

*Management, et al.*, Case No. 11–06174 and Case No. 13–1749 (N.D. Cal.). The BLM’s proposed plan identifies implementation-level decisions for these 14 issued and prospective leases. For each of the 14 leases, the implementation decision will determine whether the leases would be issued and identify stipulations necessary for resource protection. These implementation-level decisions are subject to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals after the signing of a Record of Decision for this project.

Public comments on the draft RMP amendment and Draft EIS received from the public and internal BLM review in 2017 were considered and incorporated, as appropriate into the proposed plan. As a result of comments, the BLM developed and analyzed Alternative F to be consistent with the BLM’s land use planning and energy development policies. Public comments resulted in the addition of clarifying text in the Final EIS.

Instructions for filing a protest with the Director of the BLM regarding the proposed RMP amendment and Final EIS may be found in the “Dear Reader” letter of the Central Coast Field Office proposed RMP amendment and Final EIS and at 43 CFR 1610.5–2. All protests must be in writing and mailed to the appropriate address, as set forth in the **ADDRESSES** section earlier or submitted electronically through the BLM ePlanning project website as described earlier. Protests submitted electronically by any means other than the ePlanning project website protest section will be invalid unless a protest is also submitted in hard copy. Protests submitted by fax will also be invalid unless also submitted either through ePlanning project website protest section or in hard copy.

Before including your phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire protest—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your protest to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2, 43 CFR 1610.5)

**Danielle Chi,**

*Deputy State Director, Fire and Resources.*

[FR Doc. 2019–09599 Filed 5–9–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–40–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–19–017]

### Cancellation Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ORIGINAL TIME AND DATE:** May 9, 2019 at 9:30 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

In accordance with 19 CFR 201.37(a), the Commission hereby gives notice that the Commission has determined to cancel the meeting scheduled for May 9, 2019 at 9:30 a.m.

Earlier notification of this cancellation was not possible.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 8, 2019.

**William Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019–09836 Filed 5–8–19; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–990 (Third Review)]

### Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on non-malleable cast iron pipe fittings from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** April 12, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Junie Joseph (205–202–3363), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On April 12, 2019, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (84 FR 14, January 2, 2019) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).<sup>2</sup>

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Staff report.**—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 15, 2019, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

**Written submissions.**—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before May 21, 2019 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

<sup>2</sup> Commissioners Johanson and Broadbent voted to conduct full reviews.

<sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by Anvil International, LLC and Ward Manufacturing LLC to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by May 21, 2019. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission’s website at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Determination.**—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 7, 2019.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2019–09672 Filed 5–9–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Mobile Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on April 26, 2019, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Open Mobile Alliance (“OMA”) filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the

Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Advantech B&B Smartworx, Oranmore, IRELAND; American Innovations, Austin, TX; Carota Corporation, Shanghai, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; IOTECC, Uoblenz, GERMANY; Polaris Wireless, Mountain View, CA; RETHING IoT Technologies PC, Chalandri, GREECE; and Traxens, Marseille, FRANCE, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Centero, LLC, Marietta, GA; China Mobile Communications Corporation, Beijing, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; ControlBEAM Digital Automation, Ontario, CANADA; Eaton Corporation, Cleveland, OH; GreenWave Systems, Inc., Irvine, CA; HaoLianShiDai (Beijing) Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; KDDI Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Motorola Solutions, Inc., Schaumburg, IL; Runtime, Redwood City, CA; Silicon Labs, Inc., Montreal, CANADA; STMicroelectronics, Plan-les-Quates, Geneva, SWITZERLAND; and Vodafone Group Services GmbH, Newberry, UNITED KINGDOM, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

The following members have changed their names: NewNet Communication Technologies, Inc. to SigMast Communications, Bedford, CANADA; and Softbank Mobile Corp. to Softbank Corp., Tokyo, JAPAN.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OMA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 18, 1998, OMA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 31, 1998 (63 FR 72333).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 2, 2018. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 5, 2018 (83 FR 26092).

**Suzanne Morris,**

*Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2019–09623 Filed 5–9–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On April 29, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii in the lawsuit entitled *United States and State of Hawaii Department of Health v. Waste Management Hawaii, Inc. and City and County of Honolulu*, Civil Action No. 19–cv–00224.

The United States and the State of Hawaii Department of Health filed this lawsuit under the Clean Water Act and Hawaii State law. The complaint seeks penalties and injunctive relief for discharges of pollutants, including contaminated storm water and solid waste, from the Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill located in Oahu, Hawaii. The landfill is operated by defendant Waste Management of Hawaii, Inc., and owned by defendant the City and County of Honolulu. The proposed Consent Decree requires the Defendants to perform injunctive relief to improve storm water management and address effluent limit violations at the landfill. The proposed Consent Decree also requires payment of civil penalties to the United States of \$150,000 by Waste Management of Hawaii, Inc., and \$62,500 by the City and County of Honolulu. The proposed Consent Decree further requires payments to the Hawaii State Department of Land and Natural Resources of \$150,000 by Waste Management of Hawaii, Inc., and \$62,500 by the City and County of Honolulu, with these funds to be used for research and restoration of coral and coral habitat.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and State of Hawaii Department of Health v. Waste Management Hawaii, Inc. and City and County of Honolulu*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–10729. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

| <i>To submit comments:</i> | <i>Send them to:</i>   |
|----------------------------|--|
| By email .....             | <a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a> |