

physicist, a health physicist, an authorized nuclear pharmacist, and other physicians that manage patient care. The T&E for the radiopharmaceutical team approach would be performance-based: Licensees would develop policies and procedures to address how their teams would meet the requirements in 10 CFR 35.41 and 10 CFR part 20.

## 2. Team AUs With Authorized Administrators

Licensees would need both an AU and an authorized administrator (AA) to administer radiopharmaceuticals under 10 CFR 35.300. AAs would be individuals authorized by the licensee to administer radiopharmaceuticals in accordance with the written directive (e.g., a nuclear medicine technologist or a nuclear medicine advanced associate). The T&E for AUs would be performance-based and focus on the licensee's policies and procedures for written directives, reporting medical events, and patient release criteria. Because AAs would be physically administering radiopharmaceuticals, AAs would be required to have training on radiation safety, written directives, preparation and administration protocols (or vendor training, if available), patient release criteria, and medical event reporting.

## 3. Partner Limited-Trained AUs With Authorized Nuclear Pharmacists

The T&E for AUs would be at least 400 hours, however, the AU would be required to physically partner with an authorized nuclear pharmacist (ANP) for all administrations of radiopharmaceuticals. Unlike the approaches in Sections D.1 and D.2 above, prescriptive T&E would be required for the AU in this approach due to the AU's more prominent role in the administration of radiopharmaceuticals. The minimum of 400 hours of T&E for the physician partnering with an ANP would be focused on supervised work experience and patient cases, and preceptor attestation would be required. The AU would be responsible for administration of radiopharmaceuticals in accordance with the written directive, and the ANP would be responsible for radiation safety-related duties.

- *Question 9:* How should the radiation safety responsibilities be divided between the AU and ANP?

## IV. Additional Questions for Consideration

The NRC is requesting input on the following questions as they relate to the draft approaches discussed above.

- *Question 10:* What are the advantages and disadvantages of the draft approaches?
- *Question 11:* Are there significant costs or benefits associated with any of the approaches?
- *Question 12:* Would any of the draft approaches impact patient access to radiopharmaceuticals or address stakeholder concerns of overly burdensome (regulatory) requirements?
- *Question 13:* For the draft approaches that consider tailored hours of T&E, what are the appropriate numbers of hours and what radiation safety topics should comprise the limited T&E?
- *Question 14:* Should the NRC consider inclusion of a formal radiation safety competency assessment and periodic reassessments for any of the draft approaches above? If so, who should establish and administer these assessments?
- *Question 15:* How would the draft approaches impact the medical organizations that use the NRC's T&E requirements as a basis for establishing their training programs?
- *Question 16:* Are there concerns regarding implementation and/or viability for any of the approaches discussed above?
- *Question 17:* Are there any unintended consequences of the draft approaches?
- *Question 18:* Which of the draft approaches best positions the NRC to effectively regulate future radiopharmaceuticals?
- *Question 19:* Should the NRC continue to play a role in the review and approval of AUs?

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of April 2019.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Andrea L. Kock,**

*Director, Division of Materials Safety, Security, State, and Tribal Programs, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2019-08996 Filed 5-1-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2019-144]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* May 3, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

#### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.301.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

## II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*.: CP2019–144; *Filing Title*: Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: April 25, 2019; *Filing Authority*: 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: May 3, 2019.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**Ruth Ann Abrams,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2019–08872 Filed 5–1–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

---

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[**Docket No. CP2019–145**]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission’s consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* May 6, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or

removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.301.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

## II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*.: CP2019–145; *Filing Title*: Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 1E Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: April 26, 2019; *Filing Authority*: 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: May 6, 2019.

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2019–08981 Filed 5–1–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

---

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[**Release No. 34–85727; File No. SR–CBOE–2019–025**]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Chapter 17 of the Cboe Options Rules

April 26, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 17, 2019, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe Options” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act <sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>4</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) proposes to amend Chapter 17 of the Cboe Options Rules. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).