

would add three finished products and four foreign status materials/components to the scope of authority. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), additional FTZ authority would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials/components and specific finished products described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt Merck from customs duty payments on the foreign-status materials/components used in export production. On its domestic sales, for the foreign-status materials/components noted below and in the existing scope of authority, Merck would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to Primaxin IV (Imipenem, Cilastatin) injectable for infusion, Invanz (Ertapenem), and Primaxin+ (Imipenem, Cilastatin, Relebactam) (duty-free). Merck would be able to avoid duty on foreign-status components which become scrap/waste. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign-status production equipment.

The materials/components sourced from abroad include Imipenem, Ertapenem, Relebactam, and Cilastatin (duty rate ranges from duty-free to 6.5%). The request indicates that certain materials/components are subject to special duties under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (Section 232), depending on the country of origin. The applicable Section 232 decisions require subject merchandise to be admitted to FTZs in privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: ftz@trade.gov. The closing period for their receipt is June 24, 2019.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Juanita Chen at juanita.chen@trade.gov or 202-482-1378.

Dated: May 9, 2019.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-09909 Filed 5-13-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Industry and Security.

Title: Offsets in Military Exports.

Form Number(s): N/A.

OMB Control Number: 0694-0084.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 360 hours.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 30.

Estimated Time per Response: 12 hours.

Needs and Uses: This collection of information is required by the Defense Production Act (DPA). The DPA requires U.S. firms to furnish information to the Department of Commerce regarding offset agreements exceeding \$5,000,000 in value associated with sales of weapon systems or defense-related items to foreign countries or foreign firms. Offsets are industrial or commercial compensation practices required as a condition of purchase in either government-to-government or commercial sales of defense articles and/or defense services as defined by the Arms Export Control Act and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations. Such offsets are required by most major trading partners when purchasing U.S. military equipment or defense related items.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

This information collection request may be viewed at reginfo.gov <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/>. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov or fax to (202) 395-5806.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2019-09892 Filed 5-13-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind the Review, in Part; Calendar Year 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that exporters/producers of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017.

DATES: Applicable May 14, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff or Jolanta Lawska, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1009 and (202) 482-8362, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 7, 1986, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the countervailing duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Turkey.¹ On May 2, 2018, Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the *Order* covering 25 companies.² On November 15, 2018, Commerce extended the due date of the preliminary results of this administrative review until March 29, 2019.³ On January 28, 2019, Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the partial federal government closure from December 22, 2018, through the resumption of operations on January 29, 2019.⁴ As a

¹ See *Countervailing Duty Order; Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey*, 51 FR 7984 (March 7, 1986) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR, 19215, (May 2, 2018) (*Initiation*).

³ See Memorandum, "Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," dated November 15, 2018.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government,"

Continued

result, the revised deadline for the preliminary results in this review was extended to May 8, 2019.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁵ A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included at the Appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is circular welded carbon steel

pipes and tubes from Turkey. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that confers a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁶ For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Intent To Rescind Administrative Review, in Part

Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan) timely filed a no shipments certification.⁷ Because no evidence on the record contradicts this certification, we preliminarily intend to rescind this administrative review with regard to Erbosan, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). A final decision on whether to rescind the review with

respect to Erbosan will be made in the final results of this review.

Additionally, on June 1, 2018, Borusan submitted a letter to Commerce timely certifying that Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Borusan Istikbal), Borusan Birlesik Boru Fabrikalair San ve Tic., Borusan Gemlik Boru Tesisleri A.S., Borusan Ithicat ve Dagitim A.S., Borusan Ihacat Ithalat ve Dagitim A.S., and Tubeco Pipe and Steel Corporation had no entries, exports, or sales of subject merchandise during the POR.⁸ A final decision on whether to rescind the review with respect to these aforementioned companies for which a review was requested in connection with Borusan will be made in the final results of this review.⁹

Preliminary Results of the Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we calculated individual subsidy rates for the Borusan Companies and the Toscelik Companies. For the period January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, we preliminarily determine that the following net subsidy rates for the producers/exporters under review to be as follows:

Company	Subsidy rate ad valorem percent
Borusan Holding A.S., Borusan Mannesmann Yatirim Holding, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan), and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Istikbal) (collectively, the Borusan Companies)	0.92
Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S. (Toscelik Profil), Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S. (TDT), Tosyali Holding, Toscelik Toyo Celik (Toscelik Toyo), Tosyali Filmasin ve Insaat Demir (Tosyali Filmasin), Toscelik Spiral Boru (Toscelik Spiral), Tosyali Demir Celik San A.S. (TDC), Toscelik Granul San A.S. (Toselik Granul), and Tosyali Celik Ticaret A.S. (TCT) (collectively, the Toscelik Companies)	1.53
Cagil Makina Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	1.23
Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	1.23
Cimtas Boru Imalatlari ve Ticaret Sirketi	1.23
Eksen Makina	1.23
Guner Eksport	1.23
Guyen Steel Pipe (also known as Guven Celik Born San. Ve Tic. Ltd.)	1.23
MTS Lojistik ve Tasimacilik Hizmetleri TIC A.S. Istanbul	1.23
Net Boru Sanayi ve Dis Ticaret Koll. Sti	1.23
Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S	1.23
Umran Celik Born Sanayii A.S., also known as Umran Steel Pipe Inc	1.23
Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S	1.23
Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S	1.23

Assessment Rates

Consistent with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), upon

dated January 28, 2019. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding affected by the partial federal government closure have been extended by 40 days.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 2017: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate

⁶ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁷ See letter from Erbosan "No Shipment Certification of Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan) in the 2017 Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order Involving Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe from Turkey," dated May 14, 2018.

entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

⁸ See Letter from Borusan, "Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey. Case No. C-489-502: No Shipment Letter," dated June 1, 2018.

⁹ Because we have found Borusan Istikbal to be cross-owned with Borusan during the instant POR, we are assigning Borusan's rate to Borusan Istikbal, and thus, we do not intend to rescind the review with respect to Borusan Istikbal.

For the companies for which this review is rescinded, Commerce will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, upon issuance of the final results, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties for each of the companies listed above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, except, where the rate calculated in the final results is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.¹⁰ Interested parties may submit written arguments (case briefs) within 30 days of publication of the preliminary results and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing the case briefs.¹¹ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs may respond only to issues raised in the case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹²

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹³ Requests should contain the party's name,

address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues addressed during the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs.¹⁴ If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing, which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.¹⁵ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Parties are reminded that briefs and hearing requests are to be filed electronically using ACCESS and that electronically filed documents must be received successfully in their entirety by 5:00PM Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after issuance of these preliminary results.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 8, 2019.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Review
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VI. Intent to Rescind the Administrative Review, In Part
- VII. Non-Selected Rate
- VIII. Analysis of Programs
- IX. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2019-09935 Filed 5-13-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) has conducted a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring (MLWF) from the People's Republic of China (China). We have determined that Huzhou Muyun Wood Co., Ltd., (Muyun) has failed to demonstrate its qualification for a separate rate and is, therefore, subject to the China-wide entity rate, which is not under review in this period. The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015.

DATES: Applicable May 14, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aleksandras Nakutis, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 29, 2015, Commerce initiated this NSR for Muyun in order to determine whether imports into the United States of multilayered wood flooring from China are being sold below normal value.¹ On October 26, 2016, Commerce published the final rescission of Muyun's NSR, due to the determination that Muyun's sale was non-*bona fide*.² On December 11, 2017, the Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded Commerce's determination, holding that the conclusion that Muyun's sale was non-*bona fide* was not supported by substantial evidence.³ On March 6, 2018, Commerce released its final results of redetermination pursuant to court order, continuing to find that

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Rescission of 2014-2015 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews; 2014-2015* 80 FR 45192 (July 29, 2015).

² See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 74393 (October 26, 2016).

³ See *Huzhou Muyun Wood Co., Ltd. v. United States*, Court No. 16-00245, Slip Op. 17-162 (December 11, 2017).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d)(1).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and 351.309(d)(2).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.310.