the start of programmatic formal consultation pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA. On November 20, 2018, the Coast Guard sent a letter to the USFWS and NMFS under Section 7(d) of the ESA, indicating that the Coast Guard would proceed with the contract award and vessel construction. The Coast Guard determined that the design and construction of the PSCs would not constitute an irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources which would foreclose the formulation or implementation of reasonable and prudent alternative measures that may be included in future biological opinions issued by the Services. The Coast Guard anticipates that any reasonable and prudent alternatives would focus on the future operations of the PSCs and not the design and construction of the vessels. Additionally, the design and build of the PSCs would have no effect on ESA-listed species or designated critical habitat.

The Coast Guard anticipates that both NMFS and the USFWS will issue their programmatic biological opinions on the Proposed Action in 2019. The Coast Guard recognizes that new information regarding the Proposed Action and biological resources in the proposed action area may change before the first PSC is operational (as soon as 2023). As part of the programmatic consultation process, the Coast Guard will continue to coordinate with both regulatory agencies and if necessary, reconsult under section 7 of the ESA if there are any changes in the Proposed Action or biological resources in the proposed action areas.

- The Marine Mammal Protection Act. The MMPA of 1972, as amended (16 United States Code [U.S.C.] 1361 et seq.) prohibits, with certain exceptions, the take of marine mammals in U.S. waters and by U.S. citizens on the high seas and the importation of marine mammals and marine mammal products. Coast Guard Instruction [CGI171NST] 16214.2A (U.S. Coast Guard 2011) outlines procedures for avoiding marine mammals and protected species; reporting marine mammal and protected species sightings, strandings and injuries; and enforcing the MMPA and ESA. The Coast Guard is not requesting authorization under Section 101(a)(5) of the MMPA at this time, because the Proposed Action discussed in the PSC Final Programmatic EIS will not occur until the first PSC is delivered and operational (2023); however, the PSC Final Programmatic EIS may contain information relevant and applicable to assist with future Coast Guard consultations that are in support of a request for future incidental take authorizations under the MMPA. As part of the MMPA, the Coast Guard intends to prepare a Plan of Cooperation that identifies what measures have been taken and/or will be taken to minimize any adverse effects on the availability of marine mammals for subsistence uses.

- Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. In accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Act, applicable regulations, and the Department of Homeland Security and Coast Guard instructions and directives, the PSC Final Programmatic EIS evaluates the potential for significant impact or environmental harm from the Proposed Action. The Coast Guard is not requesting Magnuson-Stevens Act consultation at this time, because the Proposed Action discussed in the PSC Final Programmatic EIS concluded that based on the best available information, no effects to EFH are anticipated. However, since the first PSC is scheduled to be delivered in 2023; the PSC Final Programmatic EIS may contain information relevant and applicable to support future Coast Guard consultations on EFH as required under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, particularly as new information is obtained.

- The Rights of Federally Recognized Tribes (Indian and Alaska Native). As part of the MMPA process (see Section 1.5.17), the Coast Guard intends to prepare a Plan of Cooperation. To meet the Coast Guard’s mission responsibilities in the polar regions, the Coast Guard plans to establish regular and meaningful communication to consult and collaborate with Alaska Natives and tribal officials regarding the Proposed Action. The Coast Guard would not interfere with a tribe’s treaty rights or impinge on access to any area that provides these resources.

**IX. Conclusion**

Based on factors analyzed in the Final PSC Programmatic EIS, including training and operations objectives, best available science and modeling data, potential environmental impacts, and input and expertise of Federal agencies, federally recognized tribes, and the public, the Coast Guard selects Alternative 1 for implementation. Alternative 1, the Coast Guard’s Preferred Alternative, will fully meet the Coast Guard’s requirements in the polar regions. By implementing the mitigation measures identified in the Final PSC Programmatic EIS and associated regulatory documents, and adhering to monitoring requirements and management plans described herein, the Coast Guard has adopted all practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm associated with implementing Alternative 1. In addition, the Coast Guard assessed the effects of Alternative 1 in accordance with Executive Order 12114 and concluded that there would be no significant harm to the environment in areas outside of the United States and possessions.

This notice is issued under authority of 5 U.S.C. 552(a).

Dated: March 29, 2019.

Timothy J. Connors,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Program Manager, Polar Icebreaker Program.

[FR Doc. 2019–06468 Filed 4–2–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**U.S. Customs and Border Protection**

[Docket No.: USCBP–2019–0012]

**Receipt of Domestic Interested Party Petition Concerning the Tariff Classification of Steel Special Profiles for the Manufacture of Forklift Truck Masts and Carriages**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of domestic interested party petition; solicitation of comments.

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has received a petition submitted on behalf of a domestic interested party requesting the recalcification, under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), of certain steel special profiles from the United Kingdom and Germany, imported for use in manufacturing forklift masts or carriages. In New York Ruling Letter (NY) N293371, dated February 8, 2018, CBP classified the steel special profiles under subheading 8431.20.00, HTSUS, as parts suitable for use solely or principally with forklifts. Petitioner contends that based on their condition as imported and the processing that needs to be undertaken after importation, the steel special profiles should be classified under subheading 7216.50.00, HTSUS, as hot-rolled nonalloy steel profile shapes. Petitioner further contends that the result of this ruling is that the products are avoiding the application of additional duties for steel imposed by Presidential Proclamation 9705 of March 8, 2018, under Section 232. This document invites comments with regard
to the correctness of the current classification.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 3, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number, by one of the following methods:

• Mail: Trade and Commercial Regulations Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 90 K St. NE, 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20229–1177.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice of domestic interested party petition concerning the tariff classification of certain steel special profiles. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents, exhibits, or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov. Submitted comments may also be inspected during regular business days between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., at the Trade and Commercial Regulations Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of Trade, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 90 K Street NE, 10th Floor, Washington, DC. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark, Trade and Commercial Regulations Branch, at (202) 325–0118. Please note that any submitted comments that CBP receives by mail will be posted on the above-referenced docket for the public’s convenience.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

A petition has been filed under section 516 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1516), on behalf of Steel of West Virginia, Inc. (Petitioner), which manufactures steel shapes or profiles for sale to forklift truck manufacturers and is located in Huntington, West Virginia. Petitioner meets all of the requirements of a domestic interested party set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1516(c) and is entitled under section 175.3(a) in Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations (19 CFR 175.3(a)). Petitioner is requesting that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) reclassify the merchandise, referred to as “incomplete steel mast rails and finger bars,” in NY N293371, dated February 8, 2018. Petitioner contends that the merchandise is merely steel special profile shapes classifiable under subheading 7216.50.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).

In NY N293371, CBP described the merchandise as “either (1) cut to a fixed length in accordance with customer instructions or (2) in lengths designed to fit within the transporting cargo container . . . in their condition as imported, the mast rails and finger bars are not ready for direct use in fork-lifts.” CBP applied General Rule of Interpretation (GRI) 2(a) to classify the subject merchandise as a blank for a part of a forklift in subheading 8431.20.00, as “Parts suitable for use solely or principally with the machinery of headings 8425 to 8430: Of machinery of heading 8425 to 8430: Of machinery of heading 8425.”

Petitioner maintains that use of GRI 2(a) is inappropriate because the merchandise meets the definition of an angle shape or section in Note 1(n) to Chapter 72. Moreover, Petitioner contends that the profiles must undergo extensive manufacturing after importation to make them suitable for use in the mast or carriage of a forklift. As such, according to Petitioner, the profiles do not have the essential character of forklift truck parts and are not excluded from classification by Note 1(f) to Section XV.

In support of its argument, Petitioner contends that the steel special profile shapes, even when referred to as “incomplete mast rails and finger bars,” require many additional steps until they are ready for assembly into a mast or carriage, such as:

• Cutting to length from 20–35 feet to fit into a shipping container down to approximately 7 feet for masts and 3 feet for carriages.
• Shot blasting and straightening to prepare for welding and painting.
• Machining and contouring to remove portions of the flange and to notch the pieces in the appropriate places.
• Drilling multiple holes in accordance with its use and placement in the carriage or mast.
• Welding to modify the profile section depending on its ultimate position in the carriage or mast.
• Cleaning and painting for final installation in the carriage or mast.

Petitioner contends that these manufacturing processes significantly alter the steel profiles after importation to create the component assembled into a mast or carriage. Given the amount of extra processing required for steel profile shapes, even when referred to as “incomplete mast rails and finger bars,” Petitioner maintains that the imported merchandise does not have the essential characteristic of a forklift part and cannot be classified as such.

Elaboration of the Petitioner’s Views

Petitioner contends that the proper classification for the steel special profiles is subheading 7216.50.00, HTSUS, which covers “Angles, shapes and sections of iron or nonalloy steel. Other angles, shapes and sections, not further worked than hot-rolled, hot-drawn or extruded,” in accordance with Note 1(n) to Chapter 72. HTSUS which defines steel “angles, shapes and sections” as “products having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length which do not conform to any of the definitions at (i), (j), (k), (l) or (m) above or to the definition of wire.”

Petitioner argues that Note 1(f) to Section XV is inapplicable because the merchandise is not ready for use as forklift parts, or even for assembly into the mast or carriage part of a forklift, in its condition as imported. Petitioner contends that the steel special profile parts, even when referred to as “incomplete mast rails and finger bars,” require many additional steps until they are ready for assembly into a mast or carriage, such as:

• Cutting to length from 20–35 feet to fit into a shipping container down to approximately 7 feet for masts and 3 feet for carriages.
• Shot blasting and straightening to prepare for welding and painting.
• Machining and contouring to remove portions of the flange and to notch the pieces in the appropriate places.
• Drilling multiple holes in accordance with its use and placement in the carriage or mast.
• Welding to modify the profile section depending on its ultimate position in the carriage or mast.
• Cleaning and painting for final installation in the carriage or mast.

Petitioner contends that these manufacturing processes significantly alter the steel profiles after importation to create the component assembled into a mast or carriage. Given the amount of extra processing required for steel profile shapes, even when referred to as “incomplete mast rails and finger bars,” Petitioner maintains that the imported merchandise does not have the essential characteristic of a forklift part and cannot be classified as such.

In support of its argument, Petitioner cites to GRI 2(a), which states: “Any reference in a heading to an article shall be taken to include a reference to that
are reflected in Chapter 99, subheading 9903.80.01. Steel products of the United Kingdom and Germany of heading 7216.50.00, HTSUS, are currently subject to additional duties for steel of 25 percent under Subchapter III, Chapter 99, U.S. Note 16(b). Importers of such products must also identify subheading 9903.80.01, HTSUS, at entry. Products of the United Kingdom and Germany of subheading 8431.20.00, HTSUS, are currently not subject to Section 232 duties.

Comments
Pursuant to section 175.21, CBP Regulations (19 CFR 175.21), before making a determination on this matter, CBP invites written comments on the petition from interested parties.

The domestic interested party petition concerning the tariff classification of certain steel special profiles, as well as all comments received in response to this notice, will be available for public inspection on the docket at www.regulations.gov. Please note that any submitted comments that CBP receives by mail will be posted on the above-referenced docket for the public's convenience.

Authority
This notice is published in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1516 and section 175.21 of the CBP Regulations (19 CFR 175.21).

Dated: March 29, 2019.

Robert E. Perez,
Deputy Commissioner, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

BILING CODE 9111–14–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOME LAND SECURITY

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On March 28, 2019, President Trump issued a memorandum to the Secretary of Homeland Security (Secretary), Kirstjen M. Nielsen, directing her to extend for certain, eligible Liberians, the 12-month deferred enforced departure (DED) wind-down period and to provide for continued work authorization through March 30, 2020, after which date the DED wind-down period will end. During the extension of the 12-month wind-down period of DED, affected individuals may remain in the United States. This Notice automatically extends DED-related employment authorization documents (EADs) that have a printed expiration date of March 31, 2019, for an additional 180 days through September 27, 2019, for eligible Liberians. This Notice also provides instructions for eligible Liberians on how to apply for an EAD for the full 12-month period of employment authorization, through March 30, 2020.

USCIS will issue new EADs with a March 30, 2020, expiration date to eligible Liberians who are covered by DED under the Presidential Memorandum of March 28, 2019, and who apply for a new EAD. DHS recognizes that current DED-eligible Liberians with EADs that expire on March 31, 2019, will not receive new EADs before such EADs expire. Accordingly, through this Notice, DHS also automatically extends the validity of DED-related EADs for 180 days, through September 27, 2019, and explains how Liberians covered under DED and their employers may determine which EADs are automatically extended and how this impacts the Employment Eligibility Verification (Form I–9), E-Verify, and SAVE processes.

DATES: The 12-month wind-down period for DED Liberia is extended through March 30, 2020. The 180-day automatic extension of DED-related EADs, as specified in this Notice, expires after September 27, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
• For further information on DED, including additional information on eligibility, please visit the USCIS DED web page at http://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/temporary-protected-status/deferred-enforced-departure. You can find specific information about DED for Liberians by selecting “DED Granted Country: Liberia” from the menu on the left of the DED web page.
• You may also contact Samantha Deshommes, Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security, by mail at 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20529–2060; or by phone at 800–375–5283.