

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection Request:* Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Naturalization.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the DHS sponsoring the collection:* N-400; USCIS.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or households. USCIS uses the information gathered on Form N-400 to make a determination as to a respondent's eligibility to naturalize and become a U.S. citizen.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection N-400 (paper) is 567,314 and the estimated hour burden per response is 9.17 hours; the estimated total number of respondents for the information collection N-400 (electronic) is 214,186 and the estimated hour burden per response is 3.5 hours; and the estimated total number of respondents for the information collection biometrics is 778,000 and the estimated hour burden per response is 1.17 hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total estimated annual hour burden associated with this collection is 6,862,180.38 hours.

(7) *An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is \$346,768,927.50.

Dated: March 25, 2019.

**Samantha L. Deshommes,**  
Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division,  
Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration Services, Department of  
Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2019-05986 Filed 3-28-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-97-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLCO956000 L14400000.BJ0000 19X]

#### Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of official filing.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled to be officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Colorado State Office, Lakewood, Colorado, 30 calendar days from the date of this publication. The surveys, which were executed at the request of the U.S. Forest Service and the BLM, are necessary for the management of these lands.

**DATES:** Unless there are protests of this action, the plats described in this notice will be filed on April 29, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written protests to the BLM Colorado State Office, Cadastral Survey, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, CO 80215-7093.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randy Bloom, Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado, (303) 239-3856; [rbloom@blm.gov](mailto:rbloom@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf may call the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The Service is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The plat of the protraction diagram of Township 9 North, Range 83 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted on February 12, 2019.

The plat, in 7 sheets, incorporating the field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 12 South, Range 72 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted on March 4, 2019.

The plat, in 5 sheets, incorporating the field notes of the dependent resurvey in Township 2 South, Range 73 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted on March 7, 2019.

The plat incorporating the field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 36 North, Range 1 West, New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted on March 15, 2019.

A person or party who wishes to protest any of the above surveys must

file a written notice of protest within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. A statement of reasons for the protest may be filed with the notice of protest and must be filed within 30 calendar days after the protest is filed. If a protest against the survey is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed or otherwise resolved.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, please be aware that your entire protest, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 43 U.S.C. Chap. 3.

**Randy A. Bloom,**

Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 2019-06133 Filed 3-28-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1058]

### Certain Magnetic Tape Cartridges and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found a violation of section 337 in this investigation and has issued a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders. The remedial orders are suspended as to claim 17 of U.S. Patent No. 7,029,774 pending final resolution of a validity issue. The investigation is terminated.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for

inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 1, 2017, based on a complaint, as amended, filed by Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Storage Media Solutions Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Storage Media Manufacturing Corporation of Miyagi, Japan; Sony DADC US Inc. of Terre Haute, Indiana; and Sony Latin America Inc. of Miami, Florida (collectively "Sony"). 82 FR 25333 (Jun. 1, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain magnetic tape cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-11 and 15-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,029,774 ("the '774 patent"); claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,596 ("the '596 patent"); and claims 1-6, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,979,501 ("the '501 patent"). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2). *Id.* The notice of investigation named Fujifilm Holdings Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Fujifilm Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Fujifilm Media Manufacturing Co., Ltd. of Kanagawa, Japan; Fujifilm Holdings America Corporation of Valhalla, New York; and Fujifilm Recording Media U.S.A., Inc. of Bedford, Massachusetts (collectively "Fujifilm"), as respondents. *Id.* at 25334. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is also a party in this investigation. *Id.*

On March 22, 2018, the administrative law judge ("ALJ") granted Sony's motion to terminate claims 2-4, 9, 11, 15, and 18-20 of the '774 patent, claim 3 of the '501 patent, and claims 14-19 of the '596 patent from the investigation. *See* Order No. 26; Comm'n Notice of Non-Review (Apr. 23, 2018).

The ALJ held an evidentiary hearing on May 8-11, 2018, and thereafter received post-hearing briefs.

On August 17, 2018, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID"), finding a violation of section 337 by Fujifilm in connection with claims 1, 5-8, 10, 16, and 17 of the '774 patent, and claims 1-13 of the '596 patent, but not in connection with claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8 of the '501 patent. Specifically, the ID found that Fujifilm's accused products infringe the asserted claims of the '774 and the '596 patents; that the asserted claims of the '774 and '596 patents are not invalid; and that a domestic industry exists with respect to both patents. Although the ID also found that Fujifilm's accused products infringe the asserted claims of the '501 patent, and that a domestic industry exists with respect to that patent, the ID found no violation as to the '501 patent because Fujifilm established that the asserted claims are invalid.

On August 17, 2018, the ALJ also issued his recommended determination on remedy and bonding. As instructed by the Commission, the ALJ made findings of fact and recommendations concerning the public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1) and (f)(1). 82 FR 25334; 19 CFR 210.10(b), 210.42(a)(1)(ii)(C). The ALJ recommended that the appropriate remedy is a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders directed to Fujifilm. The ALJ also recommended that the Commission require no bond during the period of Presidential review. The ALJ further recommended, based on the evidence presented, that public interest factors do not weigh against or warrant tailoring any remedy.

On September 4, 2018, Sony, Fujifilm, and the Commission's Investigative Attorney each filed a timely petition for review of the final ID. Thereafter, the parties filed timely responses to the petitions for review and public interest comments pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4).

On October 18, 2018, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part and requested the parties to brief certain issues under review and to brief issues of remedy, bonding, and the public interest. The Commission determined to review the ID's finding that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied for all three asserted patents under sections 337(a)(3)(B) and (C) based on the domestic activities of Sony's licensee. In addition, with respect to the '774 patent, the Commission determined to review the ID's findings that the asserted claims are not invalid for lack of enablement and are not invalid for

lack of written description, and the ID's finding that certain prior art tapes do not anticipate claim 17. The Commission also determined to review the ID's findings with respect to the '596 patent in their entirety. Other than the ID's economic prong finding, the Commission did not review any other finding related to the '501 patent.

On October 23, 2018, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued a Final Written Decision in an *inter partes* review finding claims 15 and 17 of the '774 patent unpatentable.

On November 1, 2018, the parties filed submissions to the Commission's questions and also briefed the issues of remedy, bonding, and the public interest. As part of its submission, Fujifilm requested that the Commission stay the enforcement of any remedial orders should the Commission find a violation of section 337 in connection with claims 15 and 17 of the '774 patent in view of the PTAB's Final Written Decision finding those claims invalid. On November 8, 2018, the parties filed responses to the initial submissions. That same day, Sony and Fujifilm also filed a joint unopposed motion to submit certain replacement pages to their respective initial written submission.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the final ID, and the parties' submissions, the Commission has determined to (1) affirm the ID's findings that the asserted claims of the '774 patent are not invalid for lack of enablement and are not invalid for lack of written description; (2) affirm with modifications the ID's finding that certain prior art tapes do not anticipate claim 17 of the '774 patent; (3) affirm with modifications the ID's finding that Fujifilm has not proven that the asserted claims of the '596 patent are obvious over Platte and Kano; (4) take no position on whether Fujifilm's own acts of direct infringement can form a basis for a violation of section 337 with respect to the '596 patent, and whether Fujifilm contributorily infringes the '596 patent; (5) affirm with modifications the ID's finding that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied for the '596 patent under sections 337(a)(3)(B) and (C) based on the domestic activities of Sony's licensee; (6) affirm with modifications the ID's finding that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied with respect to the '774 and the '501 patents under section 337(a)(3)(B) based on the domestic activities of Sony's licensee; and (7) take no position on whether the economic

prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied with respect to the '774 and the '501 patents under section 337(a)(3)(C) based on the domestic activities of Sony's licensee. The Commission adopts the ID's findings to the extent that they are not inconsistent with the Commission opinion issued herewith. The Commission action results in a violation of section 337 as to claims 1, 5–8, 10, 16, and 17 of the '774 patent, and claims 1–13 of the '596 patent, but not as to claims 1, 2, 4–6, and 8 of the '501 patent.

The Commission has also determined to grant Sony's and Fujifilm's joint motion to submit certain replacement pages to their respective initial written submission.

Having found a violation of section 337 in this investigation, the Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is: (1) A limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of magnetic tape cartridges and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1, 5–8, 10, 16, and 17 of the '774 patent, and claims 1–13 of the '596, and (2) cease and desist orders directed to the domestic Fujifilm respondents. The Commission has also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) and (f) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d) and (f)) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order or cease and desist orders. The Commission has, however, determined to exempt Fujifilm's magnetic tape cartridges and components thereof that are imported or used for the purpose of compliance verification testing.

In view of the PTAB's Final Written Decision finding claim 17 of the '774 patent unpatentable, the Commission has determined to suspend the enforcement of the limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders as to that claim pending final resolution of the PTAB's Final Written Decision. *See* 35 U.S.C. 318(b).

The Commission has further determined to set a bond at zero (0) percent of entered value during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)). The Commission's orders and opinion were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 25, 2019.

**Katherine Hiner,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2019–06046 Filed 3–28–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1134]

### **Certain Sleep-Disordered Breathing Treatment Mask Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of the Commission's Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation in Its Entirety**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 13) terminating the investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 4, 2018, based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed on behalf of ResMed Corp. of San Diego, California, ResMed Inc. of San Diego, California, and ResMed Ltd. of Bella Vista, Australia (collectively, "Complainants"). 83 FR 50,121 (October 4, 2018). The complaint, as

supplemented, alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain sleep-disordered breathing treatment mask systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,119,931; U.S. Patent No. 9,027,556; U.S. Patent No. 9,962,511; U.S. Patent No. 9,962,510; and U.S. Patent No. 9,937,315. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by section 337. The notice of investigation names Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited of Auckland, New Zealand; Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Inc. of Irvine, California; and Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Distribution Inc. of Irvine, California (collectively, "Respondents") as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as party in the investigation.

On February 22, 2019, the Complainants and Respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on settlement.

On February 26, 2019, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b). The ALJ found the parties included confidential and public versions of the settlement agreement and that the parties represented that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied concerning the subject matter of the investigation. The ALJ also found that termination of the investigation is not contrary to the public interest. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 25, 2019.

**Katherine Hiner,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2019–06045 Filed 3–28–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**