to FICC by only requiring them to contribute their allotted share of the Aggregate Regular Amount, which is allocated among all Netting Members, but Netting Members with larger obligations are required to contribute a larger amount.68

As a result, the Commission believes that any competitive burden imposed by the proposed changes would not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.69

FICC proposes that while it would provide a netting benefit to a Sponsoring Member's offsetting positions at FICC, FICC would individually margin each Sponsoring Member as FICC novates and guarantees the settlement of each Sponsoring Member's position. Likewise, to the extent the CCLF were to potentially increase as a result of Sponsoring Member activity, the CCLF is designed so that requirements are in proportion to the liquidity exposure that each Netting Member presents to GSD. It is necessary for FICC to collect margin requirements and impose liquidity requirements to help ensure FICC can complete settlement in the event of a Netting Member default. Similarly, it is appropriate to assess individual members VaR Charges and CCLF requirement based upon the guarantee and liquidity risks that FICC assumes based upon the member's position.

Therefore, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(H) of the Exchange Act, as the proposal would not impose a burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.70

A. Consistency With Rule 17Ad–22(e)(18) of the Exchange Act

Rule 17Ad–22(e)(18) under the Act requires that FICC establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to establish objective, risk-based, and publicly disclosed criteria for participation, which permit fair and open access by direct and, where relevant, indirect participants and other financial market utilities, require participants to have sufficient financial resources and robust operational capacity to meet obligations arising from participation in the clearing agency, and monitor compliance with such participation requirements on an ongoing basis.71

As described above, the proposed rule change would expand the Sponsored Membership program eligibility. The proposed rule change to expand Sponsoring Member eligibility would establish objective, risk-based, and publicly disclosed criteria for additional types of Netting Members to participate in FICC as Sponsoring Members. As described above, FICC could impose greater financial requirements on an applicant to become a Category 2 Sponsoring Member as they may have substantially less capital than a Category 1 Sponsoring Member. Likewise, the proposed rule change would also impose an activity limit on a Category 2 Sponsoring Member's Sponsored Member activity. Moreover, FICC would require the right to require each Sponsoring Member, or any Netting Member applicant to become such, to furnish to FICC such adequate assurances of its financial responsibility and operational capability. Each of these proposed changes would assist FICC in requiring Netting Members to have sufficient financial resources and robust operational capacity to meet obligations arising from participation in the clearing agency.

As described above, commenters argued that the proposed rule change should specify under what qualitative standards Category 2 Sponsoring Members would be evaluated and what additional financial requirements and assurances FICC could impose on them.72 FICC stated that it believes it is appropriate to evaluate all Category 2 Sponsoring Member applicants on a case-by-case basis as applicants can vary widely in terms of their organization structures, capitalizations, and the nature and volume of activity they are interested in centrally clearing through FICC.73

The Commission believes that the limited discretion in the publicly disclosed criteria for participation is consistent with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(18) as it is designed to help ensure sufficient financial resources and robust operational capacity by Netting Members. In expanding Sponsored Membership, FICC must account for the risk of Category 2 Sponsoring Members and their Sponsoring Members defaulting to FICC. As the entities eligible for Category 2 Sponsoring Membership are diverse, the Commission believes that flexibility in reviewing applicants on a case-by-case basis would help FICC account for this default risk. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent Rule 17Ad–22(e)(18) under the Act.

V. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act74 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act75 that proposed rule change SR–FICC–2018–013, be, and hereby is, approved.76

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.77

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Deputy Secretary.
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March 29, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on March 22, 2019, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange”) filed with the Commission a proposed rule change to expand the Penny Pilot Program (“Pilot”) on a Quarterly Basis, Without Altering the Expiration Date of the Pilot, Which Is June 30, 2019.

78 In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposals’ impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).
Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.\textsuperscript{4} The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”)\textsuperscript{5} proposes to amend Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01 to specify that replacement issues may be added to the Penny Pilot Program (“Pilot”) on a quarterly basis, without altering the expiration date of the Pilot, which is June 30, 2019. The text of the proposed rule change is provided below.

(additions are \textit{italicized}; deletions are [bracketed])

\textbf{Rules of Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.}

Rule 21.5. Minimum Increments (a)–(c) (No changes).

Interpretations and Policies

01 The Exchange will operate a pilot program set to expire on June 30, 2019 to permit options classes to be quoted and traded in increments as low as $0.01. The Exchange will specify which options trade in such pilot, and in what increments, in Information Circulars distributed to Members and posted on the Exchange’s website. The Exchange may replace any penny pilot issues that have been delisted with the next most actively traded multiply listed options classes that are not yet included in the penny pilot, based on trading activity in the previous six months. The replacement issues may be added to the pilot on the second trading day following January 1, 2019.\textsuperscript{6} The Rule authorizes the Exchange to replace any options issues in the Pilot that have been delisted with the next most actively traded multiply listed options classes that are not yet included in the Program, based on trading activity in the previous six months.\textsuperscript{6} The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01 to allow the Exchange to add replacement issues (for Pilot issues that have been delisted) on a quarterly basis. The Exchange added replacement issues in January 2019 and would be able to add eligible replacement issues in April, July and October. The Exchange believes this change would allow the Exchange to update issues eligible for the Pilot (by replacing delisted issues) on a quarterly basis (as opposed to semi-annual) and would enable further analysis of the Pilot and a determination of how the Pilot should be structured in the future.

As is the case today, the Exchange will determine replacement issues based on trading activity in the previous six months (the “six-month lookback”) but will not use the month immediately preceding the addition of a replacement to the Pilot. Thus, a replacement class to be added on the second trading day following April 1, 2019 would be identified based on The Option Clearing Corporation’s trading volume data from September 1, 2018 through February 28, 2019.\textsuperscript{7} The Exchange believes the six-month lookback is appropriate because this time period would help reduce the impact of unusual trading activity as a result of unique market events, such as a corporate action (i.e., it would result in a more reliable measure of average daily trading volume than would a shorter period).

This filing does not propose any substantive changes to the Pilot: All classes currently participating will remain the same and all minimum increments will remain unchanged. The Exchange believes the benefits to public customers and other market participants who will be able to express their true prices to buy and sell options have been demonstrated to outweigh the increase in quote traffic.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01, regarding the Pilot, to specify that replacement issues may be added to the Pilot on a quarterly basis, without altering the expiration date of the Pilot, which is June 30, 2019. The Exchange recently filed to extend the Pilot until June 30, 2019 (from December 31, 2018) and also updated the rule text to provide that replacement issues may be added to the Pilot on the second trading day following January 1, 2019.\textsuperscript{6} The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01 to allow the Exchange to add replacement issues (for Pilot issues that have been delisted) on a quarterly basis. The Exchange added replacement issues in January 2019 and would be able to add eligible replacement issues in April, July and October. The Exchange believes this change would allow the Exchange to update issues eligible for the Pilot (by replacing delisted issues) on a quarterly basis (as opposed to semi-annual) and would enable further analysis of the Pilot and a determination of how the Pilot should be structured in the future.


\textsuperscript{5} See Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

\textsuperscript{6} The Exchange will continue to announce the replacement issues by Exchange Notice. See supra note 5.
unusual trading activity as a result of unique market events, such as a corporate action (i.e., it would result in a more reliable measure of average daily trading volume than would a shorter period). Thus, the Exchange believes this proposal would promote just and equitable principles of trade, foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system.

The Exchange notes that it is not making any other substantive changes to the Pilot, other than modifying the timing for replacement issues and therefore the Exchange will continue to participate in a program that has been viewed as beneficial to traders, investors and public customers and viewed as successful by the other options exchanges participating in it. The Exchange believes that the Pilot would continue to promote just and equitable principles of trade by enabling public customers and other market participants to express their true prices to buy and sell options to the benefit of all market participants.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competitors that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, the Exchange believes that allowing the Exchange to add replacement issues to the Pilot on a quarterly basis would make the list of Pilot-eligible issues more current and would enable further analysis of the Pilot, including for a determination of how the Pilot should be structured in the future. In doing so, the proposed rule change will also serve to promote regulatory clarity and consistency, thereby reducing burdens on the marketplace and facilitating investor protection. The Pilot Program is an industry-wide initiative supported by all other option exchanges. The Exchange believes that the proposed change would allow for continued competition between Exchange market participants trading similar products as their counterparts on other exchanges, while at the same time allowing the Exchange to continue to compete for order flow with other exchanges in option issues trading as part of the Pilot.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.9 Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)10 normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),11 the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The change will allow the Exchange to add classes to the pilot that are actively traded at the start of the second quarter (i.e., April 2019) and replace those that have been delisted and are no longer trading on a more frequent basis. This will help ensure that the top 363 most actively traded, multiply-listed classes are included in the Pilot, which will enable further analysis of the Pilot.12

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)13 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
• Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–CboeEDGX–2019–013 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CboeEDGX–2019–013. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official

10 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.
12 For purposes only of waiving the operative delay for this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78s(f).
business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2019–05 and should be submitted on or before April 25, 2019.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.14

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–06524 Filed 4–3–19; 8:45 am]
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March 29, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on March 21, 2019, Nasdaq PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 1034, “Minimum Increments,” to specify that replacement issues may be added to the Pilot on a quarterly basis, without altering the expiration date of the Pilot, which is June 30, 2019. The Exchange recently filed to extend the Pilot until June 30, 2019 (from December 31, 2018), and also updated the rule text to provide that replacement issues may be added to the Pilot on the second trading day following January 1, 2019.3 Currently, Rule 1034(a)(i)(B) permits the Exchange to replace any penny pilot issues that have been delisted with the next most actively traded multiply listed options classes that are not yet included in the penny pilot, based on trading activity in the previous six months.

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 1034(a)(i)(B) to permit the Exchange to add replacement issues for Pilot issues that have been delisted on a quarterly basis. The Exchange added replacement issues in January 2019, pursuant to Rule 1034 and, with this proposal, would add eligible replacement issues in April, July and October 2019. The Exchange believes this change would allow the Exchange to update issues eligible for the Pilot by replacing delisted issues on a quarterly basis as opposed to semi-annual and would enable further analysis of the


2 The Exchange would announce any replacement issues via an Options Trader Alert. See Rule 1034(a)(i)(B).


http://nasdaophlx.chwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 1034, “Minimum Increments,” to specify that replacement issues may be added to the Pilot on a quarterly basis, without altering the expiration date of the Pilot, which is June 30, 2019. The Exchange recently filed to extend the Pilot until June 30, 2019 (from December 31, 2018), and also updated the rule text to provide that replacement issues may be added to the Pilot on the second trading day following January 1, 2019. Currently, Rule 1034(a)(i)(B) permits the Exchange to replace any penny pilot issues that have been delisted with the next most actively traded multiply listed options classes that are not yet included in the penny pilot, based on trading activity in the previous six months.

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 1034(a)(i)(B) to permit the Exchange to add replacement issues for Pilot issues that have been delisted on a quarterly basis. The Exchange added replacement issues in January 2019, pursuant to Rule 1034 and, with this proposal, would add eligible replacement issues in April, July and October 2019. The Exchange believes this change would allow the Exchange to update issues eligible for the Pilot by replacing delisted issues on a quarterly basis as opposed to semi-annual and would enable further analysis of the

As is the case today, the Exchange will determine replacement issues based on trading activity in the previous six months (the “six month lookback”) but will not use the month immediately preceding the addition of a replacement to the Pilot. Thus, a replacement class to be added on the second trading day following April 1, 2019 would be identified based on The Option Clearing Corporation’s trading volume data from September 1, 2018 through February 28, 2019.4 The Exchange believes the six month lookback is appropriate because this time period would help reduce the impact of unusual trading activity as a result of unique market events, such as a corporate action (i.e., it would result in a more reliable measure of average daily trading volume than would a shorter period).

This filing does not propose any substantive changes to the Pilot. All classes currently participating will remain the same and all minimum increments will remain unchanged. The Exchange believes the benefits to public customers and other market participants who will be able to express their true prices to buy and sell options have been demonstrated to outweigh the increase in quote traffic.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.5 Specifically, the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,6 because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes the proposal to allow the addition of replacement issues the Pilot on a quarterly basis would result in the a more current list of Pilot eligible issues and would enable further analysis of the Pilot and a determination of how the Pilot should be structured in the future. Further, the Exchange believes the six month lookback is appropriate because this time period would help reduce the