

Scrubber (WGS) on a the No. 4 Fluidized Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) subject to NSPS part 60, subpart J, and also new requirements of NESHAP part 63, subpart UUU, for parametric monitoring of opacity at the WGS in lieu of a Continuous Opacity Monitoring System, due to moisture interference on opacity readings in the stack at its Ponca City Refinery, located in Ponca City, Oklahoma?

A: Yes. Based upon the design of the WGS unit and EPA review of the test results and process specific supplemental information provided by Phillips 66 Company, EPA conditionally approves the AMP request for operating parameter limits for the WGS. The OPLs approved for demonstrating compliance with the AMP included minimum Liquid-to-Gas Ratio (L/G), minimum water pressure to the quench/spray tower nozzles, and minimum pressure drop across filter modules/cyclolabs. The revised AMP must include data in support of retaining the independent OPLs established for the scrubber under NSPS subpart J, based on a performance test under worst case expected operating conditions, which will also meet the newly added opacity monitoring requirements under MACT subpart UUU.

*Abstract for [Z180002]*

Q: Does EPA approve Phillips 66 Company's request to modify a previously issued Alternative Monitoring Plan (AMP) for a Wet Gas Scrubber (WGS) on the No. 5 Fluidized Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) subject to NSPS part 60, subpart J, and also new requirements of NESHAP Part 63, subpart UUU, for parametric monitoring of opacity at the WGS in lieu of a Continuous Opacity Monitoring System, due to moisture interference on opacity readings in the stack at its Ponca City Refinery located in Ponca City Oklahoma?

A: Yes. based upon the design of the WGS unit and EPA review of the test results and process specific supplemental information provided by Phillips 66 Company, EPA conditionally approves the request for operating parameter limits (OPLs) for the WGS. The OPLs approved for demonstrating compliance with the AMP included minimum Liquid-to-Gas Ratio (L/G), minimum water pressure to the quench/spray tower nozzles, and minimum pressure drop across filter modules/cyclolabs. The revised AMP must include data in support of retaining the independent OPLs established for the scrubber under NSPS subpart J, based on a performance test under worst case expected operating conditions, which

will also meet the newly added opacity monitoring requirements under MACT subpart UUU.

Dated: November 20, 2018.

**John Dombrowski,**

*Acting Director, Office of Compliance, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.*

**Editorial Note:** This document was received by the **Federal Register** on February 25, 2019.

[FR Doc. 2019-03593 Filed 3-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2017-0750; FRL-9989-66]

### Registration Review Proposed Interim Decisions for Several Pesticides; Notice of Availability

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's proposed interim registration review decisions and opens a 60-day public comment period on the proposed interim decisions for the following pesticides: Aviglycine hydrochloride, buprofezin, diflubenzuron, lufenuron, oxytetracycline, prohexadione calcium, pymetrozine, streptomycin, tebuthiuron, and thiobencarb. This notice also announces the availability of EPA's human health and ecological risk assessments for the pesticides oxytetracycline and streptomycin and opens a 60-day public comment period on the risk assessments.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 17, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by the docket identification (ID) number for the specific pesticide of interest provided in the Table in Unit IV, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- *Mail:* OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- *Hand Delivery:* To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

*For pesticide specific information, contact:* The Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest identified in the Table in Unit IV.

*For general information on the registration review program, contact:* Dana Friedman, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 347-8827; email address: [friedman.dana@epa.gov](mailto:friedman.dana@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. General Information**

*A. Does this action apply to me?*

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest identified in the Table in Unit IV.

*B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?*

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information on a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

**II. Background**

Registration review is EPA’s periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed proposed interim decisions for all pesticides listed in the Table in Unit IV. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide’s registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

**III. Authority**

EPA is conducting its registration review of the chemicals listed in the Table in Unit IV pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable

adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

**IV. What action is the Agency taking?**

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.58, this notice announces the availability of EPA’s proposed interim registration review decisions for the pesticides shown in the following table and opens a 60-day public comment period on the proposed interim decisions. This notice also announces the availability of EPA’s human health and ecological risk assessments for the pesticides oxytetracycline and streptomycin and opens a 60-day public comment period on the risk assessments.

Registration review case name and No.	Docket ID No.	Chemical Review Manager and contact information
Aviglycine Hydrochloride, Case 6070	EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0691 ...	Donna Kamarei, <a href="mailto:kamarei.donna@epa.gov">kamarei.donna@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0443.
Buprofezin, Case 7462	EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0373 ...	Patricia Biggio, <a href="mailto:biggio.patricia@epa.gov">biggio.patricia@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0547.
Diflubenzuron, Case 0144	EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0714 ...	Christian Bongard, <a href="mailto:bongard.christian@epa.gov">bongard.christian@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0337.
Lufenuron, Case 7627	EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0098 ...	Jill Bloom, <a href="mailto:bloom.jill@epa.gov">bloom.jill@epa.gov</a> , (703) 308-8019.
Oxytetracycline, Case 0655	EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0686 ...	Matthew Manupella, <a href="mailto:manupella.matthew@epa.gov">manupella.matthew@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0411.
Prohexadione calcium, Case 7030	EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0870 ...	Moana Appleyard, <a href="mailto:appleyard.moana@epa.gov">appleyard.moana@epa.gov</a> , (703) 308-8175.
Pymetrozine, Case 7474	EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0368 ...	Marianne Mannix, <a href="mailto:mannix.marianne@epa.gov">mannix.marianne@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0275.
Streptomycin, Case 0169	EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0687 ...	Matthew Manupella, <a href="mailto:manupella.matthew@epa.gov">manupella.matthew@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0411.
Tebuthiuron, Case 0054	EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0327 ...	Matthew Manupella, <a href="mailto:manupella.matthew@epa.gov">manupella.matthew@epa.gov</a> , (703) 347-0411.
Thiobencarb, Case 2665	EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0932 ...	R. David Jones, <a href="mailto:jones.r david@epa.gov">jones.r david@epa.gov</a> , (703) 305-6725.

The registration review docket for a pesticide includes earlier documents related to the registration review case. For example, the review opened with a Preliminary Work Plan, for public comment. A Final Work Plan was placed in the docket following public comment on the Preliminary Work Plan.

The documents in the dockets describe EPA’s rationales for conducting additional risk assessments for the registration review of the pesticides included in the table in Unit IV, as well as the Agency’s subsequent risk findings and consideration of possible risk mitigation measures. These proposed interim registration review decisions are supported by the rationales included in those documents. Following public comment, the Agency will issue interim or final registration review decisions for the pesticides listed in the table in Unit IV.

The registration review final rule at 40 CFR 155.58(a) provides for a minimum 60-day public comment period on all proposed interim registration review decisions. This comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary amendments to the proposed interim decision. All comments should be submitted using

the methods in **ADDRESSES** and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the docket for the pesticides included in the Table in Unit IV. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked “late.” EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

The Agency will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and may provide a “Response to Comments Memorandum” in the docket. The interim registration review decision will explain the effect that any comments had on the interim decision and provide the Agency’s response to significant comments.

Background on the registration review program is provided at: <http://www.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation>.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: March 4, 2019.

**Charles Smith,**

*Acting Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2019-04969 Filed 3-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0576; FRL-9989-72]

**Pesticide Product Registration; Receipt of Applications for New Uses**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA has received applications to register new uses for pesticide products containing currently registered active ingredients. Pursuant to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), EPA is hereby providing notice of receipt and opportunity to comment on these applications.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 17, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by the Docket Identification (ID) Number and the File Symbol or EPA Registration Number of interest as shown in the body of this document, by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be