

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 84, No. 34

Wednesday, February 20, 2019

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 1208

[Document Number AMS–SC–18–0093]

Processed Raspberry Promotion, Research, and Information Order; Termination of Assessments

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule removes the requirement in the Processed Raspberry Promotion, Research, and Information Order (Order) that each eligible producer of raspberries for processing and eligible importer of processed raspberries pay to the National Processed Raspberry Council (Council) an assessment in the amount of one cent per pound as specified in the Order. The remaining provisions of the Order and regulations issued thereunder will be terminated at a later date. This action is necessary because termination of the Order was favored by a majority of the eligible producers and eligible importers voting in a referendum conducted from September 10 through October 5, 2018.

DATES: *Effective date:* February 21, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hakim Fobia, Marketing Specialist, Promotion and Economics Division, Specialty Crops Program, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Room 1406–S, Stop 0244, Washington, DC 20250–0244, telephone: (202) 720–9915; (202) 720–4835; facsimile: (202) 205–2800; or electronic mail: Hakim.Fobia@ams.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule affecting 7 CFR part 1208 is authorized under the Commodity Promotion, Research, and Information Act of 1996 (1996 Act) (7 U.S.C. 7411–7425). The Processed Raspberry Promotion, Research, and Information Order is codified at 7 CFR part 1208.

Prior documents in this proceeding: Continuance Referendum, July 25, 2018 [83 FR 35153]; Processed Raspberry Promotion, Research, and Information Order, May 8, 2012 [77 FR 26911]; and Referendum Procedures, February 8, 2010 [75 FR 6089].

Executive Orders 12866, 13563, and 13771

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules and promoting flexibility. This final rule falls within a category of regulatory actions that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempted from Executive Order 12866 review. Additionally, because this rule does not meet the definition of a significant regulatory action it does not trigger the requirements contained in Executive Order 13771. See OMB's Memorandum titled "Interim Guidance Implementing Section 2 of the Executive Order of January 30, 2017, titled 'Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs'" (February 2, 2017).

Executive Order 13175

This final rule has been reviewed in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments. The review reveals that this rule will not have substantial and direct effects on Tribal governments and will not have significant Tribal implications.

Executive Order 12988

In addition, this final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. It is not intended to have retroactive effect. Section 524 of the 1996 Act (7 U.S.C. 7423) provides that it shall not affect or preempt any other State or Federal law authorizing promotion or research relating to an agricultural commodity.

Under section 519 of the 1996 Act (7 U.S.C. 7418), a person subject to an order may file a written petition with USDA stating that an order, any

provision of an order, or any obligation imposed in connection with an order, is not established in accordance with the law, and request a modification of an order or an exemption from an order. Any petition filed challenging an order, any provision of an order, or any obligation imposed in connection with an order, shall be filed within two years after the effective date of an order, provision, or obligation subject to challenge in the petition. The petitioner will have the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. Thereafter, USDA will issue a ruling on the petition. The 1996 Act provides that the district court of the United States for any district in which the petitioner resides or conducts business shall have the jurisdiction to review a final ruling on the petition, if the petitioner files a complaint for that purpose not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of USDA's final ruling.

Background

This final rule terminates Order requirements to pay an assessment as prescribed in § 1208.52 of the Order and section 517 of the 1996 Act. The 1996 Act authorizes a national processed raspberry promotion, research, and information program. In accordance with the 1996 Act, USDA developed and implemented the Order (7 CFR 1208.1–1208.520), which became effective on May 9, 2012.

Section 518(c) of the 1996 Act (7 U.S.C. 7417(c)), and section 1208.71(b) of the Order provide that the Secretary of Agriculture (Secretary) shall conduct a subsequent referendum among people subject to assessments. The Order states that subsequent referenda will be held every 7 years to determine whether producers of raspberries for processing and importers of processed raspberries favor continuance of the Order. A referendum also may be held by request of 10 percent or more of eligible voters, by request of the council established by the order, or when the Secretary deems it necessary. The Order shall continue if it is favored by a majority of producers and importers voting in the referendum, who during a representative period, have been engaged in the production or importation of processed raspberries.

In March 2018, USDA received a petition requesting a referendum from more than the required 10 percent of eligible producers of raspberries for processing and importers of processed

raspberries. As such, a referendum was held from September 10 through October 5, 2018. The representative period for establishing voter eligibility was January 1 through December 31, 2017. Persons who grew 20,000 pounds or more of raspberries for processing in the United States or imported 20,000 pounds or more of processed raspberries into the United States during the representative period and were subject to assessment during the representative period were eligible to vote. Notice of the referendum was published in the **Federal Register** on July 25, 2018 (83 FR 35153). Termination of the Order was favored by 57 percent of the eligible producers and importers voting in the referendum.

Section 522 of the 1996 Act (7 U.S.C. 7421) and § 1208.72 of the Order provides that, if the Secretary determines that termination of the Order is favored by a majority of all the votes cast in a referendum, the Secretary shall terminate, as appropriate the collection of assessments under the Order not later than 180 days after the referendum results are announced. Further, the Secretary is required to terminate, as appropriate, activities under the Order as soon as practicable and in an orderly manner. A separate rule will be published in the future in the **Federal Register** terminating the remaining Order requirements and provisions.

In accordance with section 1208.73, the Council will recommend not more than three of its members to the Secretary to serve as trustees for purposes of liquidating the assets of the Council.

According to section 1208.74, termination of the Order and any of its provisions, including the requirements to pay assessments shall not:

- (a) Affect or waive any right, duty, obligation, or liability which shall have arisen or which may thereafter arise in connection with any provisions of part 1208; or
- (b) Release or extinguish any violation of part 1208; or
- (c) Affect or impair any rights or remedies of the United States, or the Secretary, or any other person with respect to any such violation.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), AMS is required to examine the economic impact of this rule on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has considered the economic impact of this action on such entities.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of

businesses subject to such actions so that small businesses will not be disproportionately burdened. The Small Business Administration (SBA) defines, in 13 CFR part 121, small agricultural producers as those having annual receipts of no more than \$750,000 and small agricultural service firms (handlers and importers) as those having annual receipts of no more than \$7.5 million.

According to the Council, it is estimated that there are 160 producers of raspberries for processing and 30 first handlers of raspberries for processing in the United States. Dividing the processed raspberry crop value for 2017 reported by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) of \$102,691,456¹ by the number of producers yields an annual average producer revenue of \$641,821. It is estimated that 75 percent of first handlers shipped under \$7.5 million worth of processed raspberries.

Likewise, based on U.S. Customs data, it is estimated there are 136 importers of processed raspberries. Using 2017 Customs data, nearly all importers, or 99 percent, import less than \$7.5 million worth of processed raspberries annually. Thus, the majority of domestic producers, first handlers, and importers of processed raspberries would be considered small entities.

Regarding the value of the commodity, as mentioned above, based on 2017 NASS data, the value of the domestic crop was about \$102 million. According to U.S. Customs data, the value of 2017 imports was about \$55 million.

According to the Council, in 2017 there were 202 eligible producers and importers who paid about \$1.2 million in assessments. When the Order was published in the **Federal Register** on May 8, 2012, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) stated that an anticipated \$1.2 million of assessments would be collected from about 245 eligible entities. The assessment rate currently is one cent per pound of processed raspberries. This is the same rate that was set when the program first started. This rule terminates the requirement for eligible producers and importers to pay assessments.

The Order provides for reimbursements of assessments under certain conditions. Therefore, the exact economic impact of this action will take into account the amount of reimbursement of assessments

producers and importers request from the Council.

This action will not impose any additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either large or small producers or importers of processed raspberries.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

Order

It is therefore ordered, that the terms and provisions of Subpart A of part 1208 that require eligible producers and importers to pay an assessment to finance the national program for processed raspberry promotion, research, and information Order (7 CFR part 1208) are hereby terminated.

It is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice or to engage in further public procedure prior to putting this action into effect, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) This action relieves each eligible producer and importer from the burden to remit assessments; (2) termination of the Order was favored by a majority of eligible producers and importers voting in the referendum; and (3) the 1996 Act and Order require that, upon such a determination by referendum, collection of assessments should terminate no later than 180 days after the results are announced.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1208

Administrative practice and procedure, Advertising, Consumer information, Marketing agreements, Raspberry promotion, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 1208 is amended as follows:

PART 1208—PROCESSED RASPBERRY PROMOTION, RESEARCH, AND INFORMATION ORDER

Subpart A—Processed Raspberry Promotion, Research, and Information Order

- 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1208 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7411–7425 and 7 U.S.C. 7401.

- 2. A note is added to § 1208.52 to read as follows:

¹ Noncitrus Fruits and Nuts 2017 Summary, June 2018, USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service, pg. 83.

§ 1208.52 Assessments.

* * * * *

Note 1 to § 1208.52: The requirement to pay assessments is terminated as of February 21, 2019.

Dated: February 14, 2019.

Bruce Summers,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2019-02775 Filed 2-19-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**Office of the Comptroller of the Currency****12 CFR Parts 22 and 172**

[Docket ID OCC-2014-0016]

RIN 1557-AD84

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**12 CFR Part 208**

[Regulation H, Docket No. R-1498]

RIN 7100 AE-22

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION**12 CFR Part 339**

RIN 3064-AE50

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION**12 CFR Part 614**

RIN 3052-AC93

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION**12 CFR Part 760**

RIN 3133-AE64

Loans in Areas Having Special Flood Hazards

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; Farm Credit Administration; National Credit Union Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the Farm Credit Administration (FCA), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) are amending their regulations regarding loans in areas having special flood hazards to implement the private

flood insurance provisions of the Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2012 (Biggert-Waters Act). Specifically, the final rule requires regulated lending institutions to accept policies that meet the statutory definition of “private flood insurance” in the Biggert-Waters Act; and permits regulated lending institutions to exercise their discretion to accept flood insurance policies issued by private insurers and plans providing flood coverage issued by mutual aid societies that do not meet the statutory definition of “private flood insurance,” subject to certain restrictions.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 1, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

OCC: Rhonda L. Daniels, Compliance Specialist, Compliance Policy Division, (202) 649-5405; Sadia Chaudhary, Counsel, (202) 649-6350, Heidi M. Thomas, Special Counsel, or Melissa Lisenbee, Senior Attorney, (202) 649-5490, Chief Counsel’s Office. For persons who are hearing impaired, TTY, (202) 649-5597.

Board: Lanette Meister, Senior Supervisory Consumer Financial Services Analyst, (202) 452-2705; Vivian W. Wong, Senior Counsel, (202) 452-3667, Division of Consumer and Community Affairs; or Daniel Ericson, Senior Counsel, (202) 452-3359, Legal Division; for users of Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) only, contact (202) 263-4869.

FDIC: Simin Ho, Senior Policy Analyst, Division of Depositor and Consumer Protection, (202) 898-6907, sho@fdic.gov; Navid Choudhury, Counsel, Consumer Compliance Unit, Legal Division, nchoudnury@fdic.gov (202) 898-6526.

FCA: Paul K. Gibbs, Associate Director, Office of Regulatory Policy (703) 883-4203, TTY (703) 883-4056; or Mary Alice Donner, Senior Counsel, Office of General Counsel (703) 883-4020, TTY (703) 883-4056.

NCUA: Sarah Chung, Senior Staff Attorney, or Thomas Zells, Staff Attorney, Office of General Counsel, (703) 518-6540; or Jeff Marshall, Policy Officer, (703) 518-6360.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background****A. Flood Insurance Statutes**

The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (1968 Act)¹ and the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (FDPA),² as amended, (collectively referenced herein as the Federal flood insurance

statutes) govern the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).³ These laws make Federally subsidized flood insurance available to owners of improved real estate or mobile homes located in participating communities and require the purchase of flood insurance in connection with a loan made by a regulated lending institution⁴ when the loan is secured by improved real estate or a mobile home located in a special flood hazard area (SFHA)⁵ in which flood insurance is available under the NFIP. The laws specify the amount of insurance that must be purchased, and also require such insurance be maintained for the term of the loan. (The requirement for flood insurance, and the term and amounts of such coverage, are hereinafter described as “the flood insurance purchase requirement.”) The OCC, Board, FDIC, FCA, and NCUA (collectively, the Agencies) each have issued regulations implementing these statutory requirements for the lending institutions they supervise.⁶

The Biggert-Waters Act⁷ amends the Federal flood insurance statutes that the Agencies have authority to implement and enforce. Among other things, the Biggert-Waters Act: (1) Requires the Agencies to issue a rule regarding the escrow of premiums and fees for flood insurance;⁸ (2) clarifies the requirement to force place insurance;⁹ and (3) requires the Agencies to issue a rule to direct regulated lending institutions to accept “private flood insurance,” as defined by the Biggert-Waters Act, and to notify borrowers of the availability of

³ These statutes are codified at 42 U.S.C. 4001-4129. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) administers the NFIP; its regulations implementing the NFIP appear at 44 CFR parts 59-77.

⁴ The FDPA defines “regulated lending institution” to mean any bank, savings and loan association, credit union, farm credit bank, Federal land bank association, production credit association, or similar institution subject to the supervision of a Federal entity for lending regulation. 42 U.S.C. 4003(a)(1).

⁵ An SFHA is an area within a flood plain having a one percent or greater chance of flood occurrence in any given year. 44 CFR 59.1. SFHAs are delineated on maps issued by FEMA for individual communities. 44 CFR part 65. A community establishes its eligibility to participate in the NFIP by adopting and enforcing flood plain management measures that regulate new construction and by making substantial improvements within its SFHAs to eliminate or minimize future flood damage. 44 CFR part 60.

⁶ See 12 CFR part 22 (OCC), part 208 (Board), part 339 (FDIC), part 614 Subpart S (FCA), and part 760 (NCUA).

⁷ Public Law 112-141, 126 Stat. 916 (2012).

⁸ Section 100209 of the Biggert-Waters Act, amending section 102(d) of the FDPA (42 U.S.C. 4012a(d)).

⁹ Section 100244 of the Biggert-Waters Act, amending section 102(e) of the FDPA (42 U.S.C. 4012a(e)).

¹ Public Law 90-448, 82 Stat. 572 (1968).

² Public Law 93-234, 87 Stat. 975 (1973).