including, but not limited to, being posted on the RFPB’s website.


Aaron T. Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2019–10152 Filed 5–15–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Doc No.: ED–2019–ICCD–0066]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; Higher Education Act (HEA) Title II Report Cards on State Teacher Credentialing and Preparation

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education (OPE), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, ED is proposing a revision of an existing information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 15, 2019.

ADDRESSES: To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use http://www.regulations.gov by searching the Docket ID number ED–2019–ICCD–0066. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. If the regulations.gov site is not available to the public for any reason, ED will temporarily accept comments at ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please include the Docket ID number and the title of the information collection request when requesting documents or submitting comments. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 550 12th Street SW, PCP, Room 9066, Washington, DC 20202–0023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Freddie Cross, 202–453–7224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Higher Education Act (HEA) Title II Report Cards on State Teacher Credentialing and Preparation.

OMB Control Number: 1840–0744.

Type of Review: A revision of an existing information collection.

Response to: Federal Government; State, Local, and Tribal Governments; Private Sector.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 1,794.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 267,588.

Abstract: This request is to approve a revision of the state report card and re-approval institution and program report cards required by the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended in 2008 by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA), States must report annually on criteria and assessments required for initial teacher credentials using a State Report Card (SRC), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) with teacher preparation programs (TPP), and TPPs outside of IHEs, must report on key program elements on an Institution and Program Report Card (IPRC). IHEs and TPPs outside of IHEs report annually to states on program elements, including program numbers, type, enrollment figures, demographics, completion and assurances to the state. States, in turn, must report on TPP elements to the Secretary of Education in addition to information on assessment pass rates, state standards, initial credential types and requirements, numbers of credentials issued, TPP classification as at-risk or low-performing. The information from states, institutions, and programs is published annually in The Secretary’s Report to Congress on Teacher Quality.

Dated: May 19, 2019.

Kate Mullan,
PRA Coordinator, Information Collection Clearance Program, Information Management Branch, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019–10104 Filed 5–15–19; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Pesticides; Draft Revised Method for National Level Endangered Species Risk Assessment Process for Biological Evaluations of Pesticides; Notice of Availability and Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is seeking comment on a draft revised method for conducting national level threatened and endangered (listed) species biological evaluations (BEs) for pesticides. EPA is also announcing a public meeting on June 10, 2019, where EPA will present the draft revised method and provide an additional opportunity for the public to provide feedback.

DATES: Meeting: The public meeting will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon, Eastern Standard Time, on June 10, 2019, and you must register to participate in the meeting using the instructions in Unit III. on or before May 30, 2019.

Accommodations requests: To request accommodation of a disability, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Comments: Comments must be received on or before July 1, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Meeting: The meeting will be held in the Lobby-level Conference Center of EPA’s Potomac Yard South Bldg. (One Potomac Yard), 2777 South Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202–4501. EPA’s Potomac Yard South Bldg. is approximately 1 mile from the Crystal
City Metro Station. Register to participate in the meeting using the instructions in Unit III.

Comments: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2019–0185, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001.
- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at [http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html](http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html).

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about docket generally, is available at [http://www.epa.gov/dockets](http://www.epa.gov/dockets).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tracy Perry, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–0128; email address: perry.tracy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This is directed to the public in general and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides and/or the potential impacts of pesticide use on listed species and designated critical habitat. Given the broad interest, the Agency has not attempted to identify or describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD–ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for preparing your comments. When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at [http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html](http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html).

C. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

A copy of the EPA Draft Revised Method for National Level Endangered Species Risk Assessment Process for Biological Evaluations of Pesticides is available in the docket. The docket, identified by docket ID number EPA–HQ–OPP–2019–0185, is available at [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at [http://www.epa.gov/dockets](http://www.epa.gov/dockets).

II. What action is the agency taking?

A. Authority

The Endangered Species Act (ESA), 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq., requires federal agencies, such as EPA, to ensure that their actions are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of species listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA or destroy or adversely modify the designated critical habitat of such species. The registration of a pesticide under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq., constitutes an EPA “action” under the ESA. If EPA determines a pesticide may affect a listed species or its designated critical habitat, EPA must initiate consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (collectively referred to as the Services), as appropriate. EPA initiates formal consultation with the Services through the conduct and transmittal of a biological evaluation (BE) with its findings.

B. Background

On January 18, 2017, EPA released its first nationwide BEs for pesticides conducted using a pilot interagency method developed by EPA and the Services, with collaboration from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). The pilot method was developed following the recommendations of the April 2013 National Academy of Sciences’ (NAS) report, Assessing Risks to Endangered and Threatened Species from Pesticides. When developing the pilot process, EPA and the Services intended to revisit and refine the method to address limitations identified through evaluation of the pilot chemicals (i.e., chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion). A full description of the pilot method and the NAS report recommendations are available at: [https://www.epa.gov/endangered-species/implementing-nas-report-recommendations-ecological-risk-assessment-endangered-and](https://www.epa.gov/endangered-species/implementing-nas-report-recommendations-ecological-risk-assessment-endangered-and).

EPA believes that the pilot method had the following major limitations: (1) The method did not meaningfully distinguish species that are likely to be exposed to and affected by the assessed pesticides from those that are not likely; (2) The level of effort was too high for EPA to sustain for all pesticides; and (3) The amount of documentation produced was too great for the public to review and comment upon in a reasonable timeframe. Consistent with the pilot process, EPA has developed and is proposing a revised method for the nationwide evaluation of pesticide risks to listed species that is based on the experience gained through the pilot BEs and on information received in public comments on the draft pilot BEs and through several stakeholder meetings. EPA’s draft revised method, which continues to follow NAS’s recommendations, is designed to be: (1) Efficient, relying upon automation as much as possible; (2) Protective without being overly conservative; (3) Transparent; and (4) Scientifically defensible, relying on the best available data.

C. Summary of Major Aspects of Draft Revised Method

The following is a summary of the major aspects for which the EPA is seeking comment on the draft revised method for assessing risk to listed species.

First, to more accurately represent where and to what extent a pesticide is likely to be applied, EPA is proposing an approach for incorporating pesticide-
specific usage data into the listed species consultation process. The pilot BEs relied on use assumptions from pesticide product labels to represent where the pilot chemicals were likely to be applied (e.g., applied to all labelled crops at maximum application rates simultaneously). The revised method proposes to incorporate usage data (e.g., survey data, including actual application rates) in the determination of where a pesticide is likely to be applied.

Second, based on the accuracy of the spatial data utilized and the conservative assumptions related to the action area and potential drift, EPA is interpreting a <1% overlap of listed species’ ranges with potential use sites as unreliable and not representative of real exposure potential.

Third, EPA’s revised method proposes the use of probabilistic methods to determine the likelihood of a species to be adversely affected by a pesticide. The goal of the probabilistic analysis is to more fully capture and characterize the variability in the range of potential exposures and toxicological effects to listed species and to better inform the biological opinion.

The fourth major area of revision is to apply a weight-of-evidence framework to distinguish those listed species that are likely to be adversely affected (LAA) from those that are not likely to be adversely affected (NLA), based on criteria (e.g., dietary preferences, migration patterns, extent of range potentially exposed) associated with the likelihood that an individual will be exposed and affected.

D. Public Comments Sought

EPA is seeking comment on the draft revised method for assessing risk to listed species, and is specifically interested in comments regarding the degree to which the following aspects of its draft revised method are reasonable and represent advances in the pilot methodologies for assessing risk to listed species: (1) The proposed methodology for incorporating usage data in Step 1 and 2 of the BE; (2) The proposed interpretation that a <1% overlap of listed species’ ranges with potential use sites is unreliable, based on the accuracy of the underlying data, and does not represent real-world exposure; (3) The proposed approach for introducing components of probabilistic analyses into the BE; and (4) The proposed weight-of-evidence framework.

III. Public Meeting

EPA will host a public meeting, along with representatives from the Services and USDA, to present the draft revised method and to provide an additional opportunity for the public to provide feedback. This meeting is an opportunity for stakeholders and agencies to continue their dialogue on the technical aspects of implementing the NAS recommendations, building on public meetings held in November 2013, April and October 2014, April 2015, and June 2016, and furthers the agencies’ goal of developing a sustainable methodology and process for assessing pesticide impacts on listed species that is efficient, inclusive, and transparent.

Date: The meeting will be held on Monday, June 10, 2019 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon, E.S.T. Additional meeting details, including an agenda, teleconference and webinar information, will be available shortly in the docket.

Accommodations requests: To request accommodation of a disability, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting to give EPA as much time as possible to accommodate your request.

Requests to participate: You must register by Thursday, May 30, 2019 to attend either in person or via teleconference/webinar. Public comments may be made during the oral comment session of the meeting. Requests to participate in the meeting and to make oral comments must be submitted to the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.


Alexandra Dapolito Dunn,
Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention. [FR Doc. 2019–10177 Filed 5–15–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Revision of Currently Approved Collection

AGENCY: Federal Maritime Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Federal Maritime Commission (FMC or Commission) invites comments on a revision to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR) to collect information for requests for dispute resolution services submitted to its Office of Consumer Affairs and Dispute Resolution Services (CADRS).

DATES: Comments must be received by July 15, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by the following methods. Please reference the information collection’s title and OMB number in your comments.

Email: omd@fmc.gov. Comments should be attached to the email as a Microsoft Word or text-searchable PDF document. Only non-confidential and public versions of confidential comments should be submitted by email.

Mail: Karen V. Gregory, Managing Director, Office of the Managing Director, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20573.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and draft instruments, email omd@fmc.gov or call Donna Lee at (202) 523–5800. When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the title of the information collection for reference. Comments submitted in response to this Notice will be included or summarized in the ICR to OMB. All comments are part of the public record and subject to disclosure.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Request for Dispute Resolution Service—Cruise.

OMB Control Number: 3072–0072.

Type of Review: Information Collection Revision.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Respondents/Affected Public: Companies or individuals seeking ombuds or mediation assistance from the Federal Maritime Commission’s Office of Consumer Affairs and Dispute Resolution Services.

Estimated Total Number of Potential Annual Responses: 500.

Estimated Total Number of Responses From Each Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours per Response: 20 minutes.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 167.

Abstract: This is a revision to the currently-approved FMC Form-32 (Request for Dispute Resolution Service—Cruise). When requested by the public and the regulated industry, the FMC, through CADRS, provides ombuds and mediation services to assist parties in resolving passenger vessel (cruise) disputes without resorting to litigation or administrative adjudication. These functions focus on addressing issues that members of the regulated industry and the public may encounter...