FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, regarding the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact: James Chancey, National Firearms Act Division either by mail at 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25405, by email at nfaombcomments@atf.gov, or by telephone at 304–616–4594.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. Type of Information Collection (check justification or form 83): Revision of a currently approved collection.
2. The Title of the Form/Collection: Application to Make and Register a Firearm.
3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Form number (if applicable): ATF Form 1 (5320.1).
   Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.
4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Individuals or households, Business or other for-profit, Federal Government and State, Local or Tribal Government.
Other (if applicable): Farms and Not-for-profit.

Abstract: The ATF Form 1 (5320.1) is required obtain permission to make and register a National Firearms Act (NFA) firearm. Possession of an unregistered NFA firearm is illegal. The approval of the application effectuates the registration of the firearm to the applicant. For any person other than a government agency, the making incurs a tax of $200.
5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: An estimated 25,716 respondents will utilize the form one (1) time, and it will take each respondent approximately 239.9 minutes to complete their responses.
6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 102, 808 hours, which is equal to 25,716 (# of respondents) * 3.99783 hours (239.9 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 19, 2019.

Melody Braswell,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.
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BILLING CODE 4410–FY–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0107]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection; National Firearms Act (NFA) Responsible Person Questionnaire—ATF Form 5320.23

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection OMB 1140–0107 (National Firearms Act (NFA) Responsible Person Questionnaire—ATF Form 5320.23), is being revised to include additional questions and updates to the instructions including definition of new terminology.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until June 24, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, regarding the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact: James Chancey, National Firearms Act Division either by mail at 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25405, by email at James.Chancey@atf.gov, or by telephone at 304–616–4594.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. Type of Information Collection (check justification or form 83): Revision of a currently approved collection.
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. The Walt Disney Company, et al.; Response to Public Comment

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)–(h), that one comment was received concerning the proposed Final Judgment in this case, and that comment together with the Response of the United States to Public Comment have been filed with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in United States of America v. The Walt Disney Company, et al., Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-5800 (CM). Copies of the comment and the United States’ Response are available for inspection on the Antitrust Division’s website at http://www.justice.gov/atr and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK


18 Civ. 5800 (CM) (KNF)

RESPONSE OF PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES TO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED FINAL JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (the “APPA” or “Tunney Act”), 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby responds to the one public comment received regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case. After careful consideration of the submitted comment, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. The United States will move the Court for entry of the proposed Final Judgment after the public comment and this response have been published pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(d).

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On December 13, 2017, The Walt Disney Company (“Disney”) entered into an agreement to acquire certain assets and businesses from Twenty-First Century Fox, Inc. (“Fox”) (collectively, “Defendants”), including Fox’s ownership of, or interests in, its regional sports networks (“RSNs”), its Fox network, National Geographic cable networks, television studio, Hulu, film studio, and international television businesses (collectively, the “Fox Sale Assets”). On June 20, 2018, the Defendants amended the agreement to increase Disney’s consideration for the Fox Sale Assets to approximately $71.3 billion. On July 27, 2018, Disney’s and Fox’s respective shareholders voted to approve the transaction.

On June 27, 2018, the United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint, seeking to enjoin Disney from acquiring the Fox Sale Assets. The Complaint alleges that the proposed acquisition by Disney of certain cable sports programming assets from Fox, including Fox’s ownership of, or interest in, twenty-two RSNs, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment and a Hold Separate Stipulation and Order signed by Plaintiff and Defendants consenting to entry of the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the requirements of the Tunney Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16. Pursuant to those requirements, the United States filed a Competitive Impact Statement (“CIS”) on August 7, 2018, describing the transaction and the proposed Final Judgment. The United States published the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and CIS in the Federal Register on August 15, 2018, see 83 Fed. Reg. 40,553 (2018), and caused summaries of the proposed Final Judgment and CIS, together with directions for the submission of written comments related to the proposed Final Judgment, to be published in The Washington Post and The New York Times for seven days, from August 13, 2018 through August 19, 2018. The 60-day public comment period required by the Tunney Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) and (d), ended on October 18, 2018. The United States received one comment concerning the allegations in the Complaint (Exhibit 1).1

1 In addition to the one comment, the United States also received an email from an individual based in Bangalore, India on the proxy voting procedure by which Disney and Fox shareholders approved the transaction. See Exhibit 2. This email is unrelated to the competitive concerns identified by the United States in the Complaint, and it is unrelated to the issue before this Court: whether the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest. It is well-settled that comments that are unrelated to the concerns identified in the Complaint are beyond the scope of the court’s Tunney Act review. See, e.g., United States v. Apple, Inc., 889 F. Supp. 2d 985, 998 (N.D. Cal. 2012).