a unique number assigned to each request (e.g., “0900001”). However, the number of broker-dealer responses generated from one EBS request can range from one to several thousand. EBS requests are sent directly to clearing firms, as the clearing firm is the repository for trading data for securities transactions information provided by it and correspondent firms. Clearing brokers respond for themselves and other firms they clear for. There were 528,551 responses during the 25 month period for an average of 21,142 responses per month or an average of 528,551 annual responses.1

The Commission estimates that it sends approximately 13,493 electronic blue sheet requests per year to clearing broker-dealers that in turn submit an average 528,551 responses.1 It is estimated that each broker-dealer that responds electronically will take 8 minutes, and each broker-dealer that responds manually will take 1½ hours to prepare and submit the securities trading data requested by the Commission. The annual aggregate hour burden for electronic and manual response firms is estimated to be 34,577 (253,705 × 8 ÷ 60 = 33,827 hours) + (500 × 1.5 = 750 hours), respectively.2

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Please direct your written comments to: Charles Riddle, Acting Director/Chief Information Office, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Candace Kenner, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA-Mailbox@sec.gov.

1 A single EBS request has a unique number assigned to each request (e.g., “0900001”). However, the number of broker-dealer responses generated from one EBS request can range from one to several thousand. EBS requests are sent directly to clearing firms, as the clearing firm is the repository for trading data for securities transactions information provided by it and correspondent firms. Clearing brokers respond for themselves and other firms they clear for. There were 528,551 responses during the 25 month period for an average of 21,142 responses per month or an average of 528,705 annual responses.1

2 Few respondents submit manual EBS responses. The small percentage of respondents that submit manual responses do so by hand, via email, spreadsheet, disk, or other electronic media. Thus, the number of manual submissions (approximately 500 per year) has minimal effect on the total annual burden hours.

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Disaster Declaration # 15700; Oregon Disaster Number OR–00092 Declaration of Economic Injury]

Administrative Declaration of an Economic Injury Disaster for the State of Oregon

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Amendment 1.

SUMMARY: This is an amendment of the Economic Injury Disaster Loan (EIDL) declaration for the State of Oregon, dated 09/27/2018.

Incident: Wildfires.

Incident Period: 07/15/2018 through 11/03/2018.

DATES: Issued on 05/13/2019.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan Application Deadline Date: 06/27/2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan applications to: U.S. Small Business Administration, Processing and Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of the Administrator’s Economic Injury disaster declaration for the State of Oregon, dated 09/27/2018, is hereby amended to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning 07/15/2018 and continuing through 11/03/2018.

All other information in the original declaration remains unchanged.

Cataolog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59008)

Christopher M. Pilkerton,
Acting Administrator.

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Surrender of License of Small Business Investment Company

Pursuant to the authority granted to the United States Small Business Administration under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as