

*Third*, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Abdullaev by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

*Fourth*, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Abdullaev may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

*Fifth*, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Abdullaev and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

*Sixth*, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until December 1, 2021.

Issued on March 8, 2019.

**Karen H. Nies-Vogel**,

*Director, Office of Exporter Services.*

[FR Doc. 2019-04907 Filed 3-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; BIS Program Evaluation

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before May 17, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Room 6616, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the internet at [PRAComments@doc.gov](mailto:PRAComments@doc.gov).)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be

directed to Mark Crace, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482-8093 or at [mark.crace@bis.doc.gov](mailto:mark.crace@bis.doc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

This collection of information is necessary to obtain feedback from seminar participants. This information helps BIS determine the effectiveness of its programs and identifies areas for improvement. The gathering of performance measures on the BIS seminar program is also essential in meeting the agency's responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

##### II. Method of Collection

*Paper and Electronic*

##### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0694-0125.

*Form Number(s):* 0694-0125.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 3,000.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 500 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$0.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Legal Authority:* Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

**Sheleen Dumas**,

*Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.*

[FR Doc. 2019-04929 Filed 3-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-557-816]

#### Certain Steel Nails From Malaysia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Region International Co. Ltd. and Region System Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Region) made sales of certain steel nails (steel nails) from Malaysia at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017, and that Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Inmax) did not.

**DATES:** Applicable March 18, 2019.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edythe Artman (Inmax) or Madeline Heeren (Region), AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3931 or (202) 482-9179, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 9, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2016-2017 antidumping duty administrative review of steel nails from Malaysia.<sup>1</sup> Commerce conducted verification of Inmax's sales information from September 17 through 21, 2018. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and the verification report. For Region, we received case briefs from Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (the petitioner) and Region on December 14, 2018,<sup>2</sup> and a rebuttal brief from Region on December 21, 2018.<sup>3</sup> For Inmax, we received a case brief from the petitioner

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017*, 83 FR 39422 (August 9, 2018) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Case Brief on Region," dated December 14, 2018 (Petitioner Case Brief—Region); see also Region's Letter, "Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Case Brief," dated December 12, 2018 (Region Case Brief).

<sup>3</sup> Letter, "Steel Nails from Malaysia: Rebuttal Brief," dated December 21, 2018 (Region's Rebuttal Brief).

on February 14, 2019,<sup>4</sup> and a rebuttal brief from Inmax on February 19, 2019.<sup>5</sup> On February 26, 2019, the petitioner withdrew its request for a hearing.<sup>6</sup>

On November 8, 2018, Commerce postponed the deadline for the final results of this review until February 1, 2019.<sup>7</sup> Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the partial federal government closure from December 22, 2018, through the resumption of operations on January 29, 2019. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with Commerce’s practice, the deadline will become the next business day. Accordingly, the deadline for the final results of this review was revised to March 13, 2019.<sup>8</sup>

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise covered by this order is steel nails, which are currently classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 7317.00.55.02, 7317.00.55.03, 7317.00.55.05, 7317.00.55.07, 7317.00.55.08, 7317.00.55.11, 7317.00.55.18, 7317.00.55.19,

7317.00.55.20, 7317.00.55.30, 7317.00.55.40, 7317.00.55.50, 7317.00.55.60, 7317.00.55.70, 7317.00.55.80, 7317.00.55.90, 7317.00.65.30, 7317.00.65.60 and 7317.00.75.00. Certain steel nails subject to these orders also may be classified under HTSUS subheadings 7907.00.60.00, 8206.00.00.00 or other HTSUS subheadings.

For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>9</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on-file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to

registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the memorandum are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties, we made certain revisions to the preliminary margin calculations for Inmax and Region. The Issues and Decision Memorandum contains a description of these revisions.<sup>10</sup>

**Final Results of the Administrative Review**

We have determined the following weighted-average dumping margins for the firms listed below for the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017:<sup>11</sup>

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd .....	0.00
Region International Co. Ltd. and Region System Sdn. Bhd .....	1.46

**Disclosure**

We will disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protections (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate

entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-

others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse,

<sup>4</sup> See Letter, “Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Case Brief Inmax,” dated February 14, 2018 (Petitioner Case Brief—Inmax).

<sup>5</sup> See Letter, “Steel Nails from Malaysia—Rebuttal Brief,” dated February 19, 2019 (Inmax Rebuttal Brief).

<sup>6</sup> See Petitioner’s Letter, “Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Withdrawal of Hearing Request,” dated February 26, 2019.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, “Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017,” dated November 8, 2018.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum to the Record from Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties

of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government,” dated January 28, 2019. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 40 days.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia; 2016–2017,” dated March 13, 2019 (Issues and Decision Memorandum), 3–4.

<sup>10</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 4–5; see also Memorandum, “Margin Calculation Memorandum for Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd. in the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia,” dated concurrently with this notice; Memorandum,

“Analysis Memorandum for Region International Co., Ltd and Region System Sdn. Bhd. in the Preliminary Results of the 2016/2017 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia,” dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>11</sup> Commerce has determined to collapse, and treat as a single entity, affiliates Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Inmax) and Region International Co. Ltd. and Region System Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Region) for these final results of review. For a discussion of this analysis, see Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia; 2016–2017, dated August 3, 2018, 4–6.

for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 2.66 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.<sup>12</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

<sup>12</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the Republic of Korea: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 80 FR 28955 (May 20, 2015).

Dated: March 13, 2019.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Issues
- III. Background
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- VI. Discussion of the Issues

##### Inmax-Specific Issues

Comment 1: Revision of General and Administrative Expenses

##### Region-Specific Issues

Comment 2: Application of the Transactions Disregarded Analysis

- (a) Heat Treatment
- (b) Electroplating
- (c) Clerical Errors

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2019-05002 Filed 3-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A-423-814, A-580-899, A-517-805, A-559-808, A-791-824, A-469-819]**

#### Acetone From Belgium, the Republic of Korea, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Singapore, the Republic of South Africa, and Spain: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Applicable March 11, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alex Cipolla at (202) 482-4956 (Belgium); Sean Carey at (202) 482-3964 (the Republic of Korea (Korea)); Michael J. Heaney or Heather Lui at (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0016, respectively (the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Saudi Arabia)); Moses Y. Song or Joshua A. DeMoss at (202) 482-7885 or (202) 482-3362, respectively (Singapore); Charlotte Baskin-Gerwitz at (202) 482-4880 (the Republic of South Africa (South Africa)); and John C. McGowan or Preston N. Cox at (202) 482-3019 or (202) 482-5041, respectively (Spain); AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### The Petitions

On February 19, 2019, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) received antidumping duty (AD) Petitions concerning imports of acetone from Belgium, Korea, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, and Spain, filed in proper form on behalf of the Coalition for Acetone Fair Trade (the petitioner).<sup>1</sup> The petitioner is a coalition consisting of domestic producers of acetone.<sup>2</sup>

Between February 22 and 28, 2019, Commerce requested supplemental information pertaining to certain aspects of the Petitions.<sup>3</sup> The petitioner filed responses to these requests on February 26, 2019 and March 4, 2019,

<sup>1</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping on Imports of Acetone from Belgium, Korea, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa and Spain," dated February 19, 2019 (the Petitions).

<sup>2</sup> The Coalition for Acetone Fair Trade includes AdvanSix Inc., Altivia Petrochemicals, LLC, and Olin Corporation. See Volume I of the Petitions, at 1-2.

<sup>3</sup> See Commerce's Letters, "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Belgium, Korea, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, and Spain: Supplemental Questions" (General Issues Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Belgium: Supplemental Questions" (Belgium Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Korea: Supplemental Questions" (Korea Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Saudi Arabia: Supplemental Questions" (Saudi Arabia Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Singapore: Supplemental Questions" (Singapore Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from South Africa: Supplemental Questions" (South Africa Supplemental Questionnaire); and "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Spain: Supplemental Questions" (Spain Supplemental Questionnaire). All of these documents are dated February 22, 2019. See also Commerce's Letters, "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Belgium, Korea, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, and Spain: Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner Regarding Scope" (Scope Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Singapore: Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner" (Singapore Second Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from South Africa: Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner" (South Africa Second Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Spain: Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner" (Spain Second Supplemental Questionnaire); "Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Saudi Arabia: Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner" (Saudi Second Supplemental Questionnaire); and "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Imports of Acetone from Belgium: Phone Call with Counsel to the Petitioner" (Belgium Second Supplemental Questionnaire). All of these documents are dated February 28, 2019.