

Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 25, 2017, based on a complaint filed on September 18, 2017, on behalf of Dexcom, Inc. of San Diego, California (“Dexcom”). 82 FR 49420 (Oct. 25, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electrochemical glucose monitoring systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,724,045 and 9,750,460. The notice of investigation named as a respondent AgaMatrix, Inc. of Salem, New Hampshire (“AgaMatrix”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party in the investigation.

On May 10, 2018, the ALJ issued Order No. 26, granting-in-part a motion by AgaMatrix to strike portions of Dexcom’s expert reports. Order No. 26 struck, in relevant part, certain portions of an expert report relating to whether the accused products meet the “film” term of the “enzyme-containing film” limitation of the asserted claims and precluded Dexcom from relying on the arguments and theories described in the struck portions of the expert report during the investigation.

On May 17, 2018, AgaMatrix filed a motion for summary determination of non-infringement of the asserted patents on the basis that Dexcom cannot prove that the accused products directly or indirectly infringe any of the asserted claims. On May 29, 2018, Dexcom opposed the motion. On June 1, 2018, AgaMatrix moved for leave to file a reply in support of its motion. On June

6, 2018, Dexcom opposed the motion for leave.

On June 7, 2018, the ALJ issued the subject initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 33), granting AgaMatrix’s motion for summary determination of non-infringement with respect to direct infringement but denying the motion with respect to indirect infringement. The ID also denied AgaMatrix’s motion for leave to file a reply in support of its motion and stayed the procedural schedule pending review of the ID.

On June 18, 2018, Dexcom filed a petition for review of the ID’s findings on direct infringement and Order No. 26. On June 25, 2018, AgaMatrix filed its opposition.

On July 23, 2018, the Commission determined to review the subject ID in its entirety, as well as the underlying orders. Notice (July 23, 2018).

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the subject ID, the petition for review, and response thereto, the Commission has determined to affirm Order No. 33’s summary determination of non-infringement and the ALJ’s underlying orders. Commissioner Schmidlein dissents from the majority’s decision. Her views have been filed on EDIS.

The investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 4, 2019.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–07047 Filed 4–9–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1137]

Certain Semiconductor Lithography Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review a March 12, 2019 initial determination (“ID”) (Order

No. 9) terminating this investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal at 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 23, 2018, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by ASML Netherlands B.V. of Veldhoven, the Netherlands, ASML US, L.P. of Chandler, AZ, and ASML US, LLC of Chandler, AZ (collectively, “ASML”). 83 FR 53498 (Oct. 23, 2018). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,295,283, 7,403,264, and 9,188,880. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Nikon Corporation of Tokyo, Japan, Nikon Precision Inc. of Belmont, California, and Nikon Research Corporation of America of Belmont, California (collectively, “Nikon”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party in this investigation. *Id.*

On February 25, 2019, ASML and Nikon jointly moved pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 201.21(b)) to terminate this investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement.

On March 12, 2019, the presiding administrative law judge issued Order No. 9, the subject ID, which grants the motion. The ID finds that the joint motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(b). The ID additionally finds that terminating the investigation is in the public interest. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. This investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 4, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-07046 Filed 4-9-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-365-366 and 731-TA-734-735 (Fourth Review)]

Certain Pasta From Italy and Turkey

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the countervailing duty orders and antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted these reviews on August 1, 2018 (83 FR 37517) and determined on November 5, 2018 that it would conduct expedited reviews (84 FR 4535, February 15, 2019).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on April 4, 2019.³ The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4876 (April 2019), entitled *Certain Pasta from Italy and Turkey: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-365-*

366 and 731-TA-734-735 (Fourth Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 4, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-07045 Filed 4-9-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0006]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension, With Change, of a Currently Approved Collection Application and Permit for Importation of Firearms, Ammunition and Defense Articles—(ATF Form 6—Part II (5330.3B))

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until June 10, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, regarding the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact: Desiree M. Dickinson, ATF Firearms and Explosives Imports Branch either by mail at 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25405, or by email at desiree.dickinson@atf.gov, or by telephone at 304-616-4584.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection* (check justification or form 83):

Extension, with change, of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Application and Permit for Importation of Firearms, Ammunition and Defense Articles.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form number (if applicable): ATF Form 6—Part II (5330.3B).

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Individuals or households.

Other (if applicable): Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Abstract: The information on the Application and Permit for Importation of Firearms, Ammunition and Defense Articles—(ATF Form 6—Part II (5330.3B)) is used to determine if the article(s) described in the application qualifies for importation by the importer, and to serve as the authorization for the importer.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 400 respondents will utilize this form, and it will take each respondent approximately 30 minutes to complete this form.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 200 hours, which is equal to 400 (# of respondents) * 1 (# of times per response) * .5 (30 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Meredith M. Broadbent dissenting with respect to the imports of certain pasta from Turkey.

³ Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, all import injury reviews conducted under authority of Title VII of the Act accordingly have been tolled pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5).